

**EFFECT OF SOME DIFFERENT ROOTSTOCKS  
ON PERFORMANCE OF SOME STONE  
FRUIT SPECIES**

By

**NESMA MOHAMED ALAM EI-DEEN FATHY**

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Horticulture), Ain Shams University, 2007

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment  
of**

**The requirements for the degree of**

**MASTER OF SCIENCE**

**in**

**Agricultural Science  
(Pomology)**

**Department of Horticulture  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Ain Shams University**

**2014**



# **EFFECT OF SOME DIFFERENT ROOTSTOCKS ON PERFORMANCE OF SOME STONE FRUIT SPECIES**

By

**NESMA MOHAMED ALAM EL-DEEN FATHY**

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Horticulture), Ain Shams University, 2007

**Under the supervision of:**

**Dr. Ahmed Abd El Fattah El- Gazzar**

Prof. of Pomology, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of  
Agriculture, Ain Shams University (principal supervisor)

**Dr. Assem Dosouky Shaltout**

Prof. of Pomology, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of  
Agriculture, Ain Shams University

**Dr. Ahmed Abd El-Hamid Awad**

Assistant Prof. of Pomology, Department of Horticulture, Faculty  
of Agriculture, Ain Shams University



## ABSTRACT

**NESMA MOHAMED ALAM EL-DEEN FATHY: Effect of some Different Rootstocks on Performance of some Stone Fruit Species. Unpublished M.Sc.Thesis, Horticulture Dept., Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams Univ., 2014.**

A field trial was conducted during three successive seasons (2010-2011, 2011-2012 and 2012-2013) to study the effect of Okinawa and Nemaguard (*P. persica*) rootstocks on vegetative growth, leaf mineral content, flowering and fruiting of "Sun Wright" nectarine and two peach cultivars namely "Flordaprince and Early swelling". The second experiment applied on two plum cultivars "Celebration and Pioneer" grafted on the previous rootstocks besides "Marianna rootstock (*P. cerasifera* x *P. munsoniana*). The transplants were grown in a sandy soil under drip irrigation system. In peach and nectarine experiment after three growing seasons, the obtained data showed that trees grafted on Nemaguard rootstock had a significant larger tree size and trunk cross sectional area, while no significant differences in tree height, shoot length, number of leaves per shoot and trunk circumference. All cultivars trees on the two rootstocks showed high compatibility among all grown seasons. No differences were observed in leaf mineral content between the two rootstocks. Okinawa rootstock induced an early vegetative bud opening of Early swelling cv., whereas Sun Wright and Flordaprince weren't influenced by both rootstocks. The flowering measurements of all cultivars under the study weren't influenced significantly by both rootstocks. Also, yield, some chemical and physical properties of fruits of all cultivars were not influenced by both rootstocks.

On the other hand the plum experiment showed that Marianna rootstock increased significantly tree height, shoot length, average

number of leaves per shoot, leaf area and had the most homogenous compatibility, while Nemaguard significantly increased number of shoots and TCSA. Both Okinawa and Nemaguard rootstocks presented non homogenous graft compatibility with Pioneer cultivar. No differences were observed in leaf mineral concentration except with iron which had a higher significant concentration with Okinawa rootstock. Vegetative and flower bud break of both plum cultivars budded on Okinawa and Nemaguard were earlier than Marianna. Pioneer was earlier than Celebration in starting and ending flowering. Tress budded on Okinawa had the highest significant flower buds percentage. No yield harvested from the two cultivars may be due to unsuitable environmental conditions during flowering and fruit set or it needs a compatible pollinizer, although literature reported that Pioneer cv. is self-fertile. Additional researches are needed to study the flowering and fruiting of these cultivars under the conditions of the experiment location. The anatomy study of the graft union revealed that Pioneer budded on Okinawa and Marianna rootstock and on the interstock are compatible showed a complete union between the rootstock and the scion in the early stages after budding with observed that xylem vessels are extended in horizontal direction.

**Key words:** Cultivars, Flowering, Growth, Leaf mineral content, *Prunus*, Rootstock, Yield and Quality.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my, deepest greatest and sincere thanks to “Allah”, for helping me and gave me the power, willing and patience to carry out this work.

Sincere appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Ahmed Abd El-Fattah El-Gazzar**, Professor of Pomology, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his wise supervision, kind guidance, continued help during the preparation of this work.

Deep gratitude and thanks are offered to **Prof. Dr. Assem Dosouky Shaltout**, Emeritus professor of Pomology, Department of Horticulture Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his suggesting the problem, drawing the plan work, supporting me with the plant material, valuable help and continuous encouragement during the study.

Also I'm grateful to **Dr. Ahmed Abd El-Hamid Awad**, Assistant Professor of Pomology, Department of Horticulture Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his supervision, valuable suggestion, the great efforts in writing and reviewing the manuscript.

Thanks are also extended to the staff members of the Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for their encouragement and help during the course of this work.

I would like to express my deep thanks to my beloved, kind family for continuous support during the study.





## CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES.....</b>	<b>VI</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES.....</b>	<b>XI</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2.1. Effect of Prunus rootstock on vegetative growth of some</b>	
<b>Stone fruits pecies. ....</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1.1. Tree height.....	4
2.1.2. Tree size. . ....	6
2.1.3. Number of shoots. ....	11
2.1.4. Shoot length. ....	12
2.1.5. Average number of leaves per shoot. ....	12
2.1.6. Leaf area.....	13
2.1.7. Tree circumference. ....	13
2.1.8. Trunk cross sectional area (TCSA). ....	15
2.1.9. Compatibility between scion and rootstock. ....	18
<b>2.2.Effect of Prunus rootstock on leaf mineral content of</b>	
<b>some stone fruit species. ....</b>	<b>19</b>
2.2.1. Leaf Nitrogen (N) concentration (%).....	19
2.2.2. Leaf Phosphorus (p) concentration (%).....	20
2.2.3. Leaf Potassium (K) concentration (%).....	21
2.2.4. Leaf Calcium (Ca) concentration (%).....	21
2.2.5. Leaf Magnesium (Mg) concentration. (%).....	22
2.2.6. Leaf Manganese (Mn) concentration ( ppm). ....	22
2.2.7. Leaf Zinc (Zn) concentration ( ppm). ....	23
2.2.8. Leaf Iron (Fe) concentration ( ppm). ....	23
<b>2.3. Effect of Prunus rootstock on vegetative and flower buds</b>	
<b>parameters of stone fruit species.....</b>	<b>24</b>
2.3.1. Date of vegetative bud opening. ....	24
2.3.2. Blooming date.....	24

## II

2.3.3. Vegetative and flower bud percentage. ....	27
<b>2.4. Effect of Prunus rootstock on yield measurements of some stone fruit species.....</b>	<b>27</b>
2.4.1. Date of maturity, fruit development period (FDP-days) and harvest date.....	27
2.4.2. Number of fruit per tree. ....	29
2.4.3. Yield weight (kg) per tree. ....	30
<b>2.5. Effect of Prunus rootstock on fruit quality of some stone fruit species.....</b>	<b>35</b>
2.5.1. Physical properties. ....	35
2.5.1.1. Fruit weight (g).....	35
2.5.1.2. Flesh weight (g) ....	38
2.5.1.3. Pit weight (g) ....	39
2.5.1.4. Fruit length (cm) ....	39
2.5.1.5. Fruit diameter (cm) ....	39
2.5.1.6. Fruit size (cm <sup>3</sup> ).....	40
2.5.1.7. Flesh thickness (cm) ....	41
2.5.1.8. Fruit firmness.....	41
2.5.1.9. Fruit shape ....	42
2.5.2. Chemical properties. ....	42
2.5.2.1. TSS (%).....	42
2.5.2.2. Acidity (%).....	43
2.5.2.3. TSS / Acidity ratio.....	44
<b>2.6. Histological study on graft union to determine the compatibility between scion and rootstocks.....</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>3. MATERIALS AND METHODS.....</b>	<b>48</b>
3.1. The experiment layout ....	49
3.2. The experimental design and treatments ....	49
3.3. Studied characteristics ....	50
3.3.1. Vegetative characteristics ....	50
3.3.2. Vegetative and flower buds parameters ....	50
3.3.3. Leaf mineral content ....	51

### III

3.3.4. Yield measurements .....	52
3.3.5. Fruit quality.....	52
3.3.5.1. Physical properties .....	52
3.3.5.2. Chemical properties .....	53
3.3.6. Anatomical study.....	53
3.4. Statistical Analysis .....	54
3.5. Meteorological data .....	54
<b>4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>4.1. Nectarine and Peach experiment.....</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>4.1.1. Effect of rootstock type on vegetative growth of nectarine</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>and peach scions.....</b>	<b>55</b>
4.1.1.1. Tree height (m).....	55
4.1.1.2. Tree size (m <sup>3</sup> ).....	56
4.1.1.3. Number of shoots per tree .....	59
4.1.1.4. Shoot length (cm) .....	60
4.1.1.5. Average number of leaves per shoot. ....	62
4.1.1.6. Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> ).....	63
4.1.1.7. Tree circumference (cm) .....	64
4.1.1.8. Trunk cross sectional area (TCSA). ....	65
4.1.1.9. Compatibility between scion and rootstock. ....	67
<b>4.1.2. Effect of rootstock type on leaf mineral content of</b>	
<b>nectarine and peach cultivars.....</b>	<b>70</b>
4.1.2.1. Leaf nitrogen (N) concentration (%).....	70
4.1.2.2. Leaf phosphorus (p) concentration (%).....	71
4.1.2.3. Leaf potassium (K) concentration (%).....	72
4.1.2.4. Leaf calcium (Ca) concentration (%).....	73
4.1.2.5. Leaf magnesium (Mg) concentration (%).....	75
4.1.2.6. Leaf manganese (Mn) concentration ( ppm). ....	76
4.1.2.7. Leaf zinc (Zn) Concentration ( ppm). ....	78
4.1.2.8. Leaf iron (Fe) concentration ( ppm). ....	79
<b>4.1.3. Vegetative and flower buds parameters.....</b>	<b>80</b>
4.1.3.1. Date of vegetative bud opening. ....	80

4.1.3.2. Flowering period, full bloom and flowering duration.....	81
4.1.3.3. Vegetative bud Percentage.....	84
4.1.3.4. Flower bud percentage. ....	85
<b>4.1.4. Maturity and Yield .....</b>	<b>87</b>
4.1.4.1. Fruit developing period (maturity) and harvest period.....	87
4.1.4.2. Yield.....	89
4.1.4.2.1. Number of fruit per tree .....	89
4.1.4.2.2. Yield weight (kg) per tree. ....	90
<b>4.1.5. Effect of rootstock type on fruit quality of nectarine and peach cultivars.....</b>	<b>92</b>
4.1.5.1. Physical properties. ....	92
4.1.5.1. 1. Fruit weight (g) .....	92
4.1.5.1. 2. Flesh weight (g).....	94
4.1.5.1.3. Pit weight (g) .....	95
4.1.5.1.4. Fruit length (cm).....	96
4.1.5.1. 5. Fruit diameter (cm) .....	97
4.1.5.1. 6. Fruit size (cm <sup>3</sup> ) .....	98
4.1.5.1. 7. Flesh (cm) .....	99
4.1.5.1. 8. Fruit firmness. ....	100
4.1.5.1. 9. Fruit shape. ....	102
4.1.5.1. Chemical properties. ....	103
4.1.5.1.1. TSS (%).....	103
4.1.5.1.2. Acidity (%).....	104
4.1.5.1.3. TSS / Acidity ratio.....	105
<b>4. 2 Plum experiment.....</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>4.2.1. Effect of rootstock type on vegetative characteristics of plum cultivars.....</b>	<b>108</b>
4.2.1.1. Tree height (m) .....	108
4.2.1.2. Tree size (m <sup>3</sup> ) .....	109
4.2.1.3. Number of shoots per tree .....	113
4.2.1.4. Shoot length (cm) .....	115
4.2.1.5. Average number of leaves per shoot. ....	116

4.2.1.6. Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> ).....	115
4.2.1.7. Trunk circumference (cm) .....	116
4.2.1.8. Trunk-cross sectional area (TCSA). ....	117
4.2.1.9. Compatibility between scion and rootstock. ....	119
<b>4.2.2. Effect of rootstock type on leaf mineral content of plum</b>	
<b>cultivars.....</b>	122
4.2.2.1. Leaf Nitrogen (N) concentration. (%).....	122
4.2.2.2. Leaf Phosphorus (p) concentration. (%).....	123
4.2.2.3. Leaf Potassium (K) concentration. (%).....	124
4.2.2.4. Leaf Calcium (Ca) concentration. (%).....	125
4.2.2.5. Leaf Magnesium (Mg) concentration. (%).....	127
4.2.2.6. Leaf Manganese (Mn) concentration (ppm). ....	128
4.2.2.7. Leaf Zinc (Zn) concentration ( ppm). ....	129
4.2.2.8. Leaf Iron (Fe) concentration (ppm). ....	130
<b>4.2.3. Vegetative and flower buds parameters and yield.....</b>	132
4.2.3.1. Date of vegetative bud opening.....	132
4.2.3.2. Flowering period, full bloom and flowering duration.....	133
4.2.3.3. Percentage of vegetative bud.....	135
4.2.3.4. Percentage of flower bud and Yield.....	136
<b>4.2.4 Anatomical study.....</b>	141
<b>5. SUMMERY AND CONCLUSION.....</b>	146
<b>6. REFERENCES.....</b>	161
<b>7. ARABIC SUMMERY.....</b>	



## LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Page
1. Chemical and physical analyses of the two experimental soil.....	48
2. Meteorological data.....	54
3. Effect of rootstock type on tree height (cm) of nectarine and peach cultivars in the three seasons 2011, 2012 and 2013.....	56
4. Effect of rootstock type on tree size (m <sup>3</sup> ) of nectarine and peach cultivars in the three seasons 2011, 2012 and 2013.....	57
5. Effect of rootstock type on number of shoots of nectarine and peach cultivars in the three seasons 2011, 2012 and 2013.....	60
6. Effect of rootstock type on shoot length (cm) of nectarine and peach cultivars in the three seasons 2011, 2012 and 2013.....	61
7. Effect of rootstock type on average number of leaves per shoot of nectarine and peach cultivars in the three seasons 2011, 2012 and 2013.....	62
8. Effect of rootstock type on leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> ) of nectarine and peach cultivars in the three seasons 2011, 2012 and 2013.....	63
9. Effect of rootstock type on trunk circumference (cm) of nectarine and peach cultivars in the three 2011, 2012 and 2013.....	65
10. Effect of rootstock type on TCSA (cm <sup>2</sup> ) of nectarine and peach cultivars in the three seasons 2011, 2012 and 2013.....	66
11. Effect of rootstock type on scion : rootstock compatibility of nectarine and peach cultivars in the three seasons 2011, 2012 and 2013.....	68
12. Effect of rootstock type on leaf N content (%) of nectarine and peach cultivars in the three seasons 2010, 2011 and 2012.....	71
13. Effect of rootstock type on leaf P content (%) of nectarine and peach cultivars in the three seasons 2010, 2011 and 2012.....	72
14. Effect of rootstock type on leaf K content (%) of nectarine and peach cultivars in the three seasons 2010, 2011 and	