

127, 17 27, 17 (20) 77, 17 (20









جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



نقسم بللله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأفلام قد اعدت دون آية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيداً عن الغبار

في درجة حرارة من 15-20 مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من 20-40 %

To be kept away from dust in dry cool place of 15 – 25c and relative humidity 20-40 %



ثبكة المعلومات الجامعية





Information Netw. " Shams Children Sha شبكة المعلومات الجامعية @ ASUNET بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالأص

Comparative study of Somatosensory Evoked Potentials to Computed Tomography in early detection of cervical myelopathy in Rheumatoid Arthritis patients

<u>By</u> Ahmed Makram Dewedar

M.B.B.Ch (1989)

M.Sc. Rheumatology & rehabilitation (1993)

For partial fulfillment of M.D In

Rheumatology and rehabilitation

Supervisors

Prof. Maather M Mahjoub Rheumatology&Rehab. Dep. Cairo University

Prof. Laila M Alkady Radiology Department Suez Canal University Prof. E IVI Sedgwick Neurophysiology Dep. Southampton University, UK

Dr. Amany M Zakaria Rheumatology&Rehab. Dep. Suez Canal University

Suez Canal University 1999

BILLEN



Table of contents

AcknowledgementI
List of abbreviationsII
List of FiguresV
List of tablesVII
I. Introduction & aim of work1
II. Review of literature
• Rheumatoid arthritis4
Anatomy of cervical spinal cord28
Somatosensory evoked potentials 59
Magnetic resonance imaging73
III. Subjects and methods80
IV. Results93
V. Discussion155
VI. Summary and conclusions166
VII. References
VIII. Appendices
IV Aughie aumment

<u>Acknowledgement</u>

First of all, my thanks are duly paid to Allah; the most merciful and gracious; before and after.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude, sincere thanks and my profound admiration and respect to *Professor Maather M Mahjoub*, Professor of Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Cairo university, for her great effort, help and concern throughout this work and for her tolerant soul.

I'm deeply indebted and extremely grateful to *Professor Laila M Alkady*, Head and Professor of Radiology, Suez Canal University, for her encouragement, guidance and valuable advice during all steps of this work.

I wish to express my sincere thanks and gratitude to *Dr. Amany Zakareya*, assistant professor of Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Suez Canal University, for her kind help and support, she saved no effort or time in guiding me throughout this work, I owe her a lot.

Also I would like to express my gratitude and deepest thanks to **Professor Edward M Sedgwick**, Head and Professor of Clinical Neurophysiology, Southampton University Hospital, UK, for his guidance and help through magnificent 2 years with him and I would like to thank **Dr. Michael Cawley**, Consultant Rheumatologist, Southampton General Hospital for his help and assistance as well.

All my thanks and gratitude are not enough to *Professor Hassan A Al-Shahaly*, Professor of Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Suez Canal University, for his guidance and help from the start of this work.

Finally, I would like to thank all my colleagues in Rheumatology and Rehabilitation Unit, Suez Canal University Hospital, wishing them all the best. Moreover, my deep gratitude to all the patients who accepted to take part in this study without hesitation.

Ahmed Makram Dewedar

List of Abbreviations

AAJ Atlantoaxial joint

AAS Atlantoaxial subluxation

AC Atlantoaxial subluxation

ACR American College of Rheumatology

AP Anteroposterior

ARA American Rheumatism Association

C Clinical subgroup

C1 The first cervical vertebra (Atlas)

C2 The second cervical vertebra (Axis)

C6 The sixth cervical vertebra

C7 The seventh cervical vertebra

cm Centimeter

CM Cervical myelopathy

CRP C-reactive protein

CNS Central nervous system

CSF Cerebro-spinal fluid

CT' Computed tomography

DIP Distal interphalangeal

EP Evoked potentials

ESR Erythrocyte sedimentation rate

FCG Functional capacity grading

HLA Human leukocyte antigen

Hz Hertz

KΩ Kilo ohm

L Lumbar

M MRI subgroup

MCP Metacarpophalangeal

MHC Major histocompatibility

mm millimeter

MRI Magnetic resonance imaging

ms millisecond

MTP Metatarsophalangeal

N13 The negative wave at or near to a latency of 13 ms.

N20 The negative wave at or near to a latency of 20 ms.

N9 The negative wave at or near to a latency of 9 ms.~

P25 The positive wave at or near to a latency of 25 ms.

P40 The positive wave at or near to a latency of 40 ms.

PDGF Platelet-derived growth factor

PIP Proximal Interphalangeal

RA Rheumatoid Arthritis

RF Rheumatoid factor

S SEP subgroup

SAS Subaxial subluxation

SEP Somatosensory evoked potentials

T1 The first thoracic vertebra.

TcR T cell receptor

TNF-α Tumor necrosis factor alpha

List of figures

i.	Atlas vertebra	31
2.	The seventh cervical vertebra	31
3.	Fig. (3) Axis vertebra (superior view)	32
4.	Fig. (4) Axis vertebra (left lateral view)	32
5.	Typical cervical vertebra	33
6.	Median sagittal section through occipital bone	34
7.	Atlantoaxial joint and surrounding ligaments	35
8.	Atlanto-occipital and atlanto-axial joints	35
9.	Transverse section of the spinal cord	48
	Ascending and descending tracts of spinal cord	52
	Deep sensation pathway	53
	Multilevel deep sensation pathway	54
	Cross section of spinal cord at the level of C8-T1	58
	International 10-20 system	64
	Normal Median nerve SEP	66
	Normal Posterior Tibial nerve SEP	67
	Patient-Recording machine circuit	87
	Measurement of cephalic recording points	87
	Recording machine and electrodes	88
	A patient during SEP recording	88
	Normal median SEP recording	90
	Normal posterior tibial SEP recording	90
	SEPs recording from a normal subject	95
24.	Normal Posterior Tibial SEP	96
25.	Limits of the control latency variables	97
	Wave amplitudes in controls	97
	RA duration in female and male patients	99
	Some clinical variables in RA patients	99
29.	Functional capacity grading in patients	100
30.	Articular index scoring in patients	100
31.	Number of patients in categories of morning stiffness	101
	Duration of both RA disease and neck pain	102
	Neck pain radiation sites in RA patients	102
	The special clinical test results	107
	ESR & CRP and RF in the patient group	110
	Clinical subgroups in patients	111
37.	Distribution of patients according to SEP abnormalities	114
	Distribution of patient's abnormal SEP results	115
	SEP subgroups (S1, S2, S3, S4 and S5) in patients	116
40.	Cervical spine plain radiographs	120

41. Sagittal MRI in one of the third MRI subgroup patients	121
42. Lateral flexion MRI in atlantoaxial instability	122
43. Number of patients in MRI subgroups	123
44. All patients distribution according to SEP&MRI abnormalities	s 124
45. Patients distribution in the binary groups	125
46. Wave latencies in S2 and MRI subgroups	142
47. Wave amplitudes in S2 and MRI subgroups	142
48. Inter-side wave latency differences in S2 and MRI subgroups	143
49. Wave latencies in S3 subgroup and MRI subgroups	143
50. Inter-wave latency differences in S3 and MRI subgroups	144
51. Inter-side wave latency differences in S3 and MRI subgroups	144
52. Wave amplitudes in S4 and MRI subgroups	146
53. Inter-wave latency differences in S4 and MRI subgroups	146
54. Inter-side wave latency differences in S4 and MRI subgroups	147
55. The different wave latencies in S5 and MRI subgroups	147
56. Wave amplitudes in S5 and MRI subgroups	148
57. Inter-peak latency differences in S5 and MRI subgroups	148
58. Inter-side latency differences in S5 and MRI subgroups	149
59. Comparisons of atlanto-axial distance	151
60. Some MRI variables and SEP abnormal subgroups	151
61. MRI variables compared with the abnormal SEP	152
62. Comparison of cervical cord compression	152
63. Comparison of some MRI variables	153
•	153
	154

List of Tables

Table (1): The revised ARA criteria for classification of RA17
Table (2): Criteria for complete clinical remission in RA18
Table (3): The longitudinal extensor muscles of the head and neck42
Table (4): The deep muscles of the axis, atlas and skull43
Table (5): Variables measured in controls94
Table (6): Control variables with normal upper and lower limits96
Table (7): Muscle state and tone in patients104
Table (8): Superficial & deep sensations and reflexes in patients105
Table (9): Medication history of patients108
Table (10):Blood picture results in patients109
Table (11): SEP results in patients112
Table (12): Comparison between wave abnormalities in patients113
Table (13): Simplified binary table for SEP and MRI results125
Table (14): Binary table for SEP and MRI subgroups127
Table (15): Correlation between the SEP and MRI abnormalities128
Table (16): MRI and clinical subgroups correlation with SEP
subgroups129
Table (17): Correlation between SEP subgroups and MRI139
Table (18): Correlation between SEP subgroups and clinical variables
variavics