

# AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ENGINEERING STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

# **Effective Width for Steel-Light Weight Concrete Composite Girders**

Thesis

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

### **Doctor of Philosophy**

in

Civil Engineering (Structures)

by

### **Mahmoud Ramzy Mahmoud Lasheen**

Supervised by

### Prof. Ayman Hussein Hosny Khalil

Professor of Reinforced Concrete Structures Ain Shams University

#### Dr. Amr Abdel Salam Shaat

Associate Professor Ain Shams University

Ain Shams University Cairo - 2017

Copy right © Mahmoud R. Lasheen, 2017

# Thesis: Effective Width for Steel-Light Weight Concrete Composite Girders

Submitted by: Eng. Mahmoud Ramzy Lasheen

### EXAMINERS COMMITEE

Signature

#### Prof. Fouad S. Fanous

Professor of Reinforced Concrete Structures Faculty of Engineering Towa State University

Prof. Amr Hussein Abdel Azim Zahir

Professor of Reinforced Concrete Structures
Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University

Prof. Ayman Hussein Hosny Khalil

Professor of Reinforced Concrete Structures Faculty of Engineering – Ain Shams University

Dr. Amr Abdel Salam Shaat

Associate Professor

Faculty of Engineering – Ain Shams University

Date: 20/2/2017

### **AUTHOR**

Name : Mahmoud Ramzy Mahmoud Lasheen

Date of birth : 01 December 1985

Place of birth : Cairo, Egypt

Academic Degree: B.Sc. & M.Sc. in Structural Engineering

University : Ain Shams University

Date : July 2007 – May 2011

Grade : Distinction with honor degree

Current job : Lecturer Assistant- HBRC

**STATEMENT** 

This thesis is submitted to Ain Shams University in partial

fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of

Philosophy in Civil Engineering (Structural).

The work included was carried out by the author at reinforced

concrete lab of the faculty of engineering, Ain Shams University.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or a

qualification at any other university or institution.

Date : 20/2/2017

Name : Mahmoud Ramzy Mahmoud Lasheen

Signature: Mahmoud Lasheen

First and foremost, I thank God through whom all things are possible. I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisors, Dr. Ayman Hussein and Dr. Amr Shaat, for their unwavering support and guidance throughout this research. Their patience, leadership, and endless encouragement gave me the confidence and persistence to complete my research journey. I owe them an unbelievable amount of gratitude for their prominent role in helping me to achieve a major milestone in my career.

I would like to express my thanks to the concrete laboratory staff, Mr. Ahmed Amer, Mr. Mohamed El Tobogy, Mrs Magda and Mr. Mohamed. Also, special thanks go to Eng. Maged Farouk, who helped me in beams fabrication at El SOADAA Factory. The support of Dr. Hadad Said Hadad, Director of the concrete institute, Housing and Building National research center, significantly contributed to my success.

I would also like to recognize and thank my spouse, Shereen, for believing in me and for encouraging me to complete this work. Many thanks to my mother and grandmother, for their care and support since I started Ph.D. Special thanks go to my father, Eng. Ramzy Lasheen, my role model, for his technical and financial support. Also, I would like to present special thanks to Dr. Osama Hamdy, my father in law, who always acted as a precursor to me. At last but by no means the least, I would also like to acknowledge my children, Youssef and Farida, for their innocent and kind smiles that eased the long nights of work.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABL	E OF CO	ONTENTS	vi
LIST (	OF FIGU	RES	xi
LIST (	OF TAB	LES	xvi
NOTA	TION		xviii
ABST	RACT		xxi
Chan	ter 1 Tı	ntroduction	2
_			
1.1	jeneral .		2
1.2 F	Research	scope and objectives	5
1.3	Thesis ou	ıtline	6
Chap	ter 2 L	iterature Review	10
_		ion	
		and to lightweight concrete	
2.2.1	Def	inition of LWC	12
2.2.2	Eco	onomy of LWC	13
2.2.3	Me	chanical properties of LWAC	15
		Compressive strength	
		Modulus of elasticity	
		Stress-strain relationship Cracking and tensile strength	
2.2.4			
		chanical properties of foamed concrete	
		Tensile strength	
		Modulus of elasticity	
2.2.5		des provisions for Young's modulus of LWC	
2.2.6	Inn	ovative mix design for current LWC	22
2.3	Composi	te action between LWC and steel beam	23
2.3.1	Pus	h-out test	25
2.3.2	Неа	aded stud shear connectors	26
2.3.3	Cha	annel shear connectors	28

2.4	Ductility	32
2.4.1	Ductility of lightweight concrete elements	33
2.4.2	Ductility of steel-concrete composite elements	36
2.5	Effective concrete slab width for SCC beams	38
2.5.1	Effective slab width definition	39
2.5.2	Codes provisions for the effective slab width	40
2.6	Effect of composite action on SCC beams stiffness	40
2.6.1	Total horizontal shear force	41
2.6.2	Codes provision for the effective moment of inertia	42
2.7	Need for the current research	43
Cha	pter 3 Experimental Program	50
3.1	Introduction	50
3.2	Tested beams details	51
3.3	Material properties	54
3.3.1	Concrete	54
3.3.2	Hot-rolled steel sections	54
3.3.3	Steel reinforcement	55
3.4	Formwork	55
3.5	Fabrication of test specimens	55
3.6	Test setup and loading program	56
3.7	Instrumentation	56
Cha	pter 4 Experimental Results	68
4.1	Introduction	68
4.2	Observations of tested beams	69
4.3	Effect of concrete type (Beams N1 and L1)	72
4.3.1	Flexural behaviour	72
4.3.2	Failure modes	74
44	Effect of slab width (Beams I.2 and I.3)	75

76 78
78
78
78
79
79
80
1)96
96
96
97
99
99
100
100
101
102
103
103
105
120
120
122
123
123
124
124
125 126

6.4	Intera	ctions	. 126
6.4.1	(	Contact between concrete and steel reinforcement	. 126
6.4.2	. (	Contact between concrete slab and shear connector	. 127
6.4.3	(	Contact between concrete slab and steel beam	. 128
6.4.4		Contact in welding regions	. 128
6.5	Loadi	ng and boundary conditions	. 128
6.5.1	]	planes of symmetry	. 128
6.5.2		Applied loads	. 129
6.5.3	;	Support modeling	. 129
6.6	Valida	ation of finite element model	. 130
6.6.1	-	Introduction	. 130
6.6.2		Strength of beams	. 130
6.6.3	]	Load-deflection behaviour	. 131
6.6.4		Tensile steel strain $(\varepsilon_{s3})$	. 132
6.6.5	(	Compressive concrete strain $(\epsilon_{c1})$	. 133
6.6.6		Interface slip behaviour	. 133
6.6.7	]	Failure Modes	. 134
	6.6.7.1	Compression damage	
	6.6.7.2 6.6.7.3	$\epsilon$	
6.6.8		Model validity	
		·	
Cha	pter 7	7 Parametric Study & Design Guidelines	166
7.1	Introd	uction	. 166
7.2	Mater	ial properties	. 167
7.2.1	;	Steel properties	. 167
7.2.2	. (	Concrete properties	. 168
7.3	Result	ts	. 168
7.3.1	]	Effect of concrete type on SCC beams behaviour	. 171
	7.3.1.1		
	7.3.1.2	Strength	. 172
7.4	Design	n guidelines	. 173
7.4.1	]	Effective slab width	.173

	7.4.1.1	Effective slab width at service loads (B <sub>es</sub> )	174
	7.4.1.1.1	Validation of the proposed equation for (Bes) against the exp	erimental
	results		178
	7.4.1.1.2	Codes comparison for the (B <sub>es</sub> )	179
	7.4.1.1.3	Effect of the (Bes) on the value of the moment of inertia	180
	7.4.1.2	Effective width at ultimate loads (Beu)	181
	7.4.1.2.1	Validation of the proposed equation for the (B <sub>eu</sub> )	183
	7.4.1.2.2	Codes comparison for the (B <sub>eu</sub> )	183
	7.4.1.2.3	Effect of the (B <sub>eu</sub> ) on the ultimate load capacity	184
7.4.	2 Sli	ip at the steel-concrete interface	185
		Effect of slip at the steel-concrete interface on the value of m	
Ch	apter 8 S	Summary & Conclusions	229
<b>Ch</b> 8.1	•	Summary & Conclusions	
8.1	Summar	y	229
	Summar	•	229
8.1	Summar Conclus	y	229
8.1 8.2 8.3	Summar Conclus Suggesti	ions	229 231 234
8.1 8.2 8.3 REI	Summar Conclus Suggesti	ions	229 231 234 235
8.1 8.2 8.3 REI	Summar Conclus Suggesti	ions	229 231 234 235

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 – Approximate unit weight and classification of lightweight aggregate	
concrete (Asgeirsson 1994)	
Figure 2.2 – Failure modes for concrete with (a) normal weight aggregate	
Figure 2.3 – Stress-strain relationship of NWC and LWC (Jian C et. al. 2014)	
Figure 2.4 – Channel rigid shear connector (Eurocode 4; CEN 2001)	. 45
Figure 2.5 – Parameters of rigid shear connectors (Eurocode 4; CEN 2001)	. 45
Figure 2.6 – Alternative dispositions for the push-out test (EN 1994-1-1)	
Figure 2.7 – Push-out test specimens tested by various authors	. 47
Figure 2.8 – Push-out test setup for early ages concrete (Cem Topkaya, 2004)	.48
Figure 3.1 – Dimensions of Test Specimens.	. 60
Figure 3.2 – Steel cross sections used in the experimental investigation	. 60
Figure 3.3 – Splitting tensile test.	. 60
Figure 3.4 – Compressive strength and Young's modulus test.	.61
Figure 3.5 – Coupon cut after the tension test.	. 61
Figure 3.6 – Wooden formwork for the SCC beams	. 62
Figure 3.7 –Welding process.	. 63
Figure 3.8 – Details of steel reinforcement mesh.	. 63
Figure 3.9 – Pouring concrete.	. 64
Figure 3.10 – Test setup.	. 64
Figure 3.11 – Distributer beam supports.	. 65
Figure 3.12 – Measurement tools used in the experiment.	. 65
Figure 3.13 – Top view of the concrete slab.	. 66
Figure 3.14 – Mid-span cross section for all beams.	. 66
Figure 4.1 – Stress-strain distribution along beam depth.	. 84
Figure 4.2 - Comparison between experimental (I <sub>E1</sub> ) and calculated moment of inertia	a
(I <sub>e</sub> ) values	. 84
Figure 4.3 – Load versus strains at the steel lower flange ( $\varepsilon_{s3}$ ) for beams N1 and L1	. 85
Figure 4.4 – Load versus strains at the steel lower flange ( $\varepsilon_{s3}$ ) for beams L2 and L3	. 85
Figure 4.5 – Load versus strains at the steel lower flange ( $\varepsilon_{s3}$ ) for beams L4 and L5	. 86
Figure 4.6 – Load versus strains at the steel lower flange ( $\varepsilon_{s3}$ ) for beams L6 and L7	. 86
Figure 4.7 – Load-deflection response of beams N1 and L1	. 87
Figure 4.8 – Load-deflection response of beams L2 and L3.	. 87
Figure 4.9 – Load-deflection response of beams L4 and L5.	. 88
Figure 4.10 – Load-deflection response of beams L6 and L7.	. 88
Figure 4.11 – Load versus upper and lower strains ( $\varepsilon_{c1}$ , $\varepsilon_{c5}$ ) of	. 89
Figure 4.12 – Load versus upper and lower strains ( $\varepsilon_{c1}$ , $\varepsilon_{c5}$ ) of	. 89
Figure 4.13 – Load versus upper and lower strains ( $\varepsilon_{c1}$ , $\varepsilon_{c5}$ ) of	
Figure 4.14 – Load versus upper and lower strains ( $\varepsilon_{c1}$ , $\varepsilon_{c5}$ ) of	
Figure 4.15 – Typical failure modes of all tested specimens	
Figure 4.16– Load versus slip of concrete slab for all beams	.93

Figure 4.17– Strain distribution along the width of the concrete slabs of beams L2 a	and
L3 at mid-span.	94
Figure 5.1– Constitutive material models.	108
Figure 5.2– Establishing the load-deflection curve.	109
Figure 5.3– Experimental versus predicted load-deflection response of beam N1	109
Figure 5.4– Experimental versus predicted load-deflection response of beam L1	
Figure 5.5– Experimental versus predicted load-deflection response of beam L2	
Figure 5.6– Experimental versus predicted load-deflection response of beam L3	
Figure 5.7– Experimental versus predicted load-deflection response of beam L4	
Figure 5.8– Experimental versus predicted load-deflection response of beam L5	112
Figure 5.9– Experimental versus predicted load-deflection response of beam L6	
Figure 5.10– Experimental versus predicted load-deflection response of beam L7	
Figure 5.11– Effective width of beams N1 and L1 at different load levels	113
Figure 5.12- Effective width of beams L2 and L3 at different load levels	114
Figure 5.13– Effective width of beams L4 and L5 at different load levels	114
Figure 5.14– Effective width of beams L6 and L7 at different load levels	115
Figure 5.15- Neutral axis depth of beams N1 and L1 at different load levels	115
Figure 5.16- Neutral axis depth of beams L2 and L3 at different load levels	116
Figure 5.17- Neutral axis depth of beams L4 and L5 at different load levels	116
Figure 5.18- Neutral axis depth of beams L6 and L7 at different load levels	117
Figure 5.19- Effect of steel beam slenderness ratio on the effective width	117
Figure 5.20– Slip value at ultimate load versus shear connection ( $\Sigma Q_n/C_f$ )	118
Figure 6.1 – Quarter model of the SCC beams.	140
Figure 6.2 – Finite element mesh of the one-quarter SCC beam	140
Figure 6.3 – Internal steel reinforcement bars used in models.	
Figure 6.4 – Bilinear stress-strain curve for steel.	
Figure 6.5 – Uniaxial compressive stress-strain behaviour of NWC and LWC	142
Figure 6.6 – Stress-strain curve for NWC and LWC in tension.	142
Figure 6.7 – Damage variable for uniaxial compression.	143
Figure 6.8 – Damage variable for uniaxial tension.	143
Figure 6.9 – Host and embedded regions in finite element program	144
Figure 6.10 – Contact between the concrete slab and shear connector	144
Figure 6.11 – Contact between concrete slab and steel beam	145
Figure 6.12 – Contact between shear connectors and steel beam.	145
Figure 6.13 – Experimental versus FE in terms of failure loads.	146
Figure 6.14 – Load-deflection behaviour for beam N1.	146
Figure 6.15 – Load-deflection behaviour for beam L1.	147
Figure 6.16 – Load-deflection behaviour for beam L2.	
Figure 6.17 – Load-deflection behaviour for beam L3.	148
Figure 6.18 – Load-deflection behaviour for beam L4.	148
Figure 6.19 – Load-deflection behaviour for beam L5.	149
Figure 6.20 – Load-deflection behaviour for beam L6	149

Figure 6.21 – Load-deflection behaviour for beam L7.	150
Figure 6.22 – Load versus mid-span strain at steel lower flange for beam N1	150
Figure 6.23 – Load versus mid-span strain at steel lower flange for beam L1	151
Figure 6.24 – Load versus mid-span strain at steel lower flange for beam L2	151
Figure 6.25 – Load versus mid-span strain at steel lower flange for beam L3	152
Figure 6.26 – Load versus mid-span strain at steel lower flange for beam L4	152
Figure 6.27 – Load versus mid-span strain at steel lower flange for beam L5	153
Figure 6.28 – Load versus mid-span strain at steel lower flange for beam L6	153
Figure 6.29 – Load versus mid-span strain at steel lower flange for beam L7	154
Figure 6.30 – Load versus mid-span upper strain of concrete slab for beam N1	154
Figure 6.31 – Load versus mid-span upper strain of concrete slab for beam L1	155
Figure 6.32 – Load versus mid-span upper strain of concrete slab for beam L2	155
Figure 6.33 – Load versus mid-span upper strain of concrete slab for beam L3	156
Figure 6.34 – Load versus mid-span upper strain of concrete slab for beam L4	156
Figure 6.35 – Load versus mid-span upper strain of concrete slab for beam L5	157
Figure 6.36 – Load versus mid-span upper strain of concrete slab for beam L6	157
Figure 6.37 – Load versus mid-span upper strain of concrete slab for beam L7	158
Figure 6.38 – Load versus slip of concrete slab for beam N1.	158
Figure 6.39 – Load versus slip of concrete slab for beam L1	159
Figure 6.40 – Load versus slip of concrete slab for beam L2	159
Figure 6.41 – Load versus slip of concrete slab for beam L3	160
Figure 6.42 – Load versus slip of concrete slab for beam L4.	160
Figure 6.43 – Load versus slip of concrete slab for beam L5	161
Figure 6.44 – Load versus slip of concrete slab for beam L6	161
Figure 6.45 – Load versus slip of concrete slab for beam L7.	162
Figure 6.46 – Typical concrete crushing.	162
Figure 6.47 – Typical longitudinal cracks.	163
Figure 6.48 – Typical underside cracks.	163
Figure 6.49 – Typical Horizontal slip.	164
Figure 7.1 – All variables of the parametric study	219
Figure 7.2 – Load versus mid-span deflection for beams B1 to B12.	
Figure 7.3 – Load versus lower steel strain for beams B1 to B12.	
Figure 7.4 – Load versus upper concrete strain for beams B1 to B12	
Figure 7.5 – Load versus slip value at interface for beams B1 to B12	
Figure 7.6 – Strain distribution along beam depth at service loads	
Figure 7.7 – Stress distribution along slab thickness.	
Figure 7.8 – Effect of concrete type on beams stiffness.	
Figure 7.9 – Effect of concrete type on ultimate load capacity.	
Figure 7.10 – Effect of $(I_E/I_s)$ on beams ultimate load	
Figure 7.11 – Effective concrete slab width at two different loading levels	
Figure 7.12 – Effect of steel beam slenderness ratio on the effective width	
Figure 7.13 – The factor "K" against the slab width-to-span ratio ( $B_s/L$ )	
Figure 7.14 – FEA versus exp. work for effective width at service loads ( $B_{es}$ )	
1	

Figure 7.15 – Comparison for the effective width at service loads (Bes) with codes	
provisions	226
Figure 7.16 – Effective slab width at ultimate loads (B <sub>eu</sub> )	226
Figure 7.17 – Comparison of the effective width at ultimate load (B <sub>eu</sub> ) with codes	
provisions	227
Figure 7.18 – Slip value at 0.20f <sub>c</sub> ` for all beams.	227
Figure A.1 – Load versus mid-span deflection for beams B13 to B24	247
Figure A.2 – Load versus mid-span deflection for beams B25 to B36	
Figure A.3 – Load versus mid-span deflection for beams B37 to B48	
Figure A.4 – Load versus mid-span deflection for beams B49 to B60	
Figure A.5 – Load versus mid-span deflection for beams B61 to B72	
Figure A.6 – Load versus mid-span deflection for beams B73 to B84	
Figure A.7 – Load versus mid-span deflection for beams B85 to B96	
Figure A.8 – Load versus mid-span deflection for beams B97 to B108	
Figure A.9 – Load versus mid-span deflection for beams B109 to B120	
Figure A.10 – Load versus mid-span deflection for beams B121 to B132	
Figure A.11 – Load versus mid-span deflection for beams B133 to B144	
Figure A.12 – Load versus mid-span deflection for beams B145 to B156	
Figure A.13 – Load versus mid-span deflection for beams B157 to B168	
Figure A.14 – Load versus mid-span deflection for beams B169 to B180	
Figure A.15 – Load versus mid-span deflection for beams B181 to B192	
Figure A.16 – Load versus mid-span deflection for beams B193 to B204	
Figure A.17 – Load versus mid-span deflection for beams B205 to B216	
Figure A.18 – Load versus lower steel strain for beams B13 to B24.	
Figure A.19 – Load versus lower steel strain for beams B25 to B36	
Figure A.20 – Load versus lower steel strain for beams B37 to B48.	
Figure A.21 – Load versus lower steel strain for beams B49 to B60.	
Figure A.22 – Load versus lower steel strain for beams B61 to B72.	257
Figure A.23 – Load versus lower steel strain for beams B73 to B84.	258
Figure A.24 – Load versus lower steel strain for beams B85 to B96.	258
Figure A.25 – Load versus lower steel strain for beams B97 to B108	259
Figure A.26 – Load versus lower steel strain for beams B109 to B120	259
Figure A.27 – Load versus lower steel strain for beams B121 to B132	260
Figure A.28 – Load versus lower steel strain for beams B133 to B144	260
Figure A.29 – Load versus lower steel strain for beams B145 to B156	261
Figure A.30 – Load versus lower steel strain for beams B157 to B168	261
Figure A.31 – Load versus lower steel strain for beams B169 to B180	262
Figure A.32 – Load versus lower steel strain for beams B181 to B192	262
Figure A.33 – Load versus lower steel strain for beams B193 to B204	263
Figure A.34 – Load versus lower steel strain for beams B205 to B216	263
Figure A.35 – Load versus upper concrete strain for beams B13 to B24	264
Figure A.36 – Load versus upper concrete strain for beams B25 to B36	264
Figure A.37 – Load versus upper concrete strain for beams B37 to B48	265

Figure A.38 – Load versus upper concrete strain for beams B49 to B60265
Figure A.39 – Load versus upper concrete strain for beams B61 to B72266
Figure A.40 – Load versus upper concrete strain for beams B73 to B84266
Figure A.41 – Load versus upper concrete strain for beams B85 to B96267
Figure A.42 – Load versus upper concrete strain for beams B97 to B108267
Figure A.43 – Load versus upper concrete strain for beams B109 to B120268
Figure A.44 – Load versus upper concrete strain for beams B121 to B132268
Figure A.45 – Load versus upper concrete strain for beams B133 to B144269
Figure A.46 – Load versus upper concrete strain for beams B145 to B156269
Figure A.47 – Load versus upper concrete strain for beams B157 to B168270
Figure A.48 – Load versus upper concrete strain for beams B169 to B180270
Figure A.49 – Load versus upper concrete strain for beams B181 to B192271
Figure A.50 – Load versus upper concrete strain for beams B193 to B204271
Figure A.51 – Load versus upper concrete strain for beams B205 to B216272
Figure A.52 – Load versus slip value at the interface for beams B13 to B24 272
Figure A.53 – Load versus slip value at the interface for beams B25 to B36273
Figure A.54 – Load versus slip value at the interface for beams B37 to B48273
Figure A.55 – Load versus slip value at the interface for beams B49 to B60 274
Figure A.56 – Load versus slip value at the interface for beams B61 to B72 274
Figure A.57 – Load versus slip value at the interface for beams B73 to B84275
Figure A.58 – Load versus slip value at the interface for beams B85 to B96275
Figure A.59 – Load versus slip value at the interface for beams B97 to B108
Figure A.60 – Load versus slip value at the interface for beams B109 to B120 276
Figure A.61 – Load versus slip value at the interface for beams B121 to B132 277
Figure A.62 – Load versus slip value at the interface for beams B133 to B144 277
Figure A.63 – Load versus slip value at the interface for beams B145 to B156 278
Figure A.64 – Load versus slip value at the interface for beams B157 to B168 278
Figure A.65 – Load versus slip value at the interface for beams B169 to B180 279
Figure A.66 – Load versus slip value at the interface for beams B181 to B192 279
Figure A.67 – Load versus slip value at the interface for beams B193 to B204 280
Figure A.68 – Load versus slip value at the interface for beams B205 to B216 280