

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

WASTEWATER TREATMENT FOR SMALL
COMMUNITIES

BY

NISREEN IBRAHIM MOHAMMED
B.Sc. Civil Engineering

A Thesis

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of
the Requirements of the Degree of M.Sc.
in Civil Engineering

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. HAMDY IBRAHIM ALY
Professor of Sanitary Engineering
Faculty of Engineering,
Ain Shams University

Cairo 1992

628-119
N.9

Examiners committee

Name , Title & Affiliation

SIGNATURE

1. Prof. Dr. Ibrahim H. El Hatab
Professor of Sanitary Engineering
Cairo University -Eng. Faculty

Ibrahim H. El Hatab

2. Prof. Dr. Addly S. Atrees
Professor of Sanitary Engineering
Al-Azhar University -Eng. Faculty

Addly S. Atrees

3. Prof. Dr. Hamdy I. Aly
Professor of Sanitary Engineering
Ain Shams University - Eng. Faculty

Hamdy I. Aly

DATE : / /



STATEMENT

This dissertation is submitted to Ain Shams University for the degree of M. SC. In Civil Engineering .

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author in the department of Public Works. Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, from November 1987 to March 1992.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other University or Institution.

Date : 22/4/1992

Signature : *Nisreen Ibrahim*

Name : Nisreen Ibrahim
Mohammed

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am deeply grateful to Dr. Hamdy I. Aly Professor of Sanitary Engineering, Faculty of Engineering , Ain Shams University, for Suggesting the problem and for his great help and encouragement during the preparation of this thesis .

Also I wish to express my sincere thanks to Eng. Mohammed Shaaban, Lecturer Assistant of Sanitary Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, for his patient guidance and assisting during the preparation of this thesis.

Also, my sincere acknowledgement is due to Eng. Marawan Karawya to his generous help and assisting.

I wish to acknowledge my gratitude and sincere thanks to my husband , Eng. Amr Sherif for his encouragement and assisting in the final preparation of the thesis.

Abstract of the M.Sc. Thesis

Submitted by	: Nisreen Ibrahim Mohammed
Title of Thesis	: Wastewater treatment for small Communities
Supervisors	: Prof. Dr. Hamdy Ibrahim Ali
Registration Date	: 13/10/1986
Examination Date	:

ABSTRACT:

Several pollutional problems are associated with excreta and sewage disposal in Egyptian rural areas and small communities where public sewerage systems are not provided. Sewerage systems comprise : gravity sewer network, pump stations, rising mains and treatment works. When regularity criteria for wastewater disposal are to be met, several treatment techniques can be when applied produce wastewater of satisfactory quality .

Oxidation ditches, aerated Lagoons, and natural stabilization ponds are among different sewage treatment which are more suitable for small communities.

The purpose of this study was to carry out cost analysis of the three systems when properly designed and adopted to small communities of different sizes. Analysis was aided by the use of high speed computer.

The Outcome of this study indicated that oxidation ditches when construction costs are considered are the cheapest among the other systems. Also, the study revealed that the availability of land as well as its cheap cost are important factors governing the choice of the treatment system.

Natural stabilization ponds working in series are on the other hand produces highly stabilized effluent which is safe for agricultural purposes .

CONTENTS

	page
STATEMENT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
ABSTRACTS	iii
CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xv
SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS	xx
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Unsewered rural areas and needs.	1
1.2 Importance of sewage disposal and methods used in rural areas and small communities.	2
1.3 waste stabilization ponds	4
1.3.1 Types of ponds.	5
1.3.2 combination of various types of ponds .	12
1.3.3 Factors affecting stabilization pond performance.	14
1.4 Extended aeration process	21
1.4.1 Aerated lagoon .	23
1.4.2 Oxidation ditches.	25
1.4.3 Factors affecting extended aeration process performance.	29

1.5 Comparison between waste stabilization ponds and activated sludge systems.	39
1.6 Scope of work.	
 CHAPTER II :LITERATURE REVIEW	 44
 CHAPTER III :DESIGN BASIS AND DESIGN CRITERIA OF ALTERNATIVE TREATMENT SYSTEMS	
3.1 Treatment concept alternatives.	88
3.2 Egyptian villages populations.	88
3.3 Effluent standards.	91
3.4 Design basis .	94
3.5 Design calculations.	94
3.6 Design criteria	95
3.6.1 Anaerobic pond.	95
3.6.2 Facultative pond.	96
3.6.3 Maturation pond.	96
3.6.4 Aerated lagoon.	97
3.6.5 Oxidation ditch.	98
3.6.6 Final clarifier.	100
3.6.7 Gravity thickener.	100
3.6.8 Drying beds.	101

3.7 Design procedure	101
3.7.1 Anaerobic ponds.	101
3.7.2 Facultative ponds.	102
3.7.3 Maturation ponds .	103
3.7.4 Aerated lagoon.	103
3.7.5 Oxidation ditch.	104
3.7.6 Final clarifier .	105
3.7.7 Gravity thickener.	106
3.7.8 Drying beds.	107
3.8 Choice of best and most economic design criteria options.	107
3.9 Results.	108
 CHAPTER IV : COST ESTIMATION AND RESULTS.	
4.1 Kinds of costs.	179
4.2 Cost comparison .	179
4.3 Evaluation of operation and maintenance costs.	180
4.4 Initial cost estimation .	181
4.4.1 W.S.P. system.	181
4.4.2 A.L. system.	183
4.4.3 O.D. system.	184
4.5 Use of computer in the cost estimation.	188
4.6 Cost analysis.	189

CHAPTER V: GENERAL DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1 Introduction.	207
5.2 Differentiation between sewage treatment options.	208
5.3 Differentiation between the chosen treatment systems.	209
5.3.1 Required land area.	209
5.3.2 Total cost.	210
5.3.3 Capital cost.	210
5.3.4 Total cost /capita.	211
5.3.5 Operation and maintenance .	211
5.3.6 Efficiency.	212
5.3.7 Effluent reuse possibilities.	212
5.3.8 Simplicity of construction.	213
5.3.9 Electric energy needs.	213
5.4 Relation between variations in results and population.	214
5.5 Results discussion and evaluation.	219
 CHAPTER VI: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
6.1 Conclusions .	222
6.2 Recommendations.	224

REFERENCES

APPENDIX 1 : LIST OF COMPUTER PROGRAMMES

- 1.1 Wastewater stabilization pond system design and cost estimation programme.
- 1.2 Aerated lagoon system design and cost estimation programme.
- 1.3 Oxidation ditch system design and cost estimation programme.
- 1.4 Comparison programme between W.S.P., A.L. and O.D. system.

APPENDIX 2 :COST ITEMS CALCULATIONS

- 2.1 Items calculated for waste stabilization pond system.
- 2.2 Items calculated for aerated lagoon system.
- 2.3 Items calculated for oxidation ditch system.

ARABIC SUMMARY

LIST OF FIGURES

	147
Fig. (1-1) Pathways of BOD_5 removal in facultative ponds	7
Fig. (1-2) Algae / bacteria relationship in an aerobic pond	9
Fig. (1-3) (i) Schematic of aerobic lagoon	26
Fig. (1-3) (ii) Schematic of aerated facultative lagoon	26
Fig. (1-4) Nitrification and denitrification in ditch	28
Fig. (1-5) (i) Pasveer ditch	30
Fig. (1-5) (ii) Carousel ditch	
Fig. (3-1) Effectiveness of changing depth on cost for anaerobic pond in waste stabilization pond system at ($R_a = 5$ days)	148
Fig. (3-2) Anefficiency curve for facultative pond in waste stabilization pond system at ($R_a = 5$ days)	149
Fig. (3-3) Effectiveness of changing depth on cost for facultative pond in waste stabilization pond system at (optimum D_a & $R_a = 5$ days)	150
Fig. (3-4) Effectiveness of changing depth on cost for maturation pond in waste stabilization pond system at ($R_m = 5$ days) & ($R_a = 5$ days)	151
Fig. (3-5) Effectiveness of changing depth on cost for maturation pond in waste stabilization pond system at ($R_m = 5.5$ days) & ($R_a = 5$ days)	152

- Fig. (3-6) .. Effectiveness of changing depth on cost for 153
maturation pond in waste stabilization pond
system at ($R_m = 6$ days) & ($R_a = 5$ days)
- Fig. (3-7) .. Effectiveness of changing depth on cost for 154
maturation pond in waste stabilization pond
system at ($R_m = 6.5$ days) & ($R_a = 5$ days)
- Fig. (3-8) .. Effectiveness of changing depth on cost for 155
maturation pond in waste stabilization pond
system at ($R_m = 7$ days) & ($R_a = 5$ days)
- Fig. (3-9) Choice of optimum retention time for maturation 156
pond in waste stabilization pond system at
($R_a = 5$ days)
- Fig. (3-10) .. Effectiveness of changing depth on cost for 157
anaerobic pond in waste stabilization pond system
at ($R_a = 6$ days)
- Fig. (3-11) .. Efficiency curve for facultative pond in 158
waste stabilization pond system at ($R_a = 6$ days)
- Fig. (3-12) Effectiveness of changing depth on cost for 159
facultative pond in waste stabilization pond
system at (optimum $D_a, R_a = 6$ days)
- Fig. (3-13) Effectiveness of changing depth on cost for 160
maturation pond in waste stabilization pond
system at ($R_m = 5$ days) & ($R_a = 6$ days)

- Fig. (3-14) Effectiveness of changing depth on cost for 161
maturation pond in waste stabilization pond
system at ($R_m = 5.5$ days) & ($R_a = 6$ days)
- Fig. (3-15) Effectiveness of changing depth on cost for 162
maturation pond in waste stabilization pond
system at ($R_m = 6$ days) & ($R_a = 6$ days)
- Fig. (3-16) Effectiveness of changing depth on cost for 163
maturation pond in waste stabilization pond
system at ($R_m = 6.5$ days) & ($R_a = 6$ days)
- Fig. (3-17) Effectiveness of changing depth on cost for 164
maturation pond in waste stabilization pond
system at ($R_m = 7$ days) & ($R_a = 6$ days)
- Fig. (3-18) Choice of optimum retention time for maturation 165
pond in waste stabilization pond system at ($R_a =$
6 days)
- Fig. (3-19) Effectiveness of changing depth on cost for 166
aerated lagoon in aerated lagoon system at ($R_r =$
2 days)
- Fig. (3-20) Effectiveness of changing depth on cost for 167
aerated lagoon in aerated lagoon system at ($R_r =$
3 days)
- Fig. (3-21) Effectiveness of changing depth on cost for 168
aerated lagoon in aerated lagoon system at ($R_r =$
4 days)

Fig. (3-22)	Effectiveness of changing depth on cost for aerated lagoon in aerated lagoon system at ($R_r = 5$ days)	169
Fig. (3-23)	Effectiveness of changing depth on cost for aerated lagoon in aerated lagoon system at ($R_r = 6$ days)	170
Fig. (3-24)	Choice of the optimum retention time of aerated lagoon in the A.L. system	171
Fig. (3-25)	Effectiveness of changing depth on cost for maturation pond in the A.L. system at ($R_m = 5$ days)	172
Fig. (3-26)	Effectiveness of changing depth on cost for maturation pond in the A.L. system at ($R_m = 6$ days)	173
Fig. (3-27)	Effectiveness of changing depth on cost for maturation pond in the A.L. system at ($R_m = 7$ days)	174
Fig. (3-28)	Effectiveness of changing depth on cost for maturation pond in the A.L. system at ($R_m = 8$ days)	175
Fig. (3-29)	Effectiveness of changing depth on cost for maturation pond in the A.L. system at ($R_m = 9$ days)	176
Fig. (3-30)	Choice of the optimum retention time of maturation pond in the A.L. system at ($R_r = 2$ days)	177
Fig. (3-31)	Choice of optimum depth of oxidation ditch in the O.D. system	178
Fig. (4-1)	A Sketch illustrates pond lining and protection items	182