



Cairo University

ENERGY HARVESTING FROM ROTATING WHEELS USING PIEZOELECTRIC BEAMS UNDER MAGNETIC FORCES

By

Mohamed Ali Abdelmegeed Elhadidi

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In Mechanical Design and Production Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2017

ENERGY HARVESTING FROM ROTATING WHEELS USING PIEZOELECTRIC BEAMS UNDER MAGNETIC FORCES

By

Mohamed Ali Abdelmegeed Elhadidi

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In Mechanical Design and Production Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Dr. Yasser Fathi Zeyada

Associate Professor
Mechanical Design & Production
Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Dr. Mustafa Hani Arafa

Professor
Mechanical Engineering Department
American University in Cairo

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2017

ENERGY HARVESTING FROM ROTATING WHEELS USING PIEZOELECTRIC BEAMS UNDER MAGNETIC FORCES

By
Mohamed Ali Abdelmegeed Elhadidi

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in Mechanical Design and Production Engineering

Approved by the

Examining Committee

Associate Prof. Yasser Fathy Zeyada,
Cairo University (CU)

(Thesis Main Supervisor)

Prof. Dr. Mustafa Hani Arafa,
American University in Cairo (AUC)

(Thesis Supervisor)

Prof. Dr. Said Megahed,
Cairo University (CU)

(Internal Examiner)

Prof. Dr. Elsayed Ibrahim Imam Morgan,
German University in Cairo (GUC)

(External Examiner)

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2017

Engineer's Name: Mohamed Ali Abdelmegeed Elhadidi
Date of Birth: 26/12/1988
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: M.elhadidi@aucegypt.edu
Phone: 01062611491
Address: Mechanical Design and Production
Engineering Dept., Faculty of Engineering-Cairo
University, Giza, Egypt
Registration Date: 01/3/2012
Awarding Date:/....../2017
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Mechanical Design and Production Engineering



Supervisors:
Associate Professor Dr./ Yasser Fathi Zeyada
Prof. Dr. / Mustafa Hani Arafa

Examiners:
Associate Prof. Dr./Yasser Fathy Zeyada (Thesis main advisor)
Prof. Dr./ Mustafa Hany Arafa (Thesis advisor)
Prof. Dr./ Said Megahed (Internal examiner)
Prof. Dr./ Elsayed Ibrahim Imam Morgan (External examiner)
German University in Cairo (GUC)

Title of Thesis:

Energy Harvesting from Rotating Wheels Using Piezoelectric Beams Under Magnetic Forces

Key Words:

Energy harvesting, piezoelectric harvesters, oscillations, rotating wheel, beams

Summary:

Energy harvesting from vibration are always based on reaching the resonant frequency by try to vibrate the harvester at its resonant frequency to maximize gained energy. Some systems have operation conditions that forces the designer to find a mechanism that maximizes harvested energy at off-resonant frequencies. The focus of the current work is to investigate the viability of a system that can supply a sensor mounted inside a car tire with sufficient power using energy harvesting principles of off-resonant frequency, as an example for the targeted sensors is a car tire air pressure sensor.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Firstly, I want to thank Allah for all his help in everything in my life. I like to express all my gratitude to my supervisors Dr. Mustafa Arafa and Dr. Yasser Zeyada for all what they did to help me finishing my thesis and being good teachers to me. I want to thank my teammates Eng. Mohammed Helal and Eng. Omar Nassar who did their best to get the work done in time. A great thank goes to my supervisors back in Higher Technological Institute in Tenth of Ramadan (HTI). Finally I want to thank my dad, my family and all my friends.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	I
TABLE OF CONTENTS	II
LIST OF TABLES	IV
LIST OF FIGURES	V
NOMENCLATURE.....	VIII
ABSTRACT.....	X
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW	1
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. Related Work	2
1.3. Related Work Comparison	7
1.4. Scope of Work.....	8
1.5. Thesis Organization	8
CHAPTER 2 : HARVESTER DESIGN AND MODELING	9
2.1. System Design.....	9
2.2. Harvester Modeling.....	10
2.2.1. Analytical Model	10
2.2.2. Finite Element Model	13
2.3. Design Procedures.....	20
2.4. Summary	21
CHAPTER 3 : EXPERIMENTAL WORK.....	23
3.1. Experimental Setup	23
3.2. Magnetic Forces	24
3.2.1. Used Magnets	24
3.2.2. Magnetic Forces.....	25
3.2.3. Magnets Lookup Tables.....	26
3.3. Shaker Tests	26
3.3.1. Test Description	26
3.3.2. Results.....	27
3.4. Damping Measurements.....	28

3.4.1. Test Description	28
3.4.2. Numerical Model	29
3.4.3. Results.....	29
3.5. Strain.....	31
3.6. Signal Conditioning	32
3.7. Summary	33
CHAPTER 4 : HARVESTER RESULTS.....	35
4.1. Tip Deformation and Output Voltage	35
4.2. Harvester Results Index	41
4.2.1. Experimental Strain Index	41
4.2.2. Experimental Output Voltage Index	42
4.2.3. Numerical Model Tip Displacement Index	42
4.2.4. Numerical Model Output Voltage Index	43
4.2.5. Analytical Model tip displacement Index	43
4.3. Gravity and Magnetic Repulsion Effect on Proposed Harvester	44
4.4. Harvester Capacitor Charging.....	46
4.5. Summary	47
CHAPTER 5 : CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	49
REFERENCES.....	50
APPENDIX A: PIEZOELECTRIC DETAILS.....	53
APPENDIX B: EQUATIONS.....	55
APPENDIX C: VERIFICATION PROBLEMS AND BENCHMARKING	57
C.1 Beam Rotation	57
C.1.1 Problem Introduction	57
C.1.2 Results.....	58
C.2 Piezoelectric Material Model	59
C.2.1 Triple Layer Bender.....	59
C.2.2 Experimental Base Motion Analysis	62
C.3 Summary	63
APPENDIX D: MIDE DATASHEET	65

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 Comparison between related work	7
Table 2.2 Piezoelectric beam properties	16
Table 3.1 F645 Magnet Specifications [20].....	24
Table 3.2 Experimental base motion results.	27
Table 4.1 Proposed design properties	35
Table C.1 System Dimensions [4].	58
Table C.2 Beam properties	60
Table C.3 Results comparison between Park & Moon [22], Wang & Cross [23] and thesis numerical model at different tip forces and applied voltages.	61
Table C.4 V21BL open circuit voltage under different amplitudes and driving frequencies [21]	62
Table C.5 Experimental and numerical results.	63

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: A schematic for Lee et al proposed energy harvester [10].	2
Figure 1.2: Proposed schematic energy harvester [11].	3
Figure 1.3: An illustration for Wang et al proposed energy harvester fixed to tire rim [12].	3
Figure 1.4: (a) Energy harvester schematic, (b) Energy harvesters placed inside the wind turbine blades [14].	4
Figure 1.5 Piezoelectric material connected to the inner surface of the rim [14].	4
Figure 1.6 Mechanism of energy harvester produces magnetostatic potential energy [15]	5
Figure 1.7 Variable reluctance sensor and a ferromagnetic toothed wheel [16]	5
Figure 1.8 Two different configurations for the gravitational torque harvester, (a) generator shaft with an offset mass attached to the generator chassis, and (b) chassis with an offset mass mounted on the shaft [17].	6
Figure 2.1: A schematic for the proposed rotating wheel energy harvester under repulsion magnets.	9
Figure 2.2 Effect of gravity on a suspended beam with tip mass on a spinning wheel. ...	10
Figure 2.3 A rotating disk in vertical plan with a mass and a spring moving affected by two repulsion magnets.	11
Figure 2.4 Relation between y_0 and y .	12
Figure 2.5: Alignment of electric dipoles represented by arrows in a material prior to poling (left), during the poling process (middle) and at the end of poling (right).	14
Figure 2.6: Electrodes identification on COMSOL software, (a) generator, (b) actuator.	15
Figure 2.7 Piezoelectric beam detailed internal construction with a photograph of the real beam.	16
Figure 2.8 Combined model forces.	17
Figure 2.9 Boundary load acted as the repulsion force between the two permanent magnets.	18
Figure 2.10 Body load acted to simulate gravity effect on beam.	18
Figure 2.11 Applying body load to simulate the effect of the centrifugal forces.	19
Figure 2.12 (a) Numerical solution, (b) Analytical solution, beam's natural frequency under different driving frequencies using different tip masses for each one with comparison to the most suitable perfect match line.	20
Figure 2.13 Change happen in beam's natural frequency under different hub radiuses and driving frequencies.	21
Figure 3.1 A detailed figure for the used experimental setup, (a) SolidWorks, (b) real figure.	23

Figure 3.2 F645 magnets from First4magnet Company [20].	24
Figure 3.3 A schematic for the used setup to measure repulsive forces between magnets.	25
Figure 3.4 Experimental results lookup tables for different magnet gaps.	26
Figure 3.5 Experimental setup for tip deflection recording under various base motion amplitudes and frequencies.	27
Figure 3.6 Damping measurement experimental setup by recording free vibration.	28
Figure 3.7 Experimental free vibration tip deformation mm versus time s for piezoelectric beam.	29
Figure 3.8 Experimental free vibration voltage difference V versus time s for piezoelectric beam.	30
Figure 3.9 Numerical free vibration tip deformation mm results versus time s for piezoelectric beam.	30
Figure 3.10 Numerical free vibration voltage difference V results versus time s for piezoelectric beam.	31
Figure 3.11 A strain gauge fixed on beam's upper surface for deformation sensing.	32
Figure 3.12 Voltage signal conditioning unit [21].	33
Figure 4.1 Time-domain plots (a) Numerical tip displacement, (b) Analytical tip displacement, (c) Numerical voltage difference, (d) Experimental voltage difference, (e) Numerical strain, (f) Experimental strain, frequency-domain plots (g) Numerical voltage difference, (h) Experimental voltage difference, at $\omega = 1 \text{ Hz}$, $\Delta = 20 \text{ mm}$.	36
Figure 4.2 Time-domain plots (a) Numerical tip displacement, (b) Analytical tip displacement, (c) Numerical voltage difference, (d) Experimental voltage difference, (e) Numerical strain, (f) Experimental strain, frequency-domain plots (g) Numerical voltage difference, (h) Experimental voltage difference, at $\omega = 2 \text{ Hz}$, $\Delta = 20 \text{ mm}$.	37
Figure 4.3 Time-domain plots (a) Numerical tip displacement, (b) Analytical tip displacement, (c) Numerical voltage difference, (d) Experimental voltage difference, (e) Numerical strain, (f) Experimental strain, frequency-domain plots (g) Numerical voltage difference, (h) Experimental voltage difference, at $\omega = 3 \text{ Hz}$, $\Delta = 20 \text{ mm}$.	38
Figure 4.4 Time-domain plots (a) Numerical tip displacement, (b) Analytical tip displacement, (c) Numerical voltage difference, (d) Experimental voltage difference, (e) Numerical strain, (f) Experimental strain, frequency-domain plots (g) Numerical voltage difference, (h) Experimental voltage difference, at $\omega = 4 \text{ Hz}$, $\Delta = 20 \text{ mm}$.	39

Figure 4.5 Time-domain plots (a) Numerical tip displacement, (b) Analytical tip displacement, (c) Numerical voltage difference, (d) Experimental voltage difference, (e) Numerical strain, (f) Experimental strain, frequency-domain plots (g) Numerical voltage difference, (h) Experimental voltage difference, at $\omega = 5 \text{ Hz}, \Delta = 20 \text{ mm}$.	40
Figure 4.6 Experimental strain index results under all ω and Δ values.	41
Figure 4.7 Experimental output voltage index results under all ω and Δ values.	42
Figure 4.8 Numerical tip displacement index results under all ω and Δ values.	42
Figure 4.9 Numerical output voltage index results under all ω and Δ values.	43
Figure 4.10 Analytical tip displacement index results under all ω and Δ values.	43
Figure 4.11 A schematic for a static analysis of the proposed energy harvester.	44
Figure 4.12 A plot for x under different θ .	45
Figure 4.13 Capacitor charging rates for different magnet gaps Δ .	46
Figure A.1: Alignment of electric dipole.	53
Figure C.1 Beam tip deflection under the action of centrifugal force [4].	57
Figure C.2 Data comparison between finite element numerical model, reference [4] and perfect match line.	58
Figure C.3 Numerical model using COMSOL Multiphysics.	59
Figure C.4 A schematic for the modeled triple-layer bender for Park & Moon [22] and Wang & Cross [23].	60
Figure C.5 Simulated tip deformation mm due to applied tip force N and voltage difference (V) on numerical model.	61

NOMENCLATURE

c	Damping coefficient	$N \cdot s/m$
C	Capacitance	F
d	Coupling matrix of piezoelectric material	C/N
d_{31}	Transverse piezoelectric constant in the 31 mode	C/N
d_{33}	Longitudinal piezoelectric constant in the 33 mode	C/N
E	Young's modulus	Pa
E_E	Experimental Young's modulus	Pa
E_N	Numerical Young's modulus	Pa
F	Magnetic force between magnets	N
f_c	Centrifugal force	N
F_m	Magnetic repulsion force from lookup tables	N
F_x	Force in x-direction	N
F_{C_x}	Centrifugal body load in x-direction	N/m^3
F_{C_y}	Centrifugal body load in y-direction	N/m^3
F_{R_x}	Rotation body load in x-direction	N/m^3
F_{R_y}	Rotation body load in y-direction	N/m^3
g	Gravitational acceleration	m/s^2
h	Beam height	mm
I	Moment of inertia	m^4
k	Equivalent stiffness of the system	N/m
L	Beam length	mm
L_p	piezoelectric material length	mm
L_m	metal length, w beam width	mm
m_{eff}	Equivalent mass of beam and tip mass	Kg
m_b	Beam mass	Kg
m_t	Tip mass	Kg
P	Force on tip	N
Q_{Gen}	Generated charge	C
r	Hub radius	mm
s	Tip mass position from root	mm
S_E	Compliance matrix	1/Pa
s_{33}^E	Elastic compliance constant in the 33 mode for the piezoelectric	1/Pa
s_{11}^m	Metal compliance	1/Pa
s_{11}^E	Elastic compliance constant in the 11 mode for the piezoelectric	1/Pa
s_m	Elastic compliance constant for the substrate	1/Pa
s_p	piezoelectric material compliance	1/Pa
T	Time	S

t_m	metal thickness	M
t_p	piezoelectric material thickness	mm
u	Deformation in x-direction	mm
v	Deformation in y-direction	mm
V	Applied voltage	V
Vel	Car velocity	m/s
w	Bender width	mm
X	Position in x-direction	mm
Y	Position in y-direction	mm
ν	Poisson's ratio	1
ω_d	Driving frequency	rad/s
ρ	Density	kg/m ³
δ	Tip deformation	mm
ω	Rotation frequency	rad/s
Ω	Rotational speed	rad/s
ε_{33}^T	Permittivity of the piezoelectric at constant stress	1
η	Compliance (Young's modulus) ratio	1
λ_1	Length ratio (piezoelectric layer length over Middle elastic layer length)	1
Δ	Magnet gap	mm
α	Mass damping parameter	1/s
β	Stiffness damping parameter	s
ξ	Thickness ratio (middle elastic layer over piezoelectric layer)	1

ABSTRACT

Energy harvesting from vibration are always based on reaching the resonant frequency by try to vibrate the harvester at its resonant frequency to maximize gained energy. Some systems have operation conditions that forces the designer to find a mechanism that maximizes harvested energy at off-resonant frequencies. This work is concerned with the concept of extracting energy from rotating wheels. The use of bistable harvesters to extract energy is investigated. The proposed harvester consists of a piezoelectric cantilever beam that is mounted on a rigid rotating hub. This beam carries a tip mass and a permanent magnet. The tip mass is applied to assist the beam to oscillate due to gravity as the beam rotates. Magnetic repulsion forces from an opposite magnet cause the beam to possess two stable equilibrium positions.

Rotation of the beam in a vertical plane under both gravity and magnetic forces allow for greater bending strains to be applied on the piezoelectric device, thereby generating enhanced levels of energy output. A single degree of freedom system and a finite element model were developed to study the system dynamics. Used Finite element model was verified by comparing its results with previously published work.

An effort is made to select the design parameters to enable the harvester to exhibit large-amplitude oscillations across a range of rotational speeds for enhanced energy harvesting. Findings of the present work are verified experimentally. Experimental results are measured in output voltage and mechanical strain. Results were measured in different domains time-domain and frequency-domain. The results indicate that enhanced levels of power output are obtained for rotational speeds up to 5 Hz, which is commensurate with the average speeds in city traffic. Findings of the present work indicate the viability of designing self-powered sensors that can be mounted within automotive tires, such as tire pressure sensors.

CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW

1.1. Introduction

The concept of extracting energy from a rotating wheel has attracted attention in the energy harvesting community for its various uses. A potential application in the automotive industry is the design of self-powered tire pressure monitoring systems. These systems typically consist of a battery-powered wireless sensor that is placed inside the tire cavity and a remote receiver that collects the sensor data, such as pressure, temperature and acceleration. Concerns over replacement and disposal costs of batteries have recently spurred interest in the concept of harvesting energy from the tire itself due to the ubiquity of kinetic energy in a rotating wheel. Energy can be extracted in a number of ways from a rolling tire. For a review of energy harvesting technologies from rotating wheels, the reader is referred to the work of Bowen and Arafa [1]. One of the promising designs is the use of inertial harvesters that are mounted onto the wheel rim and make use of the wheel's rotation as an essentially inexhaustible source of mechanical energy to tap into. In these designs, the wheel's rotation is utilized to induce cyclic bending in a piezoelectric cantilever beam, thereby generating power.

A challenge in the design of energy harvesting benders is to ensure adequate power generation across low range of excitation frequencies. Insight into the pertinent literature reveals that two main approaches have been adopted to address this issue. The first approach relies on widening the bandwidth of an essentially passive device, i.e. one whose design parameters are fixed. This is normally accomplished by designing harvesters that exhibit favorable dynamics to cope with variable-frequency excitation environments. Examples of these solutions include the use of nonlinear dynamics [2], designs having multiple oscillators [3] and structures exhibiting multiple resonance frequencies to harvest energy from a wide band of frequencies. Efforts to match the rotational speed with the resonance frequency have also been proposed [4] to achieve a self-tuning design. The second approach relies on actively tuning the resonance frequency of a harvester, in response to the forcing environment, in order to attain resonance constantly. In this context, systems with manually [4] and automatically [5] adjustable natural frequencies have been proposed to improve the performance.

This has spurred interest in the design of passive bistable devices for broadband operation. These systems usually consist of some elastic structure that exhibits a snap-through behavior, in which it rapidly deforms from one stable equilibrium state to another upon the application of an external excitation. Such inter-well oscillations occur with large amplitudes, which makes them ideal for power generation across a broad-frequency bandwidth. Bistable behavior can be triggered in a number of ways, including the use of buckled structures [6], magnetic forces [7] and bistable composite laminates [8]. For a