



histological Evaluation of Bone Marrow Derived Stem Cell Therapy on Experimentally Induced Osteoarthritis in Albino Rats' Knee Joint

Thesis

Submitted for partial Fulfillment of MD Degree in Histology

By

Amal Elham Ahmed Fares

*Assistant lecturer of histology
Faculty of medicine
Cairo University*

Under the supervision of

Dr. Samir Mahmoud Nada

*Professor of Histology
Faculty of Medicine
Cairo University*

Dr. Nagla Mohamed Salama

*Professor of Histology
Faculty of Medicine
Cairo University*

Dr. Mary Atia Morcos

*Professor of Histology
Faculty of Medicine
Cairo University*

Faculty of Medicine
Cairo University
2014



التقييم الهستولوجي لتأثير العلاج بالخلايا الجذعية النخاعية في الالتهاب العظمي المفصلي المستحدث تجريبيا في مفصل الركبة للفئران البيضاء

بحث مقدم من الطيبة/ أمل الهام أحمد فارس

مدرس مساعد بقسم الهستولوجى كلية الطب – جامعة القاهرة

توطئة جزئية للحصول على درجة الدكتوراه فى الهستولوجى

تحت اشراف

ا.د. / سمير محمود ندا

استاذ الهستولوجى

كلية الطب – جامعة القاهرة

ا.د./ نجلاء محمد سلامه

استاذ الهستولوجى

كلية الطب – جامعة القاهرة

ا.د./ ماري عطيه مرقص

استاذ الهستولوجى

كلية الطب – جامعة القاهرة

كلية الطب

جامعة القاهرة

٢٠١٤

Abstract

Aim of work: This work aims to evaluate the efficacy of intra-articular injection of bone marrow derived-mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) in treatment of mono-iodoacetate (MIA) induced osteoarthritis in rat knee joint monitored by histological and immunohistochemical methods.

Materials and methods: this study was carried out on 45 adult male albino rats. They were classified into 4 groups: group I (control group), group II (osteoarthritic group) in which rats received 1 mg of MIA and sacrificed after 2 weeks (in subgroup IIa) and after 4 weeks (in subgroup IIb), group III (stem cell treated group) in which rats received MSCs 2 weeks after MIA injection (in subgroup IIIa) or 4 weeks after MIA (in subgroup IIIb) and sacrificed 2 weeks later and group IV (untreated group) in which rats received PBS 2 weeks after MIA injection (in subgroup IIIa) or 4 weeks after MIA (in subgroup IIIb) and sacrificed 2 weeks later. Sections were taken from rats' knee joints and stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin, toluidine blue, immunohistochemical stains for collagen type II. Sections were examined by light microscopy & immunofluorescent microscopy for PKH26-labeled MSCs. The mean articular cartilage (AC) thickness, optical density of cartilage matrix proteoglycan and area percent of collagen type II immunoreactivity were measured using image analyzer and statistically analyzed.

Results: Sections of group II showed features of osteoarthritis in the form of disorientation & degeneration of chondrocytes, Exfoliation of the superficial part of AC with many osteoblasts and osteoclasts were noticed with significant reduction in cartilage thickness, optical density of AC matrix and area % of collagen type II immunostaining compared to control. Sections of AC in the MSCs treated group showed apparent improvement of the osteoarthritic features in the form of increase in the AC thickness with normal zonation and proliferation of chondrocyte with significant increase in optical density of AC matrix and collagen type II immunoreactivity. PKH26-labeled cells were found in the AC of groups III. Sections of the AC in group IV (untreated group) showed

deterioration of the OA features with complete loss of zonation and many degenerated chondrocytes.

Conclusion: Treatment with bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) could significantly treat the osteoarthritic changes induced by MIA in rat knee joint.

Key words:

Knee joint- AC- osteoarthritis- MIA- MSCs- PKH26.

Contents

Subject	Page
List of Abbreviations	i- ii
List of Figures	iii-iv-v
List of Tables	vi
Introduction and Aim of the work	1
Review of Literature:	
-Anatomy of the knee joint	4
-Histology of the knee joint	10
-Osteoarthritis	17
-Stem Cells	28
- Mesenchymal Stem Cells	34
Material and Methods	37
Results	58
Discussion	105
Summary & conclusion	120
Recommendation	126
References	127
Arabic summary	\

Acknowledgement

*Firstly, I would like to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **ALLAH** whose magnificent help is the first factor in our success.*

*I would like to express my sincere gratitude and deep appreciation to **Dr. Samir Mahmoud Nada** Professor of histology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University for his great and continuous effort in supporting, helping and encouraging me. I deeply appreciate his patience.*

*I wish to express my grateful thanks and deep appreciation to **Dr. Nagla Mohamed Salama**, Professor of histology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for her valuable advice and faithful revision of this work. I shall never forget her assistance. To work under her supervision has been a great honor.*

*There is no word capable of expressing my everlasting gratitude to **Dr. Mary Atia Morcos**, Professor of histology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for her valuable advice, friendly attitude and the wonderful remarks that made this thesis better.*

*Finally, I would like to express my grateful thanks to **Prof. Dr. Hend Shafeek**, Head of Histology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University and to all staff members and colleague in the Histology Department.*

List of Abbreviations

AC	Articular Cartilage.
ACL	Anterior Cruciate Ligament.
ANOVA	Analysis of variance.
ASCs	Adult Stem Cells.
BM	Bone Marrow.
BMP	Bone Morphogenic Protein.
BSS	Balanced saline solution.
CILP	Cartilage Intermediate Layer Protein.
CRP	C-reactive protein.
CT	Computed tomography.
DAB	Diaminobenzidine.
DJD	Degenerative Joint Disease.
DMEM	Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's medium.
DNA	Dioxyribonuclic Acid.
DPBs	Dulbecco's phosphate Buffered Saline.
ECM	Extracellular Matrix.
EDTA	Ethylene Diamine Tetra Acetate.
ESCs	Embryonic Stem Cells.
FBS	Fetal bovine serum.
FDA	Food & drug administration.
FRZB	Frizzled Related Protein.
GAGs	Glycosaminoglycans.
HA	Hyaluronic Acid.
HBSS	Hanks' Balanced Salt Solution.
HSCs	Hematopoietic stem cells.
IGF	Insulin- like growth factor.
IL	Interleukin.
iPSCs	induced pluripotent stem cells.
IVF	in-vitro fertilization.
LCL	Lateral Collateral Ligament.

LFA-1	Lymphocyte function- associated antigen 1.
MCL	Medial Collateral Ligament.
MIA	Mono-iodoacetic acid.
MRI	Magnetic resonance imaging.
MSCs	Mesenchymal Stem Cells.
MMP	Matrix Metalloproteinase
NSAIDS	Non steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.
OA	Osteoarthritis.
OST	Osteophyte.
PBS	Phosphate buffer saline.
PCL	Posterior Cruciate Ligament.
PDGF	Platelet derived growth factor.
SC	Stem cell.
SOX9	Sry-related HMG box-9.
SPSS	Statistical package for the social sciences.
TRAP	Tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase
TGF β	Transforming Growth factor-beta.
TNF ALP6	Tumor Necrosis Factor alpha-induced Protein 6.
TNF-α	Tumor Necrosis Factor Alpha.
US	Ultrasonography.

List of Figures

	Page
Fig. I: Anatomy of the human knee joint.	4
Fig. II: Ligaments of the knee.	6
Fig. III: Head of right tibia seen from above.	7
Fig. IV: Articular cartilage morphology.	11
Fig. V: Osteoarthritis of the medial side of the knee.	21
Fig. VI: Pluripotent stem cells.	30
Fig. 1-a: A photomicrograph of human normal colon (+ve control).	51
Fig. 1-b: A photomicrograph of rat knee section (-ve control immunostaining).	52
Fig. 2: A copy of display seen on the monitor's screen of the image analyzer showing the thickness of AC (H&E).	54
Fig. 3: A copy of display seen on the monitor's screen of the image analyzer showing the optical density of AC matrix (Toulidine blue).	55
Fig. 4: A copy of display seen on the monitor's screen of the image analyzer showing the areas of collagen II fibers (Anti-Collagen-II immunostaining).	56
Fig. 5: Two fluorescent micrographs of unstained sections of rat knee articular cartilage of subgroup IIIa (A) & IIIb (B) (PKH26 x 200)	58
Figs. 6-8: Photomicrographs of a section from the control group (H&E)	60-62
Figs. 9-10: Photomicrographs of a section of AC in subgroup IIa (H&E)	64-65

Figs.11-12: Photomicrographs of AC section from subgroup IIb (H&E)	66-67
Fig. 13: A photomicrograph of a section of the subchondral bone of subgroup IIb (H&E)	68
Fig. 14: A photomicrograph of a section of AC from subgroup IIb (H&E)	69
Fig. 15: A photomicrograph of a section of subchondral bone from subgroup IIb (H&E)	70
Figs. 16-17: Photomicrographs of AC section from subgroup IIIa (H&E)	72-73
Figs. 18-19: Photomicrographs of a section from subgroup IIIb (H&E)	74-75
Figs. 20-21: Photomicrographs of subgroup IVa (H&E)	77-78
Figs. 22-23: Photomicrographs of subgroup IVb (H&E)	79-80
Fig. 24: Histogram comparing the mean articular cartilage thickness.	82
Fig. 25: A photomicrograph of the AC from control group (Toulidine blue)	84
Fig. 26: A photomicrograph of a part of AC in subgroup IIa (Toulidineblue)	85
Fig. 27: A photomicrograph of a section of AC in subgroup IIb (Toulidine blue)	86
Fig. 28: A photomicrograph of a part of AC in subgroup IIIa (Toulidine blue)	87
Fig. 29: A photomicrograph of a part of AC in subgroup IIIb (Toulidine blue)	88
Fig. 30: A photomicrograph of a part of AC in subgroup IVa (Toulidine blue)	89

Fig. 31: A photomicrograph of a part of AC in subgroup IVb (Toulidine blue)	90
Fig. 32: Histogram comparing the mean optical density of AC.	92
Figs. 33-34: Photomicrographs of a section in the AC from control group (Anti-Collagen-II immunostaining).	94-95
Fig. 35: A photomicrograph of a section in the AC from subgroup IIa (Anti-Collagen-II immunostaining).	96
Fig. 36: A photomicrograph of a section in the AC from subgroup IIb (Anti-Collagen-II immunostaining)	97
Fig. 37: A photomicrograph of a section in the AC from subgroup IIIa (Anti-Collagen-II immunostaining)	98
Fig. 38: A photomicrograph of a section in the AC from subgroup IIIb (Anti-Collagen-II immunostaining)	99
Fig. 39: A photomicrograph of a section in the AC from subgroup IVa (Anti-Collagen-II immunostaining)	100
Fig. 40: A photomicrograph of a section in the AC from subgroup IVb (Anti-Collagen-II immunostaining)	101
Fig. 41: Histogram comparing the mean area % of collagen II.	103

List of Tables

Table	Page
Table (1): Comparison between the mean articular cartilage thickness \pm SD (in μm) in the studied groups.	81
Table (2): Comparison between the mean optical density of AC \pm SD in the studied groups.	91
Table (3): Comparison between the mean area % of collagen II \pm SD in the studied groups.	102

Introduction:

Osteoarthritis (OA) is a degenerative joint disease characterized by pain and dysfunction which represents a major cause of disability worldwide (*Buckwalter and Martin, 2006*).

The disease is characterized by chronic joint pain with various degrees of joint deformity and destruction of the articular cartilage. OA commonly affects weight-bearing joints. There is a decrease in proteoglycan content, with subsequent reduction in the intercellular water content in the cartilage matrix. Chondrocytes also play an important role in the pathogenesis of osteoarthritis. By producing interleukin-1 (IL-1) and tumor necrosis factor α (TNF- α), the production of metalloproteinases is stimulated, whereas synthesis of type II collagen and proteoglycans by the chondrocytes is inhibited (*Ross and Pawlina, 2011*).

Although articular cartilage is a metabolically active tissue, the chondrocytes in the matrix have a relatively slow rate of turnover. The tissue itself lacks a blood supply to support repair and remodeling (*Chang et al., 2005*).

OA is characterized by a catabolic and inflammatory joint environment. To this date, no drugs are available to structurally modify OA processes or prevent progression of the disease (*Harvey and Hunter, 2008*).

The available treatment strategies of OA are different surgical procedures including debridement, drilling, osteochondral transplantation, autologous perichondral and periosteal grafts and autologous chondrocyte implantation but these procedures were shown to be poor and restricted to relieving the symptoms (*Hunziker et al., 2002*).

Khan et al., (2010) stated that the management of cartilage defects is currently not ideal with evolving techniques and technologies. The use of stem cells has the potential to replace the damaged cartilage with hyaline cartilage.

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) have emerged as a candidate cell type with great potential for cell-based articular cartilage repair technologies. MSCs can be isolated from a variety of adult tissues including bone marrow, adipose tissue and synovial membrane (***Jorgensen et al., 2004***).

MSCs are multipotent cells present in adult bone marrow. They can replicate as undifferentiated cells and have the potential to differentiate to lineages of mesenchymal tissues, including cartilage, bone and fat. MSCs are therefore a promising cell source for the regeneration of cartilage, as they possess chondrogenic differentiation potential and are easy to obtain in high numbers (***Singh et al., 2014***).

Agung et al., (2006) demonstrated the possibility of intra-articular injection of MSCs for the treatment of intra-articular tissue injuries including anterior cruciate ligament, meniscus or cartilage. If this treatment option is established, it can be minimally invasive compared to conventional surgeries for these tissues. MSCs have chondrogenic potential and are experimentally being implanted in focal cartilage defects, showing promising results (***Van Buul et al., 2012***).

The intra-articular injection technique involves administration of a suspension containing therapeutically active stem cells. The procedure of intra-articular injection itself is abundantly described and has been established for decades. It is technically easy to perform because it is less invasive, and is suitable for outpatients. Also, the risks associated with stem cell injections are less severe compared with an open surgical treatment (***Orth et al., 2014***).