



وَجَعَلْنَا لِكُلِّ شَيْءٍ حَقًّا



EFFECT OF LINING BY CONCRETE ON THE FLOW OF OPEN CHANNELS

BY

**ENG. YASSER MOHAMMED SHAWKY MOHAMMED
YOUSEF**

B.Sc. Civil Eng. Zagazig University
National Water Research Center
Hydraulic Research Institute

Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement
for the Degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering

627.24
y.m.

SUPERVISED BY

Prof. Dr. Gamal Sadek Ebaid
Irrigation and Hydraulic Department
Faculty of Engineering,
Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Fakhry Kattab
Research Institute of Channel Maintenance
National Water Research Center

Prof. Dr. Ali Mohammed Talaat
Irrigation and Hydraulic Department
Faculty of Engineering,
Ain Shams University

Asst. Prof. Dr. Ahmed Fahmi Ahmed
Hydraulic Research Institute
National Water Research Center

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY


1996

Examiners Committee

Signature


1- Prof. Dr. GAMAL S. EBAID

Professor of Irrigation Design,
Faculty of Engineering,
Ain Shams University, Cairo.



2- Prof. Dr. AHMED F. KHATTAB

Professor of Irrigation Design,
Research Institute of Channel Maintenance,
National Water Research Center,
Ministry of Public Works and
Water Resources, Cairo.




3- Prof. Dr. SAMEH D. ARMANIOUS

Professor of Irrigation, Drainage
Faculty of Engineering,
Ain Shams University, Cairo.



4- Prof. Dr. MOHAMED B. SAAD

Director, Hydraulics Research Institute
National Water Research Center,
Ministry of Public Works and
Water Resources, Cairo.



Date:

STATEMENT

This thesis is submitted to Ain Shams University for the degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering.

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author in the Department of Irrigation & Hydraulics, Ain Shams University, from September 1992 to September 1996.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for the degree or qualification at any other University or Institution.

Date :

Signature :

Name : Yasser Mohamed Shawkhy

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to express his appreciation and gratitude to his supervisors, Prof. Dr. Gamal Sadek Ebaid, Prof. Dr. Ahmed Fakry Khattab, Prof. Dr. Ali Mohamed Talaat, and Dr. Ahmed Fahmi Ahmed, for their continuous guidance and help throughout the completion of the present investigation.

He also wishes to express his thanks to all his staff members at the Hydraulics Research Institute, Prof. Dr. M.M. Gaser, Prof. Dr. F.Z. El-Shibini, Dr. M.B.A. Saad, Dr. M.T. Gaweesh, Eng. I.A. El-Desouky, and all his colleagues for their help and cooperation during both the experimental work and the computer analysis.

Last, but not least, the author is deeply grateful to his family, parents, wife, and son for their sacrifices, blessings and moral support.

CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF FIGURES	I
LIST OF TABLES	IV
LIST OF SYMBOLS	VI
ABSTRACT	IX
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 PREVIOUS WORK	3
2.1 Introduction	3
2.2 Uniform Flow	4
2.2.1 Description of uniform flow	4
2.2.2 Development of uniform flow	4
2.2.3 Flow in open channels	6
2.2.4 The early equations	7
2.2.4.1 Chezy equation	7
2.2.4.2 Chezy's resistance coefficient	8
2.2.4.3 Manning formula	11
2.2.4.4 Determination of Manning roughness coefficient "n"	12
2.3 Canal Lining	13
2.3.1 Types of lining	14
2.3.1.1 Portland cement concrete lining	14
2.3.1.2 Cement mortar lining	17
2.3.1.3 Precast portland cement concrete lining	18
2.3.1.4 Brick lining	19
2.3.1.5 Stone lining	20
2.3.1.6 Soil cement lining	20

2.3.1.7	Hot mixed asphalt concrete lining	21
2.3.1.8	Cold mixed asphalt concrete lining	22
2.3.2	Estimating seepage losses from lined canals	22
2.3.3	Choice of optimum dimensions of lined canals	26
3	FIELD AND EXPERIMENTAL WORK	32
3.1	Introduction	32
3.2	Description of the Selected Lined Canals	34
3.3	Field Measurements Equipments	46
3.4	Field Works and Measurements	48
3.4.1	Water sample collection	48
3.4.2	Seepage losses calculation	51
3.5	Field Work Procedure	53
3.5.1	Velocity and discharge measurements	53
3.5.2	Measurements of water surface slope	55
4	ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION	57
4.1	Introduction	57
4.2	Discussion of the Results	60
4.2.1	Relation between water cross-section area and discharge	60
4.2.2	Relation between effective water depth and discharge	62
4.2.3	Relation between hydraulic radius and discharge	64
4.2.4	Relation between average velocity and value of (R^3S)	64
4.2.5	Some useful equations	66
4.2.5.1	Relation between wetted perimeter and discharge	68
4.2.5.2	Relation between top width and discharge	68

4.2.5.3	Relation between hydraulic radius and effective water depth . . .	70
4.2.5.4	Relation between ratio of water cross section area to effective water depth (A/D) and wetted perimeter (P)	73
4.3	Application of Deduced Equations in Canal Design	73
4.3.1	Design procedure	76
4.3.2	Comments on the solved examples	86
4.4	General Application of Developed Equations and Recommendations	87
4.5	Comparison Between Results of Developed Equations With Actual Design of Some Lined Canals	88
4.6	Comparison Between Lined Concrete Canals and Sandy Canals	95
5 GENERAL CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		103
5.1	Conclusions	103
5.2	Recommendations	105
5.3	Summary	106
REFERENCES		109
APPENDIX A		115
A.1	Contents of Appendix (A)	115
A.2	Velocity and Discharge Measurements	115
APPENDIX B		140
B.1	Contents of Appendix (B)	140
B.2	Solid Suspensions in Water	140
B.3	Percentage Seepage losses from the chosen concrete lined Canals	140
Figures	1 -- 46	
Tables	1 -- 25	

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE No	Title	Page
2.1)	Uniform Flow in Open Channel	5
2.2)	The Required Thickness of Concrete Lining for Different Canal Discharge (U.S.Bureau of Reclamation)	28
2.3)	The Final Results of C as a Function of the Rigidity Factor, of Lining α	31
3.1)	Lined Canals Regions in Egypt	33
3.2)	Location Map for El-Nasr Canal and Its Branches	35
3.3)	Design Cross Sections for El Nasr Canal Km (4,12,32.5,56.5,64,and71.5) from the Intake	39
3.4)	Design Cross Sections for: 1) El-Rash El-Sharkia Canal 2) El Rash El-Gharbia Canal	40
3.5)	Design Cross Sections for: 1) Branch 5 Right 2) Branch 6 El-Nasr 3) Branch 3 Right	42
3.6)	Design Cross Sections for: 1) Moghaza El-Allia Canal 2)Branch 9 El-Banger 3) Branch 11 El-Banger	43
3.7)	Design Cross Sections for: 1) Branch 17 El-Banger 2) Branch 14 El-Banger 3) Branch 10 El-Banger	45
3.8)	The Braystoke Miniature Current Meter BFM. 002	47
3.9)	Cross Sectional Diagram for Braystoke BFM.002 Miniature Current Meter	49
3.10)	Accessories of Miniature Current Flow Meter BFM.002	50
3.11)	Inflow - Outflow Method for Estimating Seepage Losses	52
3.12)	Sketch Showing The Field Work Procedure for Measuring Water Velocity and Discharge by the Current Meter	54
4.1)	Relation Between Water Cross Section Area and Discharge	61
4.2)	Relation Between Effective Water Depth and Discharge .	63
4.3)	Relation Between Hydraulic Radius and Discharge	65
4.4)	Relation Between Average Velocity and Value (R^3S)	67

4.5)	Relation Between Wetted Perimeter and Discharge	69
4.6)	Relation Between Water Top Width and Discharge	71
4.7)	Relation Between Hydraulic Radius and Effective Water Depth	72
4.8)	Relation Between Ratio (A/D) and Wetted Perimeter	74
4.9)	Trapezoidal Section for Concrete Lined Canal With Side 3:277	
4.10)	Relation Between Water Cross Section Area and Discharge	99
	1-for Concrete Lined Canals 2- for Sandy Soil Canals	
4.11)	Relation Between Effective Water Depth and Discharge	100
	1-for Concrete Lined Canals 2- for Sandy Soil Canals	
4.12)	Relation Between Hydraulic Radius and Discharge	101
	1-for Concrete Lined Canals 2- for Sandy Soil Canals	
4.13)	Relation Between Average Velocity and Value (R^3S)	102
	1-for Concrete Lined Canals 2- for Sandy Soil Canals	
A-1)	Cross Section Survey and Discharge Measurement on Branch 5 Right (Km 18.7 from El-Nasr Canal Intake)	128
A-2)	Cross Section Survey and Discharge Measurement on Branch 3 Right (Km 11.55 from El-Nasr Canal Intake)	129
A-3)	Cross Section Survey & Discharge Measurement on El nasr Canal(Km 71.5)	130
A-4)	Cross Section Survey & Discharge Measurement on El-Nasr Canal(Km 56.5)	131
A-5)	Cross Section Survey & Discharge Measurement on Moghaza El-Allia (Km 30.85 from El-Nasr Canal Intake)	132
A-6)	Cross Section Survey & Discharge Measurement on El-Nasr Canal(Km 32.5)	133
A-7)	Cross Section Survey & Discharge Measurement on El-Nasr Canal (Km 4)	134
A-8)	Cross Section Survey and Discharge Measurement on El-Rash El-Sharkia Canal (Km 20.1 from El-Nasr Canal Intake)	135
A-9)	Cross Section Survey and Discharge Measurement on El-Rash El-Gharbia Canal (Km 24.35 from El-Nasr Canal Intake)	136
A-10)	Cross Section Survey and Discharge Measurement on Branch 6 El-Nasr (Km 20.65 from El-Nasr Canal Intake)	137

A-11)	Cross Section Survey & Discharge Measurement on El-Nasr Canal(Km 12)	138
A-12)	Cross Section Survey & Discharge Measurement on El-Nasr Canal(Km 42)	139
A-13)	Cross Section Survey & Discharge Measurement on El-Nasr Canal(Km 64)	140
A-14)	Cross Section Survey & Discharge Measurement on Branch 9 El-Banger (Km 65.15 from El-Nasr Canal Intake)	141
A-15)	Cross Section Survey and Discharge Measurement on Branch 11 El-Banger (Km 70.3 from El-Nasr Canal Intake)	142
A-16)	Cross Section Survey and Discharge Measurement on Branch 14 El-Banger (Km 70.6 from El-Nasr Canal Intake)	143
A-17)	Cross Section Survey and Discharge Measurement on Branch 10 El-Banger (Km 67.77 from El-Nasr Canal Intake)	144
A-18)	Cross Section Survey and Discharge Measurement on Branch 17 El-Banger (Km 55.72 from El-Nasr Canal Intake)	145

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
2.1)	Bazin Proposed Values for (m)	9
2.2)	Values of Powell's (e)	11
2.3)	Values of the Roughness Coefficient for Concrete Lined Canals	15
4.1)	The Deduced Equations	75
4.2)	Design of Lined Canal by applying Manning Formula Together with the Formula of Thickness of Concrete Lining ($Q = 80$ m^3/s)	80
4.3)	Design of Lined Canal by applying Manning Formula Together with the Formula of Thickness of Concrete Lining ($Q = 30$ m^3/s)	82
4.4)	Design of Lined Canal by applying Manning Formula Together with the Formula of Thickness of Concrete Lining ($Q = 2$ m^3/s)	85
4.5)	summary of the Designed Three Examples	86
4.6)	Design Table for Concrete Lined Canals (Q from 0.1 to 10 m^3/s)	89
4.7)	Design Table for Concrete Lined Canals (Q from 12 to 80 m^3/s)	90
4.8)	Comparison Between Designed and Measured Hydraulic Parameters in El-Nasr Canal	92
4.9)	Comparison Between Designed and Measured Hydraulic Parameters in El-Bostan Canal	93
4.10)	Comparison Between Designed and Measured Hydraulic Parameters in El-Rayah El-Nasry (2 Km Before Its Outlet),and in Branch 6 El-Banger	94
4.11)	Ratio of Design Hydraulic Parameters Between Sandy Soil Canals and Concrete lined Canals at the Same Discharges (A, V, and D)	97
4.12)	Ratio of Design Hydraulic Parameters Between Sandy Soil	