



# **Predictive Factors for Ureteral Double-J-Stent Related Symptoms**

**Thesis**

Submitted for partial fulfillment of Master  
Degree in **Urology**

**By**

**Ahmed Sameer Abdul-Jabbar**

M.B. B.Ch.

*College of Medicine, Al-Mustansiriyah University*

**Supervised by**

**Prof. Dr. Amr Fekry El Shorbagy**

Professor of Urology

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

**Dr. Diaa Eldin Mahmmoud Abdel Fattah**

Lecturer of Urology

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

**Faculty of Medicine  
Ain shams University  
2017**



{وَأَنْزَلَ اللَّهُ عَلَيْكَ الْكِتَابَ وَالْحِكْمَةَ وَعَلَّمَكَ مَا لَمْ  
تَكُن تَعْلَمُ وَكَانَ فَضْلُ اللَّهِ عَلَيْكَ عَظِيمًا}

سورة النساء آية (١١٣)

صدق الله العظيم





## **Acknowledgement**

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to *Allah*, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.

I would like to express my deep gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Amr Fekry El Shorbagy**, Professor of Urology, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for his sincere help and continue encouragement and for being so generous with time and effort through this work. I really have the honor to complete this work under his great supervision.

I turn with a grateful heart to express my deep thanks to **Dr. Diao Eldin Mahmmoud Abdel Fattah**, Lecturer of Urology, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for his continues and meticulous supervision, tireless effort and fruitful guidance.

My dedication goes to my **Parents** and my **Wife**, for their valuable support, encouragement, and patience throughout my study period.

 *Ahmed Sameer Abdul-Jabbar*

## **List of Contents**

<b>Subject</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
<b>List of Abbreviations.....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>List of Tables.....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>List of Figures .....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Abstract .....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Aim of the Work.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Review of Literature</b>	
Ureteral Stents .....	4
Ureteral Stent Related Symptoms .....	29
<b>Patients and Methods.....</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>Results.....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>Discussion .....</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>Conclusion.....</b>	<b>65</b>
<b>Summary .....</b>	<b>66</b>
<b>References .....</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>Arabic Summary .....</b>	<b>—</b>

## **List of Abbreviations**

<i>Abbrev.</i>	<i>Full-term</i>
<b>ESWL</b>	: Extra corporeal shock wave lithotripsy
<b>PCNL</b>	: Per-cutaneous nephrolithotomy
<b>USSQ</b>	: Ureteral stent symptom questionnaire
<b>IPSS</b>	: International Prostate Symptom Score
<b>PLGA</b>	: Poly-L-lactide-co-glyclide
<b>TUDS</b>	: Temporary ureteral drainage stents
<b>LUTS</b>	: Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms
<b>QOL</b>	: Quality of life
<b>BFLUTS</b>	: BRISTOL Female Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms questionnaire
<b>OAB</b>	: Overactive bladder

## List of Tables

<i>Table No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
<b>Table (1):</b>	Patient demographic and stent-related characteristics ( <i>n</i> = 50) .....	44
<b>Table (2):</b>	Distribution of double -j- stent related symptoms after one week according to symptoms score ( <i>n</i> =50).....	47
<b>Table (3):</b>	Distribution of double -j- stent related symptoms after one month according to symptoms score ( <i>n</i> =50).....	49
<b>Table (4):</b>	Comparison between the ureteral double -j- stent related symptoms after one week and one month.....	51
<b>Table (5):</b>	Distribution of double -j- stent related symptoms according to the length of the stent ( <i>n</i> =50). ....	53
<b>Table (6):</b>	Distribution of double -j- stent related symptoms according to the position of proximal end of the stent ( <i>n</i> =50).....	55
<b>Table (7):</b>	Distribution of stent related symptoms according to crossing the midline position of the distal end of the stent ( <i>n</i> =50). ....	57

## List of Figures

<i>Figure No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
<b>Figure (1):</b>	Types of non-metallic double -j- stents.....	14
<b>Figure (2):</b>	Double-pigtail 6F resonance metallic ureteric stent .....	15
<b>Figure (3):</b>	Types of metallic double j stents.....	16
<b>Figure (4):</b>	Spiral Stent. ....	20
<b>Figure (5):</b>	Dual durometerpercutflex coating featuring a novel bladder loop design.....	21
<b>Figure (6):</b>	Stent encrustation of proximal coil of double -j- stent.....	24
<b>Figure (7):</b>	Abdominal radiograph showing a proximal migration of the right double-J stent. ....	26
<b>Figure (8):</b>	Abdominal radiograph showing a break of a left double-J stent after a smooth stretching to remove it.....	28
<b>Figure (9):</b>	Pie chart sex distribution of the study group.....	45
<b>Figure (10):</b>	Pie chart double j length distribution of the study group .....	45
<b>Figure (11):</b>	Pie chart proximal end position distribution of the study group.....	46
<b>Figure (12):</b>	Pie chart distal end across midline distribution of the study group. ....	46
<b>Figure (13):</b>	Bar chart distribution of double j stent related symptoms after one week .....	48

<b>Figure (14):</b> Bar chart distribution of double j related symptoms after one month. ....	50
<b>Figure (15):</b> Bar chart distribution of double -j- stent related symptoms after one week and one month. ....	52
<b>Figure (16):</b> Bar chart Distribution of double -j- stent related symptoms according to the length of the stent. ....	54
<b>Figure (17):</b> Distribution of double -j- stent related symptoms according to the position of proximal end of the stent. ....	56
<b>Figure (18):</b> Distribution of stent related symptoms according to crossing the midline position of the distal end of the stent. ....	58



## Abstract

**Background/Purpose:** Whether the length of stent affects stent-related symptoms after urological procedures remains controversial. We aimed to evaluate the predictive factors for stent-related urinary tract symptoms after uncomplicated ureteroscopy.

**Methods:** We prospectively assessed a total of 50 patients who underwent double -j- stent and 6-Fr double-J ureteral stent placement. the demographic and perioperative data and stent characteristics, including the length (26 or 28cm), position of proximal end (upper calyx or pelvis), position of distal end (crossing midline or not), and configurations of both ends (complete or incomplete curl) were recorded. All patients completed a ureteral stent symptoms questionnaire to evaluate the double -j- stent-related urinary symptoms and pain 1 week and 1 month after the procedure. All variables were analyzed to assess the symptoms and their severity and to determine the factors that were significantly associated with stent-related symptoms.

**Results:** Twenty-three (46%) male and 27 (54%) female patients were enrolled in this study. In multivariate analysis, we found that percentage of patients with urge incontinence increased significantly after 1 month in comparison with first week after double -j- placement and the length of stent (28cm) aggravated the urgency, urge incontinence and dysuria and we noted that patients with position of proximal end of the stent in renal pelvis had significantly urgency. Crossing the midline of the distal end was significantly associated with urge incontinence.

**Conclusion:** The length of stent and crossing the midline of the distal end were significantly associated with stent-related symptoms after URS. Selection of the proper length of double-J stent is the most important factor in minimizing stent-related symptoms.

**Key Words:** stent-related symptoms, double -j- stent, ureteroscopy

## **Introduction**

**T**he double-J ureteral stent has become an indispensable part of the urologist's routine practice. Traditionally, ureteral stents are placed to relieve the ureteric obstruction as an adjunct to treatment of urinary stone disease after surgery or shock wave lithotripsy. With advances in stent manufacturing, various designs using different materials with or without different coatings have been produced, resulting in an expansion in the use of stents in clinical work despite the absence of strong evidence on patient morbidity and cost-effectiveness <sup>[1]</sup>.

Early era was plagued with frequent stent migration and expulsion, Development of the Double J (DJ) and pigtail stents by Finney and Hepperlen (1978) solved these problems, making ureteral stenting a routine urological procedure, it is employed for relief of ureteral obstruction and ureteral injury and as a ureteral splint in various open, laparoscopic, and endourological procedures <sup>[2]</sup>.

The double-J ureteral stent is widely used to relieve or prevent ureteral obstruction after following various procedures, such as ureteroscopy, percutaneous nephrolithotomy, ureterolithotomy, and ureteral end-to-end anastomosis procedures. Although insertion of a ureteral stent maintains ureteral patency and ensures drainage, some

patients encounter some discomfort such as irritative bladder symptoms, hematuria, bladder pain, and flank pain; all of which have a negative impact on the quality of life <sup>[3]</sup>.

The exact pathophysiology of stent-related symptoms remains unknown. Bladder symptoms are thought to be a result of mucosal irritation of the nerve located in the submucosa in the bladder trigone. Flank pain is thought to be due to reflux of urine from the bladder to the kidney, especially during micturition. The relationship between stent characteristics such as diameter, length, material, softness, position, and curl completeness and the stent-related symptoms have been investigated by several researchers <sup>[4,5]</sup>.

The double j stents are available in many shapes, sizes, and biomaterials and are composed of synthetic polymeric biomaterials that must remain stable in the unstable chemical environment within the urinary tract. Additionally, the design must follow certain basic principles that provide the parameters for optimal stent function <sup>[6]</sup>.

## **Aim of the Work**

**T**his study aims to evaluate the predictive factors for double -j stent-related pain & urinary tract symptoms.

# **Ureteral Stents**

## **I. History of the Ureteric Stent**

The widely used double ‘J’ stent was first introduced by Finney in 1978. However, different types of ureteric stents have been described prior to this, with some dating back to the 1800s. Dr. Gustav Simon is credited with performing the first ureteric stenting during open bladder surgery <sup>[7]</sup>.

The early stents dating back to the 1900s were made from fabric coated in lacquer varnish, it was in 1967 that endoscopic insertion was introduced by Dr. Paul Zimkind who placed a straight silicone prosthesis as a ureteric splint. McCullough devised the ‘shepherd’s crook’ stent in 1974 with the aim of ensuring that the stent remained in a better position within the urinary tract <sup>[7]</sup>. Recently, modifications have focused on composition, patients’ comfort and the longevity of the stents.

## **II. Stent Function and Physiology**

The ideal ureteric stent should relieve intra/ extra-luminal obstruction, be easily inserted, be radiopaque, resist encrustation or infection, avoid migration, be affordable and cause minimal discomfort to the patient, hollow ureteric stents are intended to allow drainage of urine through and around the stent <sup>[8]</sup>.

The normal flow rate of urine in an unobstructed ureter is 0.5 ml/min, although it may be as high as 4 ml/min in patients with diabetes insipidus, the presence of a stent reduces the urine flow rate by inhibiting ureteric peristalsis which results in a paralytic effect <sup>[9]</sup>.

This loss of active propulsion also results in impaired transit of stones or stone fragments, thus any movement within the ureter predominantly occurs due to a combination of ureteric dilatation and the effect of gravity <sup>[10]</sup>.

This is highlighted by a study comparing the effects of stenting on the stone free rate after extracorporeal shock-wave lithotripsy (ESWL) for ureteric stones. In this particular study, the authors concluded that there was a significantly higher stone free rate in patients without a stent compared to those with a stent (89.9 % versus 81.3 %) <sup>[11]</sup>.

Whilst some investigators have shown no difference in urine flow rates, with urine outputs of up to 100 ml/h achieved between commercially available stents, the composition of the stent does appear to be important [12], a softer stent is easily kinked resulting in a slower flow and high pressures within the ureter regardless of the stent diameter. A harder stent has better drainage with less risk of kinking, but is found to be more uncomfortable for the patient and has the additional risk of ureteric ischemia and erosion <sup>[13]</sup>.

Stoller et al. reported an in vitro study proposing that urine flow and stone propulsion is greater with the use of a helically ridged stent when compared to a smooth stent. As most fragments of a stone pass in the space between the stent and the ureteric wall, a spirally ridged stent not only optimizes this but also allows most of the urine to travel around the stent. In instances of external compression, the helical stent is not as easily compressed and also allows urine to flow through the lumen <sup>[10]</sup>.

It is important to remember that the rate of urine flow is also affected by additional patient related factors other than those mentioned above. These include the intrarenal pressure, intravesical pressure and urine density amongst others <sup>[7]</sup>.

### **III- Indications of Ureteral Stenting**

#### **1- In stone disease:**

##### **(i) Ureteral stones:**

###### *1. Acute ureteral obstruction:*

This is usually due to impacted ureteral calculi and can be temporarily relieved by ureteral stent <sup>[14]</sup>.

###### *2. Stent before extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy (ESWL):*

"ESWL" is first line treatment for most patients with stones 1 cm or less in the upper ureter. ESWL and ureteroscopy are both acceptable treatment choices for stones 1 cm and less in the distal ureter <sup>[15]</sup>.