
***An in-vitro Study to Evaluate the Bond
Strength Difference between Glass Fiber
Post and Fiber Reinforced Strip (Etched
and Non Etched)***

Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University
for Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements of the Master's
Degree in Orthodontic and Pediatric dentistry.

By

Shereen Ahmed Khairy Al-Ghayesh

B.D.S

Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University, ٢٠٠٦

٢٠١٢

Supervisors

Dr. Amr M. Abdelaziz.

*Professor of Pediatric dentistry department,
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University.*

Dr. Dalia I. Al-Korashy

*Associate Professor of Dental Biomaterials,
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University*

This thesis is dedicated to...

*My mother, to my father my first word for their love and
support, to my sister and brothers my first friends and to my
husband for his encouragement and understanding.*

And last but not least my beloved sons

Ahmed and Zeyad

Acknowledgement

*First and foremost thanks are due to **ALLAH** the most beneficent and most merciful.*

*I am greatly honored to express my gratitude to **Dr. Amr M. Abdelaziz**, Professor of Pediatric dentistry and dean of Pediatric and Orthodontic department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for his continuous encouragement and guidance.*

*I would like to express my deepest gratitude to **Dr. Dalia I. A-Korashy** Assistant Professor of Dental biomaterials, Ain Shams University, for her support, meticulous advice, valuable comments and unlimited guidance throughout this work. She was generous with time and effort.*

*Finally, I would like to thank all **the staff members, colleagues and laboratory technicians** for their help and encouragement during the course of this work.*

List of Contents:

List of Figures.....	ii
List of Tables.....	v
Introduction.....	١
Review of literature.....	٣
Aim of the study.....	٣٦
Materials and methods.....	٣٧
Results.....	٥٧
Discussion.....	٧٤
Summary and Conclusions.....	٨١
References.....	٨٣
Arabic summary.....	

List of Figures

- Figure 1:** Study set-up.....
- Figure 2:** Samples of selected single-rooted teeth.....
- Figure 3:** Sample teeth after crown removal.....
- Figure 4:** A size 10 K-file was passively introduced into each root canal until its tip was just seen from the apical foramen.....
- Figure 5:** The working length was established by subtracting 1 mm from this length.....
- Figure 6:** Glidden drills (MANI Inc., Tochigi, Japan) were used in a crown-down manner using size # 4, 5, and 6 respectively.....
- Figure 7:** Fiberkleer post drill (Violet) (Pentron Clinical Technology Wallingford, USA).....
- Figure 8:** Fiberkleer post (Pentron Clinical Technology Wallingford, USA).....
- Figure 9:** Custom made metallic mold were fabricated to accommodate the acrylic resin block.....
- Figure 10:** Custom made paralleling device (parallelometer), root attached to the lower tip of the parallelometer and fixed into acrylic resin..

Figure ١١: Root attached to the lower tip of the parallelometer and fixed into the custom made metallic mold to accommodate the acrylic resin block

Figure ١٢: The acrylic block with the tooth was separated from the mold.....

Figure ١٣: Plastic root canal pins.....

Figure ١٤: The size of the copper bands were selected to fit the samples, giving approximately a ٢ mm space around the circumference of the roots.....

Figure ١٥: Plastic pins were used to carry the impression material inside the root canals.....

Figure ١٦: Vectris units are supplied in a soft malleable form in light-insulating packages.....

Figure ١٧: ١٢ mm of the Glass fiber rods were measured.....

Figure ١٨: The Glass fibers were cut with a sharp cutter to length of ١٢ mm to leave ٤ mm extended coronally for post handling.....

Figure ١٩: Glass fiber rods were removed using a tweezer.....

Figure ٢٠: Glass fiber rods were light cured using light transmitting post.....

Figure ٢١: Targis Power oven.....

Figure ٢٢: The posts were tried in their corresponding root specimens to ensure complete seating and passive fit of the posts.....

Figure ٢٣: Breeze cement and the auto-mix tip which was attached to the double barrel syringe.....

Figure ٢٤: The cement was light cured for ٤٠ seconds from the outer end of the post using a halogen light curing unit.....

Figure ٢٥: The teeth with the bonded fiber post.....

Figure ٢٦: Custom aligning Teflon apparatus was fabricated to embed each prepared root vertically within self-curing acrylic resin (top view).....

Figure ٢٧: Custom aligning Teflon apparatus (side view).....

Figure ٢٨: Root attached to the lower tip of the parallelometer and fixed into the custom made Teflon mold.....

Figure ٢٩: Perpendicular sectioning of root-post sets

Figure ٣٠: Horizontal sections of middle portion of ٣ mm thickness each were cut from each root.....

Figure ٣١: The thickness of the slices was measured using a digital caliper.....

Figure ٣٢: Sample were examined before testing to confirm a circular canal shape and that the cement filled the entire canal space without voids using a digital microscope at ٤٠X.....

Figure ٣٣: This specimen was discarded and replaced with another root due to presence of voids.....

Figure 34: : For each section, both the coronal and apical post diameters were measured in pixels by using ImageJ software which was used to calculate the bonded surface area.....

Figure 35: The cylindrical steel plunger of 1,9 or 1,7 mm diameter.....

Figure 36: acrylic embedded root slice was secured in a custom made loading fixture.....

Figure 37: Schematic illustration of the custom made loading fixture...

Figure 38: The gold coater (BALTEC SCD 100).....

Figure 39: The dentin slices after gold coating.....

Figure 40: The gold coated specimens were fixed on a specimen holder using double-faced stickers.....

Figure 41: The holder with the specimens were inserted into the vacuum chamber.....

Figure 42: The SEM (Philips XL30 SEM).....

Figure 43: A column chart of push out bond strength mean values for all groups as function of surface treatment and radicular region.....

Figure 44: Column chart comparing all variables affecting push out bond strength mean values.....

Figure 45: A column chart of push out bond strength mean values for all groups as function of surface treatment at coronal region.....

Figure 46: A column chart of push out bond strength mean values for all groups as function of surface treatment at middle region.....

Figure 4.7: A column chart of total push out bond strength mean values for all group.....

Figure 4.8: A column chart of total push out bond strength mean values for coronal and middle region.....

Figure 4.9: A column chart of total push out bond strength mean values for non-etched and etched group.....

Figure 5.0: A column chart of push out bond strength mean values for custom made group as function of surface treatment and radicular region.....

Figure 5.1: A column chart of push out bond strength mean values for prefabricated group as function of surface treatment and radicular region.....

Figure 5.2: A column chart of total push out bond strength mean values for all group ranked from higher to lower.....

Figure 5.3: A stacked column chart of frequent distribution (%) for different failure modes.....

Figure 5.4: Representative photograph of adhesive failure type for (non-etched custom made post) group.....

Figure 5.5: Representative photograph of mixed failure type for (non-etched custom made post) group.....

Figure 5.6: Representative photograph of adhesive failure type for (etched custom made post) group.....

Figure ٥٧:	Representative photograph of mixed failure type for (etched custom made post) group.....
Figure ٥٨:	Representative photograph of adhesive failure type for (non-etched prefabricated post) group.....
Figure ٥٩:	Representative photograph of mixed failure type for (non-etched prefabricated post) group.....
Figure ٦٠:	Representative photograph of cohesive failure type for (etched prefabricated post) group.....
Figure ٦١:	Representative photograph of mixed failure type for (etched prefabricated post) group.....
Figure ٦٢:	Representative photograph of adhesive failure type for (direct made post) group.....
Figure ٦٣:	SEM for non-etched prefabricated fiber post (top view) showing Non treated fiber post has a relatively smooth surface which limits the micromechanical interlocking
Figure ٦٤:	SEM for prefabricated non treated fiber post post showing fibers that are intact without cracking.....
Figure ٦٥:	SEM for prefabricated etched fiber post showing microcracks and longitudinal fractures within the fiber layer.....
Figure ٦٦:	SEM for non-etched custom made fiber post showing fibers that are intact without cracking.....

Figure ٧٧: SEM for etched custom made fiber post showing microcracks and longitudinal fractures within the fiber layer.....

Figure ٧٨: SEM for etched custom made fiber post (top view) before cementation showing partial dissolution of the resinous matrix by the HF acid that form rough surface.....

Figure ٧٩: SEM non-etched custom made fiber post (disc) showing homogenous structure the three parts adhere to each other.....

Figure ٨٠: SEM for non-etched custom made fiber post note the less mechanical interlocking with the cement.....

Figure ٨١: SEM for cemented etched custom made fiber post showing dissolution of the post resin matrix that is filled with the resin cement to its inner structure.....

Figure ٨٢: SEM higher magnification for cemented etched custom made fiber reinforced post note the micromechanical interlocking between cement and the spaces created by the HF acid on the post surface.....

Figure ٨٣: SEM (low magnification) for cemented direct-made custom made fiber post showing very narrow cement space, incorporation of the resin cement inside the post itself

Figure ٨٤: SEM (higher magnification) for cemented direct-made custom made fiber post note the incorporation of resin cement and lightly-packed glass fibers.....

Figure ٨٥: SEM for cemented custom made fiber post note the almost absence of hybrid layer and very narrow cemental space.....

Figure ٧٦:

Figure ٧٧:

Figure ٧٨:

Figure ٧٩:

Figure ٨٠:

List of Tables

Table ١: Materials (manufacturers), descriptions and compositions.....

Table ٢: Showing the interaction between the experimental variables....

Table ٣: Push out bond strength results (Mean \pm SD) for all groups as function of surface treatment and radicular region.....

Table ٤: Three factorial ANOVA comparing variables affecting push out bond strength mean value.....

Table ٥: Push out bond strength results (Mean \pm SD) for all groups as function of surface treatment at coronal region.....

Table ٦: Push out bond strength results (Mean \pm SD) for all groups as function of surface treatment at middle region.....

Table ٧: Total push out bond strength results (Mean \pm SD) for all groups..

Table ٨: Comparison of total push out bond strength results (Mean \pm SD) between coronal and middle region.....

Table 9: Comparison of total push out bond strength results (Mean±SD) between non-etched and etched groups.....	
Table 10: Push out bond strength results (Mean±SD) for custom made group as function of surface treatment and radicular region.....	
Table 11: Push out bond strength results (Mean±SD) for prefabricated group as function of surface treatment and radicular region.....	
Table 12: Group ranking (higher to lower) and interaction between variables.....	
Table 13: Frequent distribution (%) of failure modes for all groups as function of radicular region.....	
Table 14: Comparison of surface roughness results (Roughness average Ra) between prefabricated and custom made posts as a function of surface treatment.....	

INTRODUCTION

The increase risk of biomechanical failure of the endodontically treated teeth could be related to the loss of a large part of the coronal tooth structure^{1,2}, which may be due to decay as (early childhood caries) or dental trauma^{3,4}. The general protocol for fractured, non-vital anterior permanent teeth involves root canal treatment followed by protective permanent restorations for the coronal structure. Special situations arise in young patients when the pulps of anterior teeth lose vitality with resultant arrested development of the roots.

The open and sometimes divergent apical morphology and weak root dentine walls make endodontic procedures challenging, and presents restorative problems. It is important to preserve these weakened teeth in young patients⁵.

In the majority of clinical situations, the placement of post serves protection of weakened tooth and adds additional retention for the coronal restoration.

Posts either prefabricated or custom made were traditionally made of metal and have been used in these situations to provide the necessary retention for the subsequent prosthodontic restoration. However their use resulted in complex combinations of materials (dentin,