Sonographic morphology score, Doppler ultrasound and tumor markers in the assessment of adnexal masses and the relation to the histopathology

THESIS

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of M.D. in Obstetrics and Gynecology

By Wael Sayed El-Sherbiny (M.B., B.Ch., M.Sc.)

Supervised By

Prof. Mohamed Roshdy Mahmoud

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

Prof. Omar Farag Rizk

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

Prof. Dina Ahmed Yassin

Professor of Clinical Pathology National Cancer Institute, Cairo University

Prof. Mohamed Ismael El-Bokl

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine Cairo University

FACUL TY OF MEDICINE

CAIRO UNIVERSITY

2008

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost thanks to **ALLAH**, the most gracious, the most merciful who helped me to finish this work

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Prof. Mohamed Roshdy Mahmoud, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University for his great help support and encouragement. It was really a pleasure to work with such a great figure in the field of Obstetrics and Gynecology.

I would also like to express my deep appreciation to Prof. Omar Farag Rizk, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University for his continuous support guidance and supervision and valuable enthusiastic criticism.

I wish to convey my deep appreciation and special thanks to Prof. Dina Ahmed Yassin, Professor of Clinical Pathology, National Cancer Institute, Cairo University, for her endless patience, enormous effort and kind supervision.

Special thanks and utmost gratitude to Prof. Mohamed Ismael El-Bokl, Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University.

I would like to thank and convey my deep appreciation to all patients who helped me by their cooperation to finish this work.

CONTENTS

	Page
■List of Abbreviations	
■List of Tables	
■List of Figures	
■Introduction	1
■Aim of the Work	5
■Review of Literature:	
 Adnexal masses and role of 2D ultrasonography 	
in their diagnosis	6
■ Sonographic morphology score	55
Doppler ultrasonography of pelvic adnexal masses	68
• Tumor markers in assessment of ovarian cancer and	
other adnexal mass	87
■Patients and Methods	105
■Results	112
■Discussion	149
■Conclusion	172
■Recommendations	174
■Summary	175
■References	178
■Arabic Summary	

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CA125 Cancer antigen 125

CA 19-9 Carbohydrate antigen 19-9
2DU/S Two dimensional ultrasound
HCG Human chorionic gonadotrophin

LPA Lysophosphatidic acid

M-CSF Macrophage-colony stimulating factor

NPV Negative predictive value

OC125 Ovarian cancer 125
PI Pulsatility index

PID Pelvic inflammatory disease PPV Positive predictive value

"P" value Probability value RI Resistance index

RMI Risk of malignancy index
ROC Risk of ovarian cancer
S/D Systolic/diastolic

TAS Transabdominal sonography

TOA Tubo-ovarian abscess
TVS Transvaginal sonography

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
1	Ovarian volume and morphological changes with different	
	age groups	9
2	World health organization histological classification of	
	ovarian tumors	27
3	Scoring system for cystic teratomas based on transvaginal	
	color and pulsed Doppler	38
4	Detailed description of the four previously published scoring	
	systems.	59-62
5	The diagnostic accuracy of the five scoring systems	66
6	Comparison of the diagnostic accuracy of the multicenter	
	score vs. the other four scores	67
7	Other medical conditions known to elevate CA125 levels	91
8	Non-malignant causes of CA19.9 elevation	98
9	Age and parity in patients with ovarian lesions (60 patients ha	d adnexal
	masses of whom 12 were postmenopausal)	113
10	Distribution of patients according to age by decades	113
11	Distribution of ovarian masses in patients by	
	reproductive years	114
12	Relation between adnexal masses pathology and age	114
13	Distribution of parity according to the pathological type	
	of the adnexal mass	115
14	Relation between Pathology of adnexal mass and parity	115
15	Site of adnexal Masses in the study population	116
16	Nature of adnexal masses in the study population	117
17	Symptomatology in the study population	118
18	Presence of ascites according to the nature of the tumor	119

10	
19	Cytological examination of ascitic fluid in different
	tumor types
20	Appearance of the wall by 2D ultrasound
21	Appearance of septa by 2D ultrasound
22	Echogenicity of the masses by 2D ultrasound
23	Other morphological criteria of the masses
24	Sonographic diagnosis among the study population
25	Pathological diagnosis of adnexal lesions
26	Distribution of the SMS of the ovarian masses
	in the study population
27	Shows the mean \pm SD and p value of the Sonographic
	morphology score
28	SMS in the prediction of ovarian malignancy
	in the study population
29	Value of individual sonographic features of ovarian
	masses in the diagnosis of malignancy
30	Color flow mapping in relation to tumor pathologic type
31	Mean PI and RI \pm SD according to the pathological nature
	of the masses with detectable flow
32	The sensitivity and specificity of Doppler techniques
	in the diagnosis of malignant ovarian tumors
33 34	Mean, ± SD and P value of RI of studied cases
	methods
35	Various cut off levels of CA 125 in prediction
	of ovarian malignancy
86	Various cut off levels of CA 19-9 in prediction
	of ovarian malignancy
37	Ca 125 value and relation to pathology in study population
38	Ca 19-9 value and relation to pathology in study population
39	Combined CA125 and CA 19-9 and the prediction of nature of adnex
	in comparison to CA 125 alone.
	The state of the s

Table	Title	Page
40	Combined Clinical data, SMS, Doppler and tumor markers in	
	prediction of nature of adnexal masses	139

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig.	Title I	Page
1	Normal ovary in a woman of menstrual age	10
2	Normal ovary in a postmenopausal women	12
3	Simple ovarian cyst	21
4	Hemorrhagic ovarian cyst	23
5	Multilocular endometrioma	25
6	Endometrioma filled with homogenous echoes	26
7	Ovarian papillary cystadenocarcinoma with solid nodule in the	
	wall. Color Doppler demonstrates flow within solid nodule	
	and wall of tumour	32
8	Ovarian mucinous cystadenocarcinoma with thick septation	
	and ascites. Low resistance flow with PI of 0.42	33
9	Ovarian fibroma. The mass has sonographic features to those	
	of a uterine fibroid	35
10	Ovarian dermoid tumour with highly echogenic solid nodule	
	of tissues in the wall	37
11	Hydrosalpinx shows dilated fallopian tube (arrows) with tortuous, fluid-	-
	filled configuration with folds (arrow head)	43
12	Bilateral hydrosalpinges through TAS	44
13	Tubo-ovarian abscess	45
14	Undisturbed tubal ectopic pregnancy. Uterus to the left	
	shows thickened endometrium	49
15	Disturbed ectopic pregnancy with free intraperitoneal fluid	51
16	ROC curve for SMS of dermoid cyst	64
17	ROC curve for SMS of adnexal masses.	67
18	Doppler waveform of a normal ovary showing dominant follicle	75
19	Malignant ovarian neoplasm shows central blood flow	80
20	A: Transvaginal B-mode sonogram shows a complex adnexal mass with	
	solid components and thick septa. Histological evaluation revealed a prim	ary
	ovarian carcinoma. B: 2DPD of the complex adnexal mass showing	
	abundant blood flow	82

Fig.	Title	Page
21	A cystic teratoma. Pulsed Doppler analysis (right) demonstrates	
	moderate impedance $\{R1 = 0.58\}$ to blood flow	93
22	Distribution of patients according to age by decades	113
23	Distribution of parity according to the pathological	
	types of adnexal mass	115
24	Nature of adnexal masses in the study population	116
25	Symptomatology in the study population	117
26	Appearance of the wall by 2D ultrasound	120
27	Appearance of septa by 2D ultrasound	121
28	Echogenicity of the masses by 2D ultrasound	122
29	Other morphological criteria of the masses	123
30	Sonographic diagnosis among the study population	125
31	Pathological diagnosis of adnexal lesions	125
32	Distribution of the SMS of the ovarian masses in the study	
	Population	127
33	SMS in the prediction of ovarian malignancy	
	in the study population	128
34	Value of individual sonographic features of ovarian masses in the diagnosis	
	of malignancy	133
35	Color flow mapping in relation to tumor pathologic type	136
36	Mean PI and RI according to the pathological nature of the	
	masses with detectable flow	132
37	The sensitivity and specificity of Doppler techniques	
	in the diagnosis of malignant ovarian tumors	133
38	Various cut off levels of CA 125 in prediction of	
	ovarian malignancy	136

Fig.	Title	Page
39	Various cut off levels of CA 19-9 in prediction of	
	ovarian malignancy	137
40	Cystic Multilocular Ovarian malignant tumor with vasculature in the septa	140
41 42	Power Doppler of Adenocarcinoma of the ovary . RI = 0.58	
43	High RI > 1	141
	and peripheral vascularity	141
44	Ovarian carcinoma associated with pelvic infection represented by	
	hydrosalpinx, the Doppler of the mass shows central vascularity	142
45	Color Doppler of a cystadenocarcinoma of the ovary. The $RI = 0.41$	6. 142
46	Ovarian Endometrioid tumor, formed mainly of solid areas	143
47	Ovarian teratoma, note the mixed solid and cystic areas	143
48	Ovarian teratoma with solid and cystic areas	144
49	Doppler of Granulosa cell tumor, the ovary is seen displaced by a so	lid
	mass with definite capsule with vascularity in the interior	144
50	Sertoli leidig tumor with vascularized periphery.	145
51	Ectopic Pregnancy, heterogenous solid mass outside the ovary with high vascularity and the ovary is shoming a corpus luteum	145
52	Hemorrhagic corpus luteum, RI 0.44, PI 0.64.	146
53	Mucinous cystadenoma	146
54	Serous cystadenoma.	147
55	Simple serous cystadenoma.	147
56	Color Doppler of papillary serous ovarian carcinoma	148
57	Color Doppler of mucinous cyst adenoma with multilocular lesion	

ABSTRACT

Adnexal masses are considered a group of the most common diseases in gynecology and ovarian tumors represent two thirds of these cases. 2D ultrasound has become the main diagnostic tool in Obstetrics and Gynecology. Color Doppler in addition to serum level of CA125 and CA 19-9 can help in the assessment of adnexal masses. This study included sixty patients with adnexal masses and the role of the previous tools was studied in the assessment of these lesions. It was found that, clinical picture has the lowest sensitivity to predict malignancy. Doppler studies and serum level of tumor markers can improve the assessment of adnexal masses and differentiation between benign and malignant ovarian tumors.

Key Words:

Adnexal masses-ovarian tumors-2D ultrasound-Doppler-CA125-CA 19-9.

INTRODUCTION

Ovarian neoplasms present an increasing challenge to the physician and ovarian cancer is the most lethal of all gynecological cancers, presenting late and responding poorly to treatment (**Gaughan et al.,2006**). Malignant ovarian tumors are the fourth most common cause of death in women (**Poveda , 2005**). Approximately 4-24% of adnexal masses in premenopausal women and 39-63% in postmenopausal women are malignant (**Myers et al., 2006**).

Ovarian masses may be encountered in patients being evaluated for a complaint referable to the pelvis or in asymptomatic patients. Most women with ovarian cancer have no symptoms for long periods of time. When symptoms develop, they are often vague and nonspecific. In early stage disease, the patient may experience irregular menses if she is premenopausal. If a pelvic mass is compressing the bladder or rectum, she may report urinary frequency or constipation. Occasionally, she may perceive lower abdominal distension, pressure, or pain, such as dyspareunia. Acute symptoms, such as pain secondary to rupture or torsion, are unusual (Olson et al, 2001). Moreover, in advanced-stage disease, patients most often have symptoms related to the presence of ascites, omental metastases, or a bowel metastases. The symptoms include abdominal distension, bloating, constipation, nausea or anorexia. Premenopausal women may complain of irregular or heavy menses, whereas vaginal bleeding may occur in postmenopausal women (Olson et al., 2001).

Because the patient usually complains of abdominal symptoms, she may not have a pelvic examination, and a tumor may be missed. A variety of benign conditions of the reproductive tract, such as pelvic inflammatory disease, endometriosis, and pedunculated uterine leiomyoma, can simulate ovarian cancer. Non-gynecologic causes of an adnexal mass, such as inflammatory disease or neoplastic colonic mass or even a pelvic kidney can simulate ovarian cancer (Berek and Hacker, 2000).

A careful history taking and pelvic examination is an important step for the diagnosis, however, the diagnostic accuracy of pelvic examination varied in different series between 50% and 90%. It is affected by several variables including the experience of the gynecologist, the type of the patient and the characteristics of the mass. Several factors may hinder the diagnosis, even for an experienced gynecologist: virginity, obesity, associated pregnancy, ascites and pelvic tenderness (**Roman et al., 1997**).

Many diagnostic procedures have been used for a thorough evaluation of such cases, among them ultrasonography is a currently used tool for the diagnosis. The information about the likelihood that the mass is benign or malignant is important because it will affect the clinical management and the therapeutic approach.

Ultrasound examination for the prediction of malignancy in an ovarian mass has been limited by the lack of cut off criteria for evaluation of such masses but with the advent of high frequent transvaginal ultrasonography and 3D ultrasonography, new opportunities are presented in characterization of ovarian masses together with closer proximity to pelvic

vessels. Although, the gray scale prediction of benignity was quite reliable, many benign lesions were placed in the group of tumors suggestive of malignancy (false positive) because of their gray scale features (Hata et al., 1999).

Previous studies have shown that color Doppler ultrasonography may be able to detect neovascularization in some tumors of the ovary and together with a low resistance to blood flow, enabled the prediction of malignancy (Jokubkiene et al., 2007).

The observations made in the late 1980s indicated that the transvaginal color Doppler ultrasonography can be used in the detection of ovarian cancer and has generated a stream of clinical trials. However, the conflicting results of numerous publications have led to major controversy. Transvaginal color Doppler ultrasonography saves time and increases the accuracy of measurements, though masses over 10 cm in size are best evaluated using conventional transabdominal sonography. The most important advantage of this technique is the display of blood flow across the entire scanning plane of the pelvis. Therefore pulsed Doppler sample volume can be placed accurately on the area of interest using the guidance of the color flow, and spectral wave form analysis can be done easily, as each vessel imaged by color Doppler can be explored by pulsed Doppler and flow can be assessed without interference from other vessels lying distally, or in close proximity to the same axis of investigation and having a different circulatory patterns and hence color Doppler seems to produce a better characterization of pelvic tumor vascularity than any other current available diagnostic method (Kurjak et al., 1989).

Although the value of resistance and pulsatility indices have shown promise, various thresholds for these parameters have been proposed and significant overlap between benign and malignant (or border line) masses was found (Czekierdowski, 2002). Greater accuracy of color Doppler may be achieved with an additional qualitative assessment of blood flow in ovarian tumors as proposed by Fleischer et al. (1993), stressing the importance of blood vessel distribution within the tumor (peripheral in benign tumor and central in malignant tumor).

Tumor markers including CA-125 and CA 19-9 have been shown to contribute to early diagnosis of ovarien cancers (**Czekierdowski**, **2002**).

Because of the many medical diagnoses that give false-positive CA-125 and CA 19-9 results, they cannot be used for general population screening for ovarian cancer in either premenopausal or postmenopausal women (Erdogan et al.,2005).