Comparative Study between CardiaMed and CarboMedics Medical Bileaflet Mechanical Heart Valve Prostheses in Aortic Position

Chesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of MD Degree in Cardiothoracic Surgery

Вy

Asser Mohamed Ahmed El Sakka

MB,B.CH., M.SC., General Surgery

Under Supervision of

Prof. Ahmed Baheig EL-Kerdany

Professor of Cardiothoracic Surgery Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University

Prof. Mostafa Abd EL-Azeem Abdel-Gawad

Professor of Cardiothoracic Surgery Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University

Prof. Hany Abd El-Maboud Metwaly

Professor of Cardiothoracic Surgery Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University

Dr. Hamdy Abd El-Wareth Sengab

Assistant Professor of Cardiothoracic Surgery Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University

Faculty of Medicine

Ain-Shams University

2016



سورة البقرة الآية: ٢١

Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **Allah** the Most Beneficent and Merciful.

I am deeply grateful to **Prof.** Ahmed Baheig **Eq.** Kerdany, Professor of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University, for his unlimited help and giving me the privilege to work under his supervision. His care and support are really valuable and precious.

I would like also to express my deep appreciation and gratitude to **Prof. Mostafa Abd ET-Azeem Abdel-Gawad**, Professor of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University, for his generous supervision, guidance and support throughout this work.

I can't forget to express my deep appreciation and gratitude to **Prof. Hany Abd TI-Maboud Metwaly**, Professor of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University, for his delicate

Last but not least, all thanks to **Dr. Hamdy Abd El-Wareth Sengab**, Assistant Professor of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University, for the efforts and time he has devoted to accomplish this work.

Finally, I wish to extend my thanks to my **Nother** and the soul of my **Tather** for pushing me forward in every step in the journey of my life.

Candidate

Asser Mohamed Ahmed El Sakka

Contents

Subject	Page No.
List of Abbreviations	i
List of Tables	iii
List of Figures	v
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	5
Review of Literature	
Native Aortic Valve	6
CardiaMed and CarboMedics Valve Prosthes Technology	
Patients and Methods	37
Results	58
Discussion	78
Conclusion	93
Summary	94
References	98
Arabic Summary	<u></u>

List of Abbreviations

Abbr. Full-term

ACC Aortic cross clamp

AP septum Aorticopulmonary septum

AR Aortic regurge

AS Aortic stenosis

AV Aortic valve

AVA Aortic valve area

AVR Aortic valve replacement

BSA Body surface area

CAD Coronary artery disease

CO Cardiac output

CPB Cardiopulmonary bypass

EDD End diastolic diameter

EF Ejection fraction

ESD End systolic diameter

HR Heart rate

ICU Intensive Care Unit

INR International Normalized Ratio

LV Left ventricle

LVEDP Left ventricle end diastolic pressure

LVEDV Left ventricle end diastolic volume

LVF Left ventricle function

LVH Left ventricle hypertrophy

LVOT Left ventricle out tract

NYHA New York Heart Association

PPG Peak pressure gradient

SD Standard deviation

SJM St. Jude Medical (SJM) prosthesis

SPSS Statistical package for social science

TA Truncus arteriosus

VA junction Ventriculoarterial junction

List of Tables

Table No	. Citle	Page No.
Table (1):	Summary of the main characteristhe solid and soft Pyrolitic Carbon theart valves	used for
Table (2):	Inclusion and exclusion criteria	39
Table (3):	Echocardiographic variables to be estudy	
Table (4):	Primary and secondary endpoints	48
Table (5):	Comparison between CarboMedi CardiaMed according to demo	graphic
Table (6):	Comparison between CarboMedi CardiaMed according to BSA	
Table (7):	Comparison between CarboMedi CardiaMed according to NYHA cla	
Table (8):	Comparison between CarboMedi CardiaMed according to pathology.	
Table (9):	Comparison between CarboMedi CardiaMed according to echocardiographic data	pre
Table (10):	Comparison between CarboMedi CardiaMed according to of characteristics	perative
Table (11):	Comparison between CarboMedi CardiaMed according to postor characteristics	perative

🖫 List of Tables		List	of	Tab	les
------------------	--	------	----	-----	-----

Table (12):	Comparison between CarboMedics and CardiaMed according to INR level	′3
Table (13):	Comparison between CarboMedics and CardiaMed according to post operative echocardiographic data	'6

List of Figures

Eable No.	Title Page V	lo.
Figure (1):	Development of the ventricular outflow tracts and aortic and pulmonary valves	7
Figure (2):	Anatomical relationship between the aortic valve leaflets and surrounding structures	8
Figure (3):	Anatomical relationship between the aortic valve and surrounding structures	9
Figure (4):	The aortic valve cuff	11
Figure (5):	A cross-section through the aortic valve leaflet showing the continuity of endocardial and endothelial components with the aortic valve. Inset illustrates the radial and circumferential axes of the valve leaflet	12
Figure (6):	CarboMedics and CardiaMed prothestic valves.	26
Figure (7):	Structure of Pyrolytic Carbon	27
Figure (8):	Solid Pyrolitic Carbon	28
Figure (9):	Rotation of the CardiaMed Prosthetic Heart Valve (test in-vitro)	33
Figure (10):	Leaflet of the CardiaMed prosthetic heart valve	33
Figure (11): 1	Direct flow through the CardiaMed prosthetic heart valve	35
Figure (12):	Regurgitant jets through both prosthetic valves	36

Figure (13):	The annulus has been encircled with multiple interrupted pledgeted mattress sutures of 3-0 braided suture.	. 42
Figure (14):	The pivot guard sutures have been placed aligning the pivot guards with the right and the left coronary arteries.	. 43
Figure (15):	The first half of the remaining suture bundles has been passed through the sewing ring of the valve.	. 44
Figure (16):	All sutures have been passed through the sewing ring and the valve is lowered to the aortic annulus and seated appropriately by placing gentle leverage on the valve sewing ring and traction on the suture bundles	. 44
Figure (17):	Study's flowchart	. 55
Figure (18):	Bar chart illustrates the comparison between CarboMedics and CardiaMed according to age (years).	. 59
Figure (19):	Bar chart illustrates the comparison between CarboMedics and CardiaMed according to BSA	. 60
Figure (20):	Bar chart illustrates the comparison between CarboMedics and CardiaMed according to NYHA class.	. 62
Figure (21):	Bar chart illustrates the comparison between CarboMedics and CardiaMed according to pathology	. 64
Figure (22):	Bar chart illustrates the comparison between CarboMedics and CardiaMed according to EDD	. 65
Figure (23):	Bar chart illustrates the comparison between CarboMedics and CardiaMed according to EF	. 66

Figure (24):	Bar chart illustrates the comparison between CarboMedics and CardiaMed according to PPG	66
Figure (25):	Bar chart illustrates the comparison between CarboMedics and CardiaMed according to CPB, ACC and valve size	68
Figure (26):	Bar chart illustrates the comparison between CarboMedics and CardiaMed according to ICU and hospital stay	71
Figure (27):	Bar chart illustrates the comparison between CarboMedics and CardiaMed according to pacemaker use and Re-exploration	72
Figure (28):	Bar chart illustrates the comparison between CarboMedics and CardiaMed according to INR levels.	73
Figure (29):	Bar chart illustrates the comparison between CarboMedics and CardiaMed according to ESD Postoperative.	76
Figure (30):	Bar chart illustrates the comparison between CarboMedics and CardiaMed according to EF Postoperative	77
Figure (31):	Bar chart illustrates the comparison between CarboMedics and CardiaMed according to PPG Postoperative.	77

Abstract

Background: Valve replacement still remains the mainstay surgical therapeutic option for patients with valvular heart disease. Unfortunately, to date, no prosthetic valve meets the criteria of the ideal valve that mimics a normal native valve and each of the currently available prosthetic valves has inherent limitations. Both CardiaMed valve and CarboMedics valve are bileaflet mechanical valve prosthesis of different designs. The CarboMedics valve is one of the most frequently implanted mechanical heart valve prosthesis worldwide. It has an extremely durable design with excellent hemodynamic function. The CardiaMed valve has multiple design features that is claimed to promote effective hemodynamics.

Aim of the Work: This study aims to compare the early postoperative hemodynamic function and major clinical events in patients receiving CarboMedics valve & CardiaMed valve in Aortic position.

Patients and Methods: this study included sixty patients of aortic valve disease patients who were scheduled for elective AVR at Ain Shams University Hospitals. These patients were randomly assigned into 2 groups: Group A: 30 patients received CarboMedics bileaflet mechanical valve; Group B: 30 patients received CardiaMed bileaflet mechanical valve. Study variables included:postoperative complications and valve function assessments with echocardiography.

Results: the postoperative complications in group A were: 1 patient re-explored for bleeding (3.3%), 1 patient had Rhythm disturbance and 2 cases of Wound infection, while in groupB: 1 patient re-explorated for bleeding, 2 patients had rhythm disturbance, 1 patient had wound infection, 1 patient had bleeding event, Regarding valve function all valves were working properly with no cases of valve obstruction or leaflet limitation or paravalvular leak or valve thrombosis.

Conclusion: the CardiaMed is an effective mechanical heart valve and has a comparable results with CarboMedics prothestic aortic valve.

Key word: Aortic valve prosthesis, CarboMedics, CardiaMed

Introduction

The introduction of valve replacement surgery in the early 1960s has dramatically improved the outcome of patients with valvular heart disease (1).

Approximately 90 000 valve substitutes are now implanted in the United States and 280 000 worldwide each year; approximately half are mechanical valves and half are bioprosthetic valves ⁽¹⁾.

Despite the marked improvements in prosthetic valve design and surgical procedures over the past decades, valve replacement does not provide a definitive cure to the patient. Instead, native valve disease is traded for "prosthetic valve disease," and the outcome of patients undergoing valve replacement is affected by prosthetic valve hemodynamics, durability, and thrombogenicity ⁽¹⁾.

Nonetheless, many of the prosthesis-related complications can be prevented or their impact minimized through optimal prosthesis selection in the individual patient and careful medical management and follow-up after implantation ⁽¹⁾.

The ideal valve substitute should mimic the characteristics of a normal native valve. In particular, it should have excellent hemodynamics, long durability, high thromboresistance, and excellent implantability ⁽¹⁾.

Unfortunately, this ideal valve substitute does not exist, and each of the currently available prosthetic valves has inherent limitations ⁽¹⁾.

The ideal prosthetic heart valve should possess qualities that include good hemodynamic performance and durability, ease of insertion, and freedom from thromboembolic events. Although valve manufacturers aim for these objectives, no prosthetic valve has yet achieved a perfect performance profile in accordance with these criteria ⁽²⁾.

There are several design features that distinguish the CardiaMed valve from the CarboMedics valve (2).

The CardiaMed valve (CardiaMed; Penza, Russia) was first approved for clinical use in 2003. The valve contains 2 leaflets that rotate around a central axis. The leaflets are made of pyrolytic carbon, and the valve housing is reinforced with a stiff ring made of titanium alloy. The specially designed aerodynamic shape of the leaflets not only produces laminar flow but also accelerates valve opening and closure ⁽²⁾.

Based on the results of various studies, the CardiaMed heart valve displays an excellent hemodynamic profile and minimal rates of valve-related adverse events ⁽²⁾.

On the other hand, the CarboMedics bileaflet mechanical prosthesis was introduced in 1986 and until now more than 500,000 valves have been implanted ⁽³⁾.

The CarboMedics prosthesis has a solid pyrolite carbon housing and flat leaflets of pyrolite carbon coated graphite that is impregnated with tungsten ⁽³⁾.

The pyrolite carbon housing is reinforced by an outer stiffening ring composed of titanium which virtually eliminates the risk of leaflet escape. The design further enables valve rotation after implantation ⁽³⁾.

With the recommended international normalised ratio (INR) level for the CarboMedics aortic heart valve prosthesis the rate of embolic and bleeding events are low ⁽³⁾.

Thrombosis of a CarboMedics aortic valve prosthesis is rarely seen and is the result of inappropriate anticoagulation without pannus formation ⁽³⁾.

The incidence of prosthetic endocarditis is very low and this is also the case for noninfectious paravalvular leakage necessitating reoperation. Intrinsic dysfunction and/or structural failure has never been reported ⁽³⁾.