



**Ain Shams University**  
Faculty of Engineering

**EVALUATION OF EARTHQUAKE EFFECT ON  
WATERFRONT RETAINING STRUCTURES  
USING NUMERICAL MODELING**

By

**HEBA KAMAL EL-DINE MOHAMED**

B.Sc. Civil Engineering (2000), Ain Shams University

M.Sc. Civil Engineering (2004), Ain Shams University

A THESIS

SUBMITTED IN FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS  
FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN  
CIVIL ENGINEERING (STRUCTURAL)

SUPERVISED BY

**Prof. Dr. MONA MOSTAFA EID**

Prof. of Geotechnical Engineering

Structural Engineering Department

Faculty of Engineering \_ Ain Shams University

**Prof. Dr. AMIRA MOHAMED ABDEL-RAHMAN**

Prof. of Geotechnical Engineering

Soil Mechanics and Foundation Department

Housing and Building Research Center

**DR. TAREK MOHAMED ABDOUN**

Associate Prof. of Geotechnical Engineering

Civil Engineering Department

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute

**Cairo – 2010**

## **EXAMINER COMMITTEE**

**Name, Title & Affiliation**

**Signature**

**1- Prof. Dr. Eng. Ezzat Abdel Fattah Emira**

.....

Prof. of Geotechnical Engineering

Faculty of Engineering - Ain Shams University

**2- Prof. Dr. Eng. Mostafa Mohamed Abdel Warith**

.....

Prof. of Geoenvironmental Engineering

Faculty of Engineering - Reyrson University

**3- Prof. Dr. Eng. Mona Mostafa Eid**

.....

Prof. of Geotechnical Engineering

Faculty of Engineering - Ain Shams University

**4- Prof. Dr. Eng. Amira Mohamed Abd El-Rahman**

.....

Prof. of Geotechnical Engineering

Housing and Building Research Center

**Date:**     /     /

## **STATEMENT**

This dissertation is submitted to Ain Shams University for the degree of **PhD** in Civil Engineering.

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author in the Department of Structural Engineering, Ain Shams University from June 2006 to December 2009.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or for a qualification at any other University or Institution.

Date :        /        /

Signature :

Name :        Heba Kamal El-Dine Mohamed

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

I would like to extend my sincere gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mona Mostafa Eid**, for her direct supervision, generous and intellectual support, scientific assistance, guidance and invaluable advice throughout all phases of this work.

I would like to extend my sincere gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Amira Abdel-Rahman**, for her direct supervision, generous and intellectual support, scientific assistance, guidance and invaluable advice throughout all phases of this work.

I would like to extend my sincere gratitude to **Dr. Tarek Mohamed Abdoun**, for his direct supervision, generous and intellectual support, scientific assistance, guidance and invaluable advice during the discussion of the research.

My family has been a constant source of love, encouragement, and support throughout my studies and my life. I am grateful to my parents, who are a source of love, joy, and wisdom in my life.

Above all, praise and thanks to a gracious and loving God, for the strength, hope, and mercy provided throughout my life.

**AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY  
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING  
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT**

Abstract of PhD. Thesis submitted by:

**Eng. Heba Kamal El-Dine Mohamed**

Title of Thesis:

**Evaluation of Earthquake Effect on Waterfront Retaining Structures Using Numerical Modeling.**

Supervisors: **1) Prof. Dr. Eng. Mona Mostafa Eid**

**2) Prof. Dr. Eng. Amira Mohamed Abdel-Rahman**

**3) Dr. Eng. Tarek Mohamed Abdoun**

Registration Date:     /     /

Examination Date:    /     /

**ABSTRACT**

Recent earthquakes have indicated that damages of waterfront retaining structures are primarily due to soil liquefaction and the associated ground failures. The current standard of practice for the design and remediation of waterfront retaining structures typically utilizes traditional limit equilibrium methods. However, performance based design method is more appropriate for designing waterfront retaining structures. Changing from a limit equilibrium method of design to a performance based design method, there is a need for a better understanding for the seismic performance of waterfront retaining structures.

The objective of this research is directed towards numerically investigating the seismic behavior of waterfront retaining structures/ soil system, in relation to variables such as wall dimensions, liquefaction resistances of subsoil below and behind wall, the thickness of soil deposit below the wall as well as the levels of seismic shaking at the base layer. The investigation has also examined the effectiveness of ground

improvement techniques in controlling permanent seismically-induced deformations to provide guidelines for remediation optimization. This research will be useful for future seismic design of gravity quay walls. Based on the results, design considerations have been provided.

**Keywords:** liquefaction; waterfront retaining structures; ground improvement techniques; remediation optimization.

# CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGMENT.....	i
ABSTRACT.....	ii
CONTENTS.....	iv
LIST OF FIGURES.....	viii
LIST OF TABLES.....	xxii

## ***Chapter (1) Introduction***

1.1 GENERAL BACKGROUND.....	1
1.2 REVIEW OF PREVIOUS STUDIES.....	10
1.3. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES.....	16
1.4. ORGANIZATION OF THE THESIS.....	17

## ***Chapter (2) Mitigation Of Liquefaction Hazards***

2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	18
2.2 LIQUEFACTION ASSESSMENT OF WATERFRONT SOILS.....	19
2.2.1 Determination of the Earthquake Induced Cyclic Shear Stresses.....	20
2.2.1.1 Simplified empirical analysis.....	20
2.2.1.2 Site specific analysis.....	22
2.2.2 Cyclic Shear Stress Required to Initiate Liquefaction.....	22
2.2.2.1 Laboratory tests.....	23
2.2.2.2 Field tests.....	25
2.2.3 Evaluation of Initiation of Liquefaction.....	31

2.2.4 Effects of Liquefaction.....	32
2.3 REMEDIATION OF LIQUEFACTION HAZARDS.....	33
2.3.1 Techniques For Liquefaction Remediation .....	33
2.3.1.1 Soil improvement and structural solutions	33
2.3.1.2 Standard design procedure for liquefaction remediation.....	35
2.3.2 Outline of Remedial Measures against Liquefaction.....	37
2.3.3 Design of Liquefaction Remediation.....	43
2.3.3.1 Propagation of excess pore water pressure into the improved zone.....	44
2.3.3.2 Pressure applied by the liquefied sand layer.....	46
2.3.3.3 Loss of shear strength in liquefied sand layer.....	47
2.3.3.4 Change in dynamic response of the improved soil.....	48
2.3.3.5 Design of soil improvement area for port structures.....	48

### ***Chapter (3) Seismic Analysis And Design Of Gravity Quay Wall***

3.1. INTRODUCTION.....	54
3.2 PERFORMANCE BASED DESIGN METHODOLOGY.....	55
3.3 DAMAGE CRITERIA OF GRAVITY QUAY WALLS.....	60
3.3.1 Seismic Response of Gravity Quay Walls.....	60



3.3.2 Parameters for Specifying Damage Criteria of Gravity Quay Walls.....	61
3.3. 3 Damage Criteria for Gravity Quay Walls.....	62
3.4 SEISMIC ANALYSIS.....	64
3.4.1 Simplified Analysis.....	67
3.4.1.1 Loads on gravity quay wall.....	67
3.4.1.2 Displacement of gravity quay wall.....	78
3.4.2 Simplified Dynamic Analysis.....	82
3.4.2.1 Sliding block analysis.....	82
3.4.3 Dynamic Analysis.....	88

#### ***Chapter (4) Numerical Modelling***

4.1 INTRODUCTION.....	92
4.2 GENERAL MODELING CONSIDERATIONS IN FLAC	92
4.3 CONSTITUTIVE SOIL MODEL.....	95
4.3.1 Elastic-Perfectly Plastic Behaviour.....	96
4.3.2 Mohr-Coulomb Model.....	97
4.4 PORE PRESSURE GENERATION.....	99
4.4.1 Finn and Byrne Models.....	99
4.5 GENERAL MODELING PARAMETERS.....	102
4.5.1. Modeling of Soil Elements.....	102
4.5.2 Modeling of Structural Elements.....	104
4.5.3 Modeling of the Earthquake Motion .....	105
4.5.4 Modeling of the Water.....	105
4.5.5 Boundary Conditions.....	106
4.5.6 Damping.....	106
4.6 VALIDATION OF NUMERICAL MODEL .....	109
4.6.1 Akita Port ( Case 1, 1983).....	110
4.6.1.1 Case 1: Gaiko Wharf at Akita Port.....	111

4.6.1.2 Analysis of Numerical Model at Akita Port...	113
4.6.1.2.1 Description of Model.....	113
4.6.1.2.2 Predicted Results (Gaiko Wharf).....	117
4.6.2 Kushiro Port ( Case 2 and Case 3, 1993).....	119
4.6.2.1 Case 2: Kushiro Port – Pier 2 at Site B (without Soil Improvement).....	121
4.6.2.2 Case 3: Kushiro Port – Pier 3 at Site E (with Soil Improvement).....	122
4.6.2.3 Analyses of Numerical Models at Kushiro Port .....	123
4.6.2.3.1 Pier 2 at site B (without soil improvement)..	123
4.6.2.3.2 Predicted Results (Pier 2).....	127
4.6.2.3.3 Pier 3 at site E (with soil improvement).....	129
4.6.2.3.4 Predicted Results (Pier 3).....	131
4.6.3 Kobe Port ( Case 4 and Case 5, 1995) .....	132
4.6.3.1 Case 4: Port Island.....	133
4.6.3.2 Case 5: Rokko Island.....	136
4.6.3.3 Analyses of Numerical Models at Kobe Port..	137
4.6.3.3.1 Port Island .....	137
4.6.3.3.2 Predicted Results (Port Island).....	141
4.6.3.3.3 Rokko Island .....	144
4.6.3.3.4 Predicted Results (Rokko Island).....	147
4.6.4 Taichung Harbour ( Case 6, 1999) .....	151
4.6.4.1 Case 6 : Berth No. 3 at Taichung Harbor.....	151
4.6.4.2 Analysis of Numerical Model at Taichung Harbor.....	157
4.6.4.2.1 Description of Model.....	157
4.6.4.2.2 Predicted Results (Berth No. 3) .....	160
4.7 SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION OF CASE STUDIES	163

## ***Chapter (5) Parametric Study on the Seismic Performance of Gravity Quay Walls***

5.1. INTRODUCTION.....	169
5.2 LIMITATION OF THE ADVANCED AND EMPIRICAL METHODS.....	169
5.3 PARAMETRIC STUDY USING A STRUCTURALLY SIMPLIFIED MODEL.....	170
5.3.1 Parameters Characterizing Caisson Walls .....	170
5.3.2 Ground Motions Characteristics .....	172
5.3.3 Geotechnical Conditions and Parameters.....	173
5.4 PARAMETER SENSITIVITY AND CHARTS.....	174
5.4.1 Width-To-Height Ratio (W/H).....	175
5.4.2 Input Excitation Level (g).....	181
5.4.3 SPT-N Value .....	184
5.4.4 Thickness of Soil Deposit below Wall (D1/H).....	187
5.4.5 Thickness of Backfill soil (D2/H).....	190
5.4.6 Failure Modes.....	193
5.4.7 Overall Parameter Sensitivity .....	197
5.5 SUGGESTED SIMPLIFIED PROCEDURE FOR EVALUATING WALL DISPLACEMENT.....	197
5.6 COMPARISON OF PERMANENT DISPLACEMENT BASED APPROACH WITH PARAMETRIC STUDY RESULTS.....	201
5.7 SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF GRAVITY QUAY WALLS IN IMPROVED SOILS.....	205
5.7.1 Parametric Studies in Improved Soil.....	205
5.7.2 Results of the Performed Analysis .....	206

5.8 SUMMARY.....	211
 <b><i>Chapter (6) Summary and Conclusions</i></b>	
6.1 SUMMARY.....	213
6.2 CONCLUSIONS.....	216
6.2.1 Gravity Type Concrete Caisson .....	216
6.2.2 Strengths and Limitations of the Numerical Model....	219
6.3 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK.....	220
 <b><i>Appendix I</i></b> .....	221
 <b><i>References</i></b> .....	228

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Fig.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
1.1	Schematic figure of propagation of seismic waves (PIANC, 2001)	1
1.2	Lateral Displacements at Kobe Port following the 1995 Hyogo-ken Nanbu Earthquake (Ichii, 2005)	3
1.3	Settlements at Kobe Port following the 1995 Hyogo-ken Nanbu Earthquake (Ichii, 2005)	4
1.4	Disruption of crane operation at Kobe Port, following the 1995 Hyogo-ken Nanbu Earthquake (Nozu et al., 2004)	4
1.5	A typical failure mode of a gravity type quay wall due to earthquake (Iai, 2005)	5
1.6	Soil structure interaction for caisson wall (Ichii, 2005)	5
1.7	Typical failure mechanism for gravity quay walls (Yang, 1999)	6
2.1	Range of $r_d$ values for different soil profiles (after Seed and Idriss, 1982)	21

2.2	Earthquake induced shear stresses (Seed and Idriss, 1982)	22
2.3	Typical results of cyclic shear tests (PHRI, 1997)	24
2.4	Empirical relationship between the cyclic stress ratio initiating liquefaction and $(N_1)_{60}$ values for silty sands in $M=7.5$ earthquakes (after Seed et al., 1979)	28
2.5	Relationship between $\sigma'_v$ and $K_\sigma$ (Seed & Harder, 1990)	29
2.6	Relationship between the Effective Vertical Stress and $K_\sigma$ (Seed & Harder, 1990)	30
2.7	Proposed CPT-based liquefaction curves based on field CPT and liquefaction data (Stark & Olson, 1995)	30
2.8	Graphical Plot on the Evaluation of the Initiation of Liquefaction (McCullough, 1998)	31
2.9	Relationship between residual excess pore pressure and factor of safety against liquefaction for level- ground sites (after Marcuson and Hynes, 1990)	32
2.10	Basic strategy for liquefaction remediation (after PHRI 1997)	34

2.11	Standard procedure for liquefaction remediation (after PHRI 1997)	36
2.12	Area of softening due to seepage flow of improved soil (after PHRI, 1997)	45
2.13	Pressures applied at the boundary (after PHRI, 1997)	46
2.14	Schematic diagram for investigation of stability with respect to pressures applied from the liquefied sand layer (after PHRI, 1997)	47
2.15	Schematic diagrams for investigation of stability for determining the soil improvement area (PHRI, 1997)	49
3.1	Flow chart for performance evaluation (PIANC, 2001)	59
3.2	Deformation/failure modes of gravity quay wall (PIANC, 2001)	61
3.3	Parameters for specifying damage criteria for gravity quay walls (PIANC, 2001)	62
3.4	Seismic actions on gravity quay walls for pseudo-static analysis (PIANC, 2001)	68
3.5	Equivalent seismic coefficient $k_e$ for retaining walls at non-liquefied waterfront sites (after Noda et al., 1975 quoted from Iai, 2005)	77