MOHAMMED ALAA ADEL MANDOUR

M.Sc. Degree in Architecture (Cairo University/Massachusetts Institute of Technology 1992)

Thesis submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
in
Architecture

TH. A

61894

Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University
Department of Architecture

September, 1999



By MOHAMMED ALAA ADEL MANDOUR

M.Sc. Degree in Architecture (Cairo University/Massachusetts Institute of Technology 1992)

Thesis submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
in
Architecture

P18dn

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. M. Medhat Dorra

Asst. Prof. Dr. Hammam Serageldin

Professor of Architecture, Department of Architecture, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Assistant Professor of Architecture, Department of Architecture, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Signature

Signatur

Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University Department of Architecture

September, 1999

By MOHAMMED ALAA ADEL MANDOUR M.Sc. Degree in Architecture (Cairo University/Massachusetts Institute of Technology 1992)

Thesis submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
in
Architecture

Approved by the Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Morad Abdel Kade Professor of Architecture, Department of Architecture, Ain Shams University	mund
Prof. Dr. Nasamat Abdel Kade	<i>C</i>
Professor of Architecture,	.1
Department of Architecture,	
Cairo University	Nasamal Abold Kadey
Prof. Dr. M. Medhat Dorra, The Main Advisor Professor of Architecture, Department of Architecture, Cairo University	
Asst. Prof. Dr. Hammam Serag Assistant Professor of Architect Department of Architecture,	eldin, The Second Advisor
Cairo University	- Culiusui -

Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University
Department of Architecture
September, 1999

Abstract

Building an Interactive Community is a community-based process in design, and development, which enables programs for neighborhood upgrading to be prepared and implemented locally collaboratively and quickly. It is a process for 'going to scale' based on building the capability locally to manage and act upon decision, as well as implement project work.

Its methods are neither proscriptive nor prescriptive of either contact or procedure, and leave much room for improvisation. Instead, they provide structure for making decision-marks; a process which can be accessed at any one of its stages, setting priorities, working out general strategies or preparing for implementation. The starting point, in other words, is largely dependent on local circumstances and objective.

Implicit, however, is an approach which is largely problem-driven and where each action in turn identifies subsequent actions, building the programmed as one proceeds, rather than as pre-emptive or pre-packaged.

In this way, local participants can contribute not only to the content, but also to the structure of the program. Only the broadest of intentions (or policies) are brought to the site from the top, and subsequently crafted into workable programs from the bottom. The Method is a process, which seeks to build linkages between local and central needs (or between local programs and national policies) and so to reach consensus among participants on priorities, as well as appropriate courses of action and government intervention.

We now know that urban low-income settlements are usually both resourceful and problematic. However, while we do have substantial knowledge about the dynamics of these settlements, we do not as yet have a sound theory about practice. Therefore, in the proposed Method, four assumptions are made on which the methods are built: Problems of implementation arise not so much because people locally lack information or skills, but because they lack an adequate framework for articulating and prioritizing problem, defining solutions, and building consensus and partnership; Not enough mediation takes place between public and privet organizations and between funders and implementers and policies and projects; There are not enough incentives for local production, with preference still for centralized and standard solution as basis for mass production and not enough learning takes place, and even when it does, usually takes too long to find its way back into mainstream of practice and usually winds up in thick reports that no one knows quite how to use.

A further assumption is the underling issue in project upgrading of 'going to scale' and three key principles are suggested for achieving this: more variety; more participation; and more learning.

This study is directed to those who are responsible for the management and implementation of small-scale community-based upgrading programs. It is a tool with which to craft these programming and design of such programs.

Interactive community processes have been used in many fields before, and have no pretensions that what is presented is fundamentally new or unique. Community participation has long been theorized, but few examples of strong successes exist.

This work has focused on bringing theory to practice, and the associated methods, which make it, work at the community scale. Little documentation, which makes explicit these techniques, and in mind: to bring examples and suggestions for community-based programs.

This material is prepared specifically to elicit response and discussion. Rather than to instruct. The question is not whether these methods are than are good or bad, or different, or any better than anyone else's. More useful, do they contain ideas which can be carried forward and built upon.

This study describes the theory and practice of the proposed method of planning. The first part the theoretical basis to the approach is mapped, and its assumptions and objectives in the context of current trends are clarified. The second part, the methods are illustrated in practice, through a community based workshop undertaken in Nasyria, a low income community in Aswan, to track the implementation of one sample program. The final part is included in full as the research base for who wants to carry out their own workshops.

In this respect, a number of questions remain unanswered. Are the results any more effective from any other project? Or, if not, do the means employed offer greater equity or efficiency, or are they any more cost-effective? Has the money and effort been better directed with this method than without it? Does the consensus building process, which we do by first inducing a convergence of interests and later a convergence of interpretation about where the real problems or opportunities lie, better direct our interventions? Do they unlock ideas and solutions, which may otherwise remain hidden? Does the spirit of cooperation establish between professionals, government, community, and health workers, add up to doing anything?

CONTENTS

ABSTRACT

CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I. Introduction

II. The Method

III. Assumptions on Which the Method is Built Upon

IV. Major Areas of the Study

V. Research Objectives

VI. Research Strategy

VII. Research Structure

VII.1. Part One : Theoretical Issues

VII.2. Part Two : Context and Problem Identification

VII.3. Part Three : The Method Basics

VII.4. Part Four : The Method Application

VII.5. Part Five : Method and Procedures (Research Outcome)

CHAPTER ONE

THEORETICAL ISSUES

1.1. Introduction

1.2. Co-Operative Housing

1.3. Types Of Co-Operative Organization

1.3.1. Kinds of Co-operative

1.3.2. The Economic, Political and Social Context

1.3.2.1. Tenure

1.3.2.1.1. Limited Housing Co-operatives

- 1.3.2.1.2. Multiple Mortgage Housing Co-operatives
- 1.3.2.1.3. Continuing Housing Co-operative
- 1.3.2.1.4. Legal Aspects of Tenure
- 1.3.2.2. Organization and Development of a Housing Co-Operative
 - 1.3.2.2.1. Management
 - 1.3.2.2.2. Strategy
 - 1.3.2.2.3. Structure
 - 1.3.2.2.4. Systems
 - 1.3.2.2.5. Staff and Skills
 - 1.3.2.2.6. Leadership
 - 1.3.2.2.6.1. The Common Bond
 - 1.3.2.2.6.2. Human Resource Development
- 1.3.2.3. Organization and Management of Self-Help Construction
 - 1.3.2.3.1. Members' Time (Opportunity Cost)
 - 1.3.2.3.2. Skills in the Group
 - 1.3.2.3.3. Tasks to be Performed
 - 1.3.2.3.4. Technology to be Used
 - 1.3.2.3.5. The Organization of Construction
 - 1.3.2.3.6. Administration
 - 1.3.2.3.7. Training
 - 1.3.2.3.8. Allocation of Houses
 - 1.3.2.4. Land Acquisition
 - 1.3.2.5. Finance
 - 1.3.2.5. 1. Members' Funds
 - 1.3.2.5.2. Loan Capital
 - 1.3.2.5.3. Interest
 - 1.3.2.5.4. Terms
 - 1.3.2.5.5. A Co-operative Finance System
 - 1.3.2.6. Institutional Support
 - 1.3.2.6.1. Support Organizations

1.3.2.6.2. The Institutional Framework

1.3.2.6.3. Tasks of the Support System

1.4. Conclusion

CHAPTER TWO

CONTEXT AND PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION

- 2.1. Introduction
- 2.2. Upgrading as a Policy
 - 2.2.1. Evaluating Upgrading
- 2.3. Case I: Evaluation of Upgrading in Ismailia
 - 2.3.1. Background
 - 2.3.2. Project Features
 - 2.3.2.1. Hai el Salam (El Hekr)
 - 2.3.2.2. Abu Atwa
 - 2.3.3. The Proposal
 - 2.3.3.1. General
 - 2.3.3.2. Financing
 - 2.3.3.3. Proposed Community Involvement
 - 2.3.3.4. Proposed Management of the Project
 - 2.3.4. Implementation
 - 2.3.4.1. Project Agencies
 - 2.3.5. Progress
 - 2.3.6. Management Practices in the Projects
 - 2.3.7. Main Issues of he Project
 - 2.3.7.1. Land Issues
 - 2.3.7.2. Community Involvement
 - 2.3.7.3. Planning and Management
 - 2.3.8. Evaluation of the Projects
 - 2.3.8.1. Evaluation Categories?
 - 2.3.8.2. Evaluation Criteria
 - 2.3.9. Composite Evaluation

- 2.3.10. Conclusion
- 2.4. Case B: Evaluation of Squatter Settlement Upgrading in Baldia, Karachi
 - 2.4.1. Background
 - 2.4.1.1. Karachi's Katchi Abadi Upgrading Program Baldia
 - 2.4.2. Evaluation Method
 - 2.4.3. Evaluation Survey Results
 - 2.4.3.1. Security of Tenure and Leases
 - 2.4.3.2. Home Improvements
 - 2.4.3.3. Renting, Subdividing, and Subletting
 - 2.4.3.4. Property Values
 - 2.4.3.5. Population Turnover
 - 2.4.3.6. Residents' Views of the program
 - 2.4.4. Conclusion
 - 2.4.4.1. Evaluation Approach
 - 2.4.4.2. Evaluation Findings
 - 2.5. Impacts of Programs and Evaluation Approaches
 - 2.5.1. Introduction: Summary of the cases
 - 2.5.2. Impacts of Upgrading Programs
 - 2.5.2.1. Evaluation Findings
 - 2.5.2.2.1. Home Improvements
 - 2.5.2.2.2. Land Tenure and Value
 - 2.5.2.2.3. Incomes and Expenditure patterns
 - 2.5.2.2.4. Household Mobility
 - 2.5.2.2.5. Community Participation and Self Reliance
 - 2.5.2.2.5. Institutional /Bureaucratic change
 - 2.6. Conclusion

CHAPTER THREE THE METHOD BASICS

- 3.1. Introduction
 - 3.1.1. Objectives
- 3.2. Assumptions on Which the Method is Built Upon
 - 3.2.1. Definitions
- 3.3. Getting Started
 - 3.3.1. Required Staff
 - 3.3.2. Responsibilities
 - 3.3.3. What Do We Have to Arrange?
 - 3.3.3.1. Meeting with the Community
 - 3.3.3.2. Meeting Place
 - 3.3.3.3. Necessary Materials
 - 3.3.3.4. Arrange for Food
 - 3.3.3.5. Conducting the Session
 - 3.3.3.6. What Is the Task?
 - 3.3.3.7. Opening of the Workshop
 - 3.3.3.8. What Is the Outcome?
 - 3.3.3.9. Seating Arrangement
 - 3.3.3.10. What Material and Equipment Do We Need?
 - 3.3.3.11. Things to Consider
- 3.4. Stage I: Identification
 - 3.4.1. What Are the Problems?
 - 3.4.1.1. What Is the Task for Identifying Problems
 - 3.4.1.2. The Working Session
 - 3.4.1.3. What Is the Outcome for Listing Problems
 - 3.4.1.4. Seating Arrangement for the Presentation
 - 3.4.1.5. What Material and Equipment Do We Need?
 - 3.4.1.6. Things to Consider in the Workshop

3.4.2. Directions for All Groups in this Session

- 3.4.2.1. Step 1 Make A Field Survey
 - 3.4.2.1.1. What Is the Task for the Field Survey
 - 3.4.2.1.2. The Workshop Session After the Field Survey
 - 3.4.2.1.3. What Is the Outcome After the Field Survey
- 3.4.2.2. Step 2 List The Problems
 - 3.4.2.2.1. What Is the Task for Listing Problems
 - 3.4.2.2.2. The Workshop Session
 - 2.4.2.2.3. What Is the Outcome of the Workshop
- 3.4.2.3. Step 3 Agree on Problems
 - 3.4.2.3.1. What Is the Task to Agree on Problems
 - 3.4.2.3.2. The Workshop Session
 - 3.4.2.3.3. What Is the Outcome on Agreeing on Problems
- 3.4.2.4. Step 4 Consider Health Issues
 - 3.4.2.4.1. What Is the Task for Considering Health Issues
 - 3.4.2.4.2. The Workshop Session
 - 3.4.2.4.3. What Is the Outcome of the Workshop
- 3.4.2.5. Step 5 Make And Agree on Priorities
 - 3.4.2.5.1. What Is the Task on Agreeing on Priorities
 - 3.4.2.5.2. The Workshop Session
 - 3.4.2.5.3. What Is the Outcome on Agreeing on Priorities

3.5.Stage II - Strategies

- 3.5.1. What Are the Approaches?
 - 3.5.1.1. What Is the Task on the Approach?
 - 3.5.1.2. The Workshop Session
 - 3.5.1.3. What is the Outcome of the Approach
 - 3.5.1.4. Seating Arrangement
 - 3.5.1.5. What Material and Equipment Do We Need?
 - 3.5.1.6. Things to Consider
- 3.5.2. Directions to all Groups
 - 3.5.2.1. Step 1 List Strategies