

orig

THE VALUE OF ULTRASONOGRAPHY IN THE DIAGNOSIS OF DIFFERENT CHEST DISEASES

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of
M.D. Degree in Chest Diseases

By

Magdy Abdel-Salam El-Saied Gomaa

M.B., B.Ch., M.Sc. (Chest Diseases)

*616.24
A.A.
57358*

Supervisors

Prof. Adel Gomaa Ali

Professor of Chest Diseases

Ain Shams University

Prof. Hussein Ali Hussein

Professor of Chest Diseases

Ain Shams University

Prof. Omar Hussein Omar

Professor of Radiodiagnosis

Ain Shams University

Dr. Adel Khattab

Asst. Professor of Chest Diseases

Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohammed Abdel Sabour

Asst. Professor of Chest Diseases

Ain Shams University

FACULTY OF MEDICINE
AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

1999



بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

"سَنُرِيهِمْ ءَايَاتِنَا فِي الْأَفَاقِ وَفِي أَنْفُسِهِمْ
حَتَّىٰ يَتَبَيَّنَ لَهُمْ أَنَّهُ الْحَقُّ ۗ أَوَلَمْ يَكْفِ بِرَبِّكَ
أَنَّهُ عَلَىٰ كُلِّ شَيْءٍ شَهِيدٌ"

فُصِّلَتْ ، ٥٣

Acknowledgement

I am greatly honoured to express my deepest gratitude to **Prof. Adel Gomaa Ali, Professor of Chest Diseases**, Ain Shams University, for his generous assistance and kind help throughout this work.

I am greatly indebted to **Prof. Hussein Ali Hussein, Professor of Chest Diseases**, Ain Shams University, for his encouragement and valuable instructions throughout this work.

I am sincerely grateful to **Prof. Omar Hussein Omar, Professor of Radiodiagnosis**, Ain Shams University, for his valuable guidance and unlimited help throughout this work.

I wish to express my supreme appreciation to **Dr. Adel Khattab, Assistant Professor of Chest Diseases**, Ain Shams University, for his close supervision and unlimited patience throughout this work.

I am deeply indebted to **Dr. Mohamed Abdel Sabour, Assistant Professor of Chest Diseases**, Ain Shams University, for his continuous encouragement and support throughout this work.

I am deeply indebted to all **Staff Members of Chest Department, Radiodiagnosis Department and Sonography Unit of Internal Medicine Department**, Ain Shams University, for their unlimited help and assistance throughout this work.

Dr. Magdy Abdel-Salam El-Saied Gomaa.

List of Abbreviations

A-mode	Amplitude modulation
ATS	American Thoracic Society
B-mode	Brightness modulation
CT	Computed tomography
e.g.,	For example
ECMO	Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation
EUS	Endoscopic ultrasonography
FNAB	Fine needle aspiration biopsy
HPN	Hydropneumothorax
i.e.,	That is
IV	Intravenous
LDR	Lateral decubitus radiograph
M-mode	Motion mode
Pimax	Maximum inspiratory mouth pressure
PN	Pneumothorax
PS	Pulmonary sequestration
PTAB	Percutaneous transthoracic aspiration biopsy
PTCB	Percutaneous transthoracic core biopsy
PTNB	Percutaneous transthoracic needle biopsy
US	Ultrasound, ultrasonography, sonography
vs.	Versus

List of Figures

	Page
Figure 1: Puncture transducer with manual compound scanning.	5
Figure 2: Different types of transducers.	9
Figure 3: The US appearance of normal lung surface and the pleural nodule.	11
Figure 4: US appearance of pleural fluid in supine patients.	27
Figure 5: Mirror artifact above the diaphragm.	32
Figure 6: Relation of IVC, aorta, and diaphragmatic crus on transverse section.	35
Figure 7: US guidance of needle advancement.	74

List of Tables

	Page
Table 1: The distribution of the patients in the different groups.	126
Table 2: The patient distribution according to their sex in the different groups.	126
Table 3: The patient distribution according to their age in the different groups.	126
Table 4: The patients distribution according to concomitant CT-scanning of the chest and CT-guided PTNB.	127
Table 5: The patients distribution according to FOB examination.	127
Table 6: The patients distribution according to the non-imaged interventional percutaneous procedures.	127
Table 7: Distribution of the patients according to US examination and application of Doppler.	128
Table 8: Distribution of the patients according to US-guided interventional percutaneous procedure.	128
Table 9: Distribution of the patients according to the number of the procedures to which they were subjected.	128
Table 10: The diagnostic accuracy of chest US.	129
Table 11: The sensitivity and specificity of US in evaluating intrathoracic lesions.	129
Table 12: The numbers and success rate of US-guided procedures.	130
Table 13: The complication rate of US-guided procedures.	130
Table 14: The success rate of FOB.	130
Table 15: The success and failure rate of CT-guided PTNB and non-imaged procedure.	131
Table 16: The patients subjected to procedures not related to US examination and their outcome through US management.	131
Table 17: Distribution of the patients according to the final diagnosis.	132
Table 18: Distribution of patients according to the diagnostic method.	133

List of Graphs

	Page
Graph 1: The distribution of patients in the different groups.	134
Graph 2: The patients distribution according to CT scan, FOB, CT-guided PTNB, thoracocentesis &/or pleural biopsy.	135
Graph 3: Distribution of the patients according to US examination, application of Doppler and US-guided interventional percutaneous procedure.	136
Graph 4: The sensitivity and specificity of US in evaluating intrathoracic lesions.	137
Graph 5: Total success (vs. failed) rate of US-guided procedures.	138
Graph 6: The complication rate of US-guided procedures.	138
Graph 7: Distribution of patients according to the diagnostic method.	139

