

Factors Affecting Total Rehabilitation Of Laryngectomized Patients

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of doctoral degree in phoniatrics

By

mer Samir Mohamed Abou-Elsaad

Lecturer of Phoniatrics (El-Mansoura Faculty of Medicine) ansoura Faculty of Medicine) , M.Sc. (Ain Shams Faculty of Medicine)

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Nasser Kotby

Head of the E.N.T. Department and Phoniatrics Unit Ain Shams Faculty of Medicine <7467

Dr. Waheid HusseinTantawy

Ass. Prof. of Radiology
Ain Shams Faculty of Medicine

Dr. Nabil Ali Gad-Elhak

Ass. Prof. of Gastro-enterology Surgery El-Mansoura Faculty of Medicine

Co-supervisor

Dr. Aliaa Ali Khidr

Lecturer of Phoniatrics
Ain Shams Faculty of Medicine

Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 1998



بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

وعله ماله تكس تعليماً وكان فضل الله عليك عظيماً

صدق الله العظيم

سورة النساء ، الأية (١١٣)

To Those Who Have Taken The Trouble Of Offering
Me Everything For Nothing
To
My Parents , My Wife and My Lovely Kids



ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First and above all I thank "GOD ALMIGHTY" with whose help I have completed this work.

I would like to express my sincere thanks and gratitude to *Prof. Dr. Mohamed Nasser Kotby*; Head of the E.N.T. Department and Phoniatric Unit, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his kind supervision, his enthusiastic encouragement and his continuous support all through my work. I am greatly indebted to him for giving me the opportunity to expand my practice in the United states.

I would like to extend my sincere gratitude to *Dr. JoAnne Robbins*, Associate Professor in speech pathology and swallowing disorders, for allowing me the benefit of her vast experience and her advanced technology in the field of swallowing disorders. I would never forget the friendliness and good natured-assistance I received from her and her colleagues at the Department of Communication Disorders and Swallowing Services in University of Wisconsin Hospital and Clinics and at the Swallowing Lab. in Veterans Administration Hospital, Madison, Wisconsin.

I am delighted to express my deepest gratitude to *Dr. Waheed Tantawey*, Assis. Prof. of Radiodiagnosis, Ain Shams University for his time, effort and support along the course of this work.

I would like also to extend my deepest gratitude to *Dr. Nabil Gad-ElHak*, Assis. Prof. of Gastroentrology Surgery, EL-Mansoura University for his help, advice and support along the course of this work.

I am greatly indebted to *Dr. Aliaa Khidr*, Lecturer of Phoniatrics, Ain Shams University for her help, encouragement and continuous support along the course of this work and during my stay in the United States.

I would like to thank *Dr. Brian Goodman*, *Ph.D.*, A Biomathematician at Veterans Administration Hospital, Madison, Wisconsin, for his help and valuable comments in preparing the statistics of this work. Much appreciation to *Mrs. Saher Lasheen*, a Psychometrist at Phoniatric unit, Ain Shams University, *Mr. Magdy Haleem*, a Researcher at the Motility Unit, Gastroentrology Surgery Center, El-Mansoura University and *Mr. Hussein Ahmed*, A Radiodiagnosis technician, Ain Shams University for their technical assistance along the course of this work.



Contents

Pag	је
O Introduction	1
O Aims of the work	4
O Review of Literature :	
* Section 1: Anatomy and physiology of esophageal speech	5
Anatomy of esophageal speech	5
Physiology of esophageal speech	4
* Section 2: Esophageal speech rehabilitation	34
● Esophageal speech training	34
● Correlates of esophageal speech	52
* Section 3: Problems of the laryngectomized patients	69
* Section 4: Factors affecting acquisition of esophageal speech	36
* Section 5 : Other alaryngeal speech options)9
O Material and Methods	18
O Results 16	57
O Discussion	54
O Conclusions)1
O Summary)5
O References)7
O Appendices	33
Arabic summary	



List of Figures

	1 "6"
Figure (1): The vocal tract before laryngectomy.	6
Figure (2): The vocal tract after laryngectomy and esophageal speech.	7
Figure (3): Three hypotheses for the formation of the neoglottis.	12
Figure (4): Normal UES, esophageal and LES motility following a wet swallow.	18
Figure (5): The three pressure systems used in esophageal phonation.	35
Figure (6): Tokyo artificial larynx.	111
Figure (7): Osaka artificial larynx.	111
Figure (8): Van Human artificial larynx.	111
Figure (9): Neck-type electronic artificial larynx.	114
Figure (10): Servox Inton electronic larynx.	115
Figure (11): Denrick 2 electronic artificial larynx.	115
Figure (12): ROMET electronic artificial larynx.	115
Figure (13): SPKR electronic artificial larynx.	117
Figure (14): NU-VOIS electronic artificial larynx.	117
Figure (15): OptiVox electronic artificial larynx.	117
Figure (16): TrueTone electronic artificial larynx.	117
Figure (17): Cooper-Rand, a mouth-type electronic larynx.	119
Figure (18): Cooper-Rand 2-piece design.	119
Figure (19): P.O. Vox Disposable Intraoral Electrolarynx.	119
Figure (20): UltraVoice, dental appliance-type electronic larynx.	122
Figure (21): Tracheoesophageal voice prosthesis.	129
Figure (22): Blom-Singer Duckbill voice prosthesis with its inserter.	133
Figure (23): Blom-Singer 16 Fr. low pressure and 20 Fr. low pressure voice prostheses	with their
inserters .	133
Figure (24): Blom-Singer Indwelling low pressure voice prosthesis without and with gel	cap. 133
Figure (25): The standard Groningen VP with a straight slit-valve (a), the low-resist	
Groningen VP with a semicircular slit-valve (b), the Provox VP with a h	ing-valve
(c), the valveless Nijdam VP (d) with the shaftlength of the VP (mm) printed
on the tracheal flange. The barrier mechanism of the Nijdam VP (e); the	e hatched
area represents the tracheo-esophageal wall.	135
Figure (26): Schematic representation of the Tecoflex polyurethane (Bordeaux) voice p	rosthesis,
in laryngectomized patient and outside.	135
Figure (27): The Lectromed M19 recording system for esophageal manometry.	156
Figure (28): Sentence duration measurement of a good esophageal speaker using the V	isi - pitch/
IBM system model 6095 / 6097 (Kay Elemetrics Corp.).	162
Figure (29): Intra-oral pressure measurement of a good esophageal speaker using	
Phonatory Function Analyzer (Nagashima PS-77 H) and a software	
program (<i>PS-77-201 E</i>).	164
Figure (30): Mean airflow rate measurement of a good esophageal speaker using	
Phonatory Function Analyzer (Nagashima PS-77 H) and a software	
program (PS-77-201 E).	165
Figure (31): Objective psychometric data.	180

Figure (32): Nasopharyngofiberscopic image of a laryngectomized patient with good es	ophageal
speech demonstrating PES during phonation.	184
Figure (33): Nasopharyngofiberscopic image of laryngectomized patients demonstrating	ig PES
contours and shapes.	185
Figure (34): Nasopharyngofiberscopic image of a laryngectomized patient with poor es	sophageal
speech demonstrating the mouth of a PES diverticulum.	185
Figure (35): Videofluoroscopic image of a laryngectomized patient with poor esopha	ıgeal
speech demonstrating (a) PES spasm and pharyngeal stasis of liquid ba	ırium
(b) an esophageal diverticulum.	189
Figure (36): Videofluoroscopic image of two laryngectomized patients with good esop	hageal
speech demonstrating number of vibrating PES.	190
Figure (37): Manometric data for laryngectomized patients vs control group.	194
Figure (38): Manometric data of poor, fair and good esophageal speakers.	198
Figure (39): Manometric recording of UES pressures during swallowing of three larynge	ectomized
patients.	199
Figure (40): Manometric recording of intra-esophageal pressures during swallowing of	of three
laryngectomized patients.	200
Figure (41): Manometric recording of LES pressures during swallowing of three larynge	ectomized
patients.	201
Figure (42): Manometric recording of UES pressure during esophageal phonation of	a good
esophageal speaker.	202
Figure (43): Acoustic data of laryngectomized patients (fair & good esophageal spea	kers) vs
control group.	211
Figure (44): Acoustic data for fair and good esophageal speakers.	214
Figure (45): Aerodynamic data for laryngectomized patients vs control group.	217
Figure (46): Aerodynamic data for poor, fair and good esophageal speakers.	219
Figure (47): Scatter plot of all-groups in the discriminant coordinate system.	262
rigure (+ /) . Octated plot of an groups in the abstraction	

List of Tables

	Page
Table (1): Wepman et al. (1953) seven-point scale of speech proficiency.	55
Table (2): Stages of esophageal speech development according to Snidecor (1978).	56
Table (3): Essential features for the ideal artificial laryngeal device.	110
Table (4): Justifications provided in the literature against the use of	
an artificial laryngeal device.	125
Table (5): Justifications provided in the literature for recommending and supporting th	e use of an
artificial laryngeal device.	125
Table (6): Criteria for candidacy to receive the TEP procedure.	139
Table (7 a, b): Descriptive statistics for demographic data.	169
Table (8 a, b): Descriptive statistics for physical data.	170
Table (9 a, b, c): Descriptive statistics for preoperative, operative	
& postoperative data.	172,174
Table (10 a, b): Descriptive statistics for esophageal speech therapy.	175,176
Table (11 a, b): Descriptive statistics for subjective psychological data.	177
Table (12): Descriptive statistics for formal psychometric testing.	179
Table (13): Descriptive statistics for nasopharyngofiberscopic study.	183
Table (14 a b): Descriptive statistics for Video fluoroscopic study.	187,188
Table (15 a b): Descriptive statistics for manometric study (patients vs control).	193
Table (16 a b): Descriptive statistics for manometric study (patient groups).	197
Table (17 a, b, c, d, e): Descriptive statistics for communicative outcome. 203-2	05,207,208
Table (18) · (a) Descriptive statistics for acoustic data (patients vs control).	210
(b) Descriptive statistics for acoustic data of esophageal voice patient gro	oups). 213
Table (10) · (a) Descriptive statistics aerodynamic data (patients vs control).	210
(b) Descriptive statistics aerodynamic data of esophageal voice (patient gl	roups) .218
Table (20): Descriptive statistics for social outcome.	220
Table (21): Descriptive statistics for occupational & economic outcome.	221
Table (22 a, b): Correlation between demographic and physical factors and	221 222
rehabilitation outcome.	231,232
Table (23 a, b): Correlation between operative / postoperative data and	233,234
rehabilitation outcome.	
Table (24 a, b, c): Correlation between current post-operative problems and rehal	235,236,237
Table (25): Correlation between esophageal speech therapy data and rehabilitation out	me. 239
Table (26): Correlation between formal psychometric testing and rehabilitation outco	ne. 240
Table (27): Correlation between nasofiberscopic findings and communication outcom	10, 240
Table (28 a, b, c): Correlation between video fluoroscopic findings and	241,242,243
communication outcome.	me . 244.245
Table (29 a, b): Correlation between manometric measures and communication outco Table (30): Correlation between social and occupational outcomes and communication of the control of the	outcome.248
Table (30): Correlation between social and occupation and decommunication. Table (31 a, b): Correlation between acoustic and aerodynamic measures and correlation.	nmunication
	254,255
outcome. Table (32): (a) Unstandardized canonical discriminant function* discriminating p	,
outcome, (b) Canonical discriminant functions evaluated at group n	neans (group
centroids) for proficiency outcome, (c) Classification results.	260,261,263
centrolas) for proficiency outcome, (c) classification results	, ,