



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
ASUNET





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأفلام قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيداً عن الغبار

في درجة حرارة من 15 – 20 مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من 20-40 %

To be kept away from dust in dry cool place of
15 – 25c and relative humidity 20-40 %



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



بالرسالة صفحات

لم ترد بالأصل



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة

**EVALUATION OF BONE LENGTHENING
FOR LIMB LENGTH DISCREPANCY
USING THE METHOD OF CALLOTASIS
AND KAZEM BONE LENGTHENING DEVICE**

THESIS

*Submitted For Partial Fulfillment Of
M.D. Degree In
Orthopaedics*



BY

Ashraf Adel Ibrahim Lotfy
M.B.B.Ch. , M.Sc. Orthopaedics

SUPERVISORS

Prof.Dr.Galal El-Din Hussein Kazem
*Professor Of Orthopaedics Department
Benha Faculty Of Medicine
Zagazig University*

[Handwritten signatures and initials of supervisors]

Prof.Dr.Mohamed Osama Hegazi
*The Head Of The Orthopaedics Department
Benha Faculty Of Medicine
Zagazig University*


ASSISTANT SUPERVISOR

Dr.Emad El-Din Esmat Aly
*Assist.Professor Of Orthopaedics Department
Benha Faculty Of Medicine
Zagazig University*



*B
7c1A*

1997



وَعَلَّمَ مَا لَمْ تَكُنْ تَعْلَمُ وَكَانَ فَضْلُ اللَّهِ عَلَيْكَ عَظِيمًا
صَدَقَ اللَّهُ الْعَظِيمُ

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

*I would like to express my deepest gratitude to **Professor Dr. Galal El-Din Hussein Kazem** , for suggesting the topic and enriching my work through his wide knowledge and constructive criticism .*

*I am as well indebted to **Professor Dr. Mohamed Osama Hegazi** , for his appreciated advice , continuous encouragement and sincere help throughout this work .*

*My deepest thanks to **Assist. Professor Dr. Emad El-Din Esmat Aly** , for his kind help and valuable remarks .*

Finally , my deepest thanks are due to the senior medical staff and to my colleagues in the Orthopaedic Department , Poliomyelitis Institute , for their support and cooperation .

List of Contents

-INTRODUCTION & AIM OF THE WORK	1
-CHAPTER I :	2
Limb Length Discrepancy	
-CHAPTER II :	8
Equalization of Leg Length Discrepancy	
-CHAPTER III :	22
Vascular Anatomy of Long Bones	
-CHAPTER IV :	27
Biologic Principles of Callotasis	
-CHAPTER V :	53
Histology of a Lengthened Human Tibia	
-CHAPTER VI :	59
Problems and Complications of Limb Lengthening	
-CHAPTER VII :	73
Materials and Methods	
-CHAPTER VIII :	101
Results	
-CHAPTER IX :	120
Discussion & Conclusions	
-SUMMARY	142
-CASE PRESENTATIONS	145
-REFERENCES	175
-ARABIC SUMMARY	----

List of Figures

Fig.(1) :	Effect of leg length discrepancy on gait .	6
Fig.(2) :	Codivilla's calcaneal pin traction plaster .	12
Fig.(3) :	Putti Osteoton .	13
Fig.(4) :	Dickson and Diveley lengthening apparatus .	14
Fig.(5) :	Anderson fixator .	15
Fig.(6) :	Wasserstein technique for lengthening .	16
Fig.(7) :	D'Aubingné and Dubousset combined technique for equalization of femora .	21
Fig.(8) :	Anatomy of long bone blood supply .	22
Fig.(9) :	Venous drainage from long bones .	24
Fig.(10) :	Effects of open osteotomy and percutaneous corticotomy on bone regenerate .	28
Fig.(11) :	Different methods for osteotomy .	29
Fig.(12) :	a)Effect of different latency periods in the bone healing index .	31
	b)Measuring of mineralization in metaphyseal and diaphyseal bone lengthening .	
Fig.(13) :	Difference in healing capacity between metaphyseal and diaphyseal lengthenings .	32
Fig.(14) :	The relative volume of radiopaque callus at six weeks in immediate and delayed distraction .	33
Fig.(15) :	Radiological appearance of callus in immediate and delayed distraction .	33
Fig.(16) :	Effect of different osteotomies and distraction rates on the distraction gap .	36
Fig.(17) :	Effect of optimum distraction rate and rhythm .	36
Fig.(18) :	Histological examination of the distraction gap .	37
Fig.(19) :	Phormasan blue stain to measure direct osteogenesis .	39
Fig.(20) :	Effect of different distraction frequency on the fascia .	41
Fig.(21) :	Effect of different distraction rates and frequency on nerve tissue.	42
Fig.(22) :	Effect of autodistractor on nerves .	43

Fig.(23) :	Formation of the cortex and the medulla during neutralization phase .	45
Fig.(24) :	Histological appearance of the effect of Wagner apparatus on the site of osteogenesis .	48
Fig.(25) :	Histological appearance of the effect of Wagner apparatus on the site of osteogenesis .	48
Fig.(26) :	Importance of fixator stability .	49
Fig.(27) :	Fixator stiffness ; shear stiffness and axial stiffness .	51
Fig.(28) :	Longitudinal section through the cortex of human lengthened tibia .	53
Fig.(29) :	Transverse section through the cortex of human lengthened tibia .	54
Fig.(30) :	Appearance of different types of bone matrix .	55
Fig.(31) :	Histological appearance of microfractures in bundle bone in lengthened segment .	56
Fig.(32) :	Histological appearance of necrotic bone in lengthened segment .	57
Fig.(33) :	The older bone trabeculae have been joined by newer trabeculae .	58
Fig.(34) :	Effect of limb lengthening on articular cartilage .	66
Fig.(35) :	Ultrasound scan of the distraction gap .	70
Fig.(36) :	Sex distribution .	73
Fig.(37) :	Age at the time of operation .	74
Fig.(38) :	Etiology of limb length discrepancy .	75
Fig.(39) :	Bone segments operated upon .	75
Fig.(40) :	Measurement of true and apparent leg length .	78
Fig.(41) :	Galleazzi test .	79
Fig.(42) :	Allis test	79
Fig.(43) :	Assessment of functional discrepancy with blocks .	80
Fig.(44) :	Diagram of scanogram and its technique .	83
Fig.(45) :	Insertion of the most proximal Schanz screw .	87
Fig.(46) :	Insertion of the most distal pin .	88
Fig.(47) :	Third pin insertion .	88
Fig.(48) :	Fourth pin insertion .	88
Fig.(49) :	Kazem bone lengthening device .	89
Fig.(50) :	Wagner bone lengthening device .	90

Fig.(51):	Technique for Ilizarov tibial corticotomy :	92
Fig.(52) :	Technique of oblique osteotomy .	93
Fig.(53) :	Closure of the periosteum .	94
Fig.(54) :	Three points gait .	96
Fig.(55) :	Passive stretching exercises .	97
Fig.(56) :	Results .	103
Fig.(57) :	Mean operative time .	104
Fig.(58) :	The mean distraction and consolidation period .	104
Fig.(59) :	Relation between the mean distraction and consolidation period in poliomyelitis and congenital long bone shortening.	105
Fig.(60) :	Relation between the mean consolidation period and the mean distraction period in different age groups .	106
Fig.(61) :	Relation of the mean consolidation period to the mean distraction period in diaphyseal and submetaphyseal osteotomies .	107
Fig.(62) :	The mean healing index .	107
Fig.(63) :	The mean healing index in diaphyseal and submetaphyseal osteotomies in poliomyelitis .	108
Fig.(64) :	The mean healing index in transverse and oblique osteotomies .	109
Fig.(65) :	The mean healing index in different age groups .	110
Fig.(66) :	The mean healing index for femorae and tibiae .	110
Fig.(67) :	The mean healing index in different distraction rates .	111
Fig.(68) :	Problems .	113
Fig.(69) :	Obstacles .	114
Fig.(70) :	Complications .	115
Fig.(71) :	Preoperative clinical assessment of case no. 1 .	147
Fig.(72) :	Preoperative scanogram of case no. 1 .	147
Fig.(73) :	Radiological evaluation during the distraction period in case no. 1 .	148
Fig.(74) :	After closed reduction under general anesthesia of the fracture at the most proximal pin .	148
Fig.(75) :	Radiological evaluation during the consolidation period in case no. 1 .	149
Fig.(76) :	Patient during treatment period .	149

Fig.(77) :	After removal of the fixator .	150
Fig.(78) :	Late fracture one month after fixator removal in case no. 1 .	150
Fig.(79) :	Preoperative clinical assessment of case no. 8 .	152
Fig.(80) :	Preoperative scanogram of case no. 8 .	152
Fig.(81) :	Distraction period in case no. 8 .	153
Fig.(82) :	Patient during treatment time .	153
Fig.(83) :	Consolidation period of case no. 8 .	154
Fig.(84) :	After removal of the fixator in case no. 8 .	154
Fig.(85) :	After one month of fixator removal .	155
Fig.(86) :	After two months of fixator removal .	155
Fig.(87) :	Preoperative clinical assessment of case no. 12 .	157
Fig.(88) :	Distraction period in case no. 12	157
Fig.(89) :	Consolidation period in case no. 12 .	158
Fig.(90) :	Patient during treatment period .	158
Fig.(91) :	Just after fixator removal .	159
Fig.(92) :	One and half month after fixator removal .	159
Fig.(93) :	Patient after fixator removal .	159
Fig.(94) :	Preoperative clinical assessment of case no. 15 .	161
Fig.(95) :	Preoperative scanogram of case no. 15 .	161
Fig.(96) :	Radiological evaluation before and during distraction period in case no. 15 .	162
Fig.(97) :	Patient during treatment period .	162
Fig.(98) :	Radiological evaluation during consolidation period in case no. 15 .	163
Fig.(99) :	After fixator removal .	164
Fig.(100) :	Patient after fixator removal .	164
Fig.(101) :	Preoperative scanogram of case no. 17 .	166
Fig.(102) :	Preoperative lateral view plain x-ray of case no. 17 showing 30 degrees posterior angulation lower end of left femur .	166
Fig.(103) :	Distraction period in case no. 17 .	167
Fig.(104) :	Consolidation period in case no. 17 .	168
Fig.(105) :	Patient during treatment period .	169
Fig.(106) :	After fixator removal .	169
Fig.(107) :	One month after fixator removal .	169
Fig.(108) :	Patient at the end of the treatment .	169
Fig.(109) :	Preoperative clinical assessment of case no. 21 .	171

Fig.(110) : Preoperative scanogram of case no. 21 .	171
Fig.(111) : Radiological evaluation during the distraction period in case no. 21.	172
Fig.(112) : Radiological evaluation during the consolidation period in case no. 21 .	173
Fig.(113) : Patient during treatment period .	174
Fig.(114) : After fixator removal .	174
Fig.(115) : One month after fixator removal .	174
Fig.(116) : Patient at the end of the treatment .	174

List of Tables

Table (1) :	Relation between the consolidation Period and distraction period in polio and congenital pcases.	105
Table (2):	Relation between the consolidation Period and distraction period in cases ≤ 10 years and casess between 10 to 20 years.	106
Table (3):	Relation between the consolidation Period and distraction period in cases between 10 to 20 years and cases more than 20 years.	106
Table (4):	Relation between the consolidation Period and distraction period in polio cases according to osteotomy site.	107
Table (5) :	Relation of healing index in polio and congenital cases.	107
Table (6):	Relation of healing index in polio cases according to the osteotomy site.	108
Table (7):	Relation of healing index between transverse corticotomy and oblique osteotomy.	109
Tabe (8):	Relation of healing index in cases ≤ 10 years and cases 10-20 years.	110
Table (9):	Relation of healing index in cases 10 -20 and cases more than 20 years.	110
Table (10):	Relation of healing index in femoral and tibial lengthenings.	110
Table (11):	Relation of healing index in different distratation rates.	111
Table (12):	Master sheet for cases .	116
Table (13):	Master sheet for complications .	118
Table (14):	Comparison of data and results in different literatures.	138
Table (15):	Comparison of complications in different literatures .	139