

# MANAGEMENT OF TYPE III SUPRACONDYLAR FRACTURES OF THE HUMERUS IN CHILDREN

THESIS

Submitted for the partial fulfillment of  
M.D. Degree in Orthopaedic Surgery

Presented by

**MAHMOUD MOHAMED M. EL GUINDY**

M. B., B. ch., M. Ch. (Orth.)

618.92097574  
M. M.



Under supervision of

**Prof. Dr. MOHAMED N. KHALIFA**

Professor of Orthopaedics  
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

**Prof. Dr. MOHAMED A. MEZIED**

Professor of Orthopaedics  
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

And

**Dr. IBRAHIM SAIID DARWISH**

Assistant Prof. of Orthopaedics  
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine  
Ain Shams University  
Cairo - Egypt  
1990



بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ



مَدْرَاسَةُ الْعِلْمِ الْعَظِيمِ



## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

*I would like to express my deepest gratitude to prof. Dr. Mohamed Nabli Khalifa, Professor of Orthopaedic Surgery, Ain Shams University for his great help and guidance, and for the many ideas he gave me to accomplish the present study.*

Also, I extend my sincere thanks to Prof. Dr. Mohamed A. Mezied, Professor of Orthopaedic Surgery, Ain Shams University for his supervision and assistance during the course of this work.

My sincere thanks are also extended to Dr. Ibrahim Saiid Darwish, Assistant Prof. of Orthopaedic Surgery, Ain Shams University for his valuable encouragement and constant advise.

I am very grateful to Dr Mohamed Ali Al Harami, the head of the surgical departments at Hamad Medical corporation for his encouragement and valuable support along the course of this work.

I am deeply indebted to Dr Gamal El Shentenawy, Consultant Orthopaedic Surgeon, Hamad Medical Corporation, whose valuable instruction, persistent encouragement and support had the definite impact on the present work.

My appreciation is also extended to Dr. Ahmed El-Sherif, Specialist Urologist, Hamad Medical Corporation, Qatar, for his efforts in designing the outlay and the desktop publishing of the manuscript.

Finally, I wish to express my thanks to all my colleagues in the Orthopaedic dept., and members of the Public Relation Department at Hamad Medical Corporation, Doha, Qatar for their continuous help and cooperation.

## CONTENTS

Page

### ***PART ONE:***

#### **ANATOMY OF THE ELBOW IN CHILDREN**

Development of elbow . . . . .	1
Anatomy of the elbow . . . . .	7
Arterial anatomy of the elbow region . . . . .	14
Applied surgical anatomy of the elbow . . . . .	18
The carrying angle (C.A.) . . . . .	21

#### **ROENTGENOGRAPHIC ANATOMY OF THE ELBOW IN CHILDREN . . . . . 24**

Maturation sequence of ossification around the elbow . . . . .	25
Roentgenographic soft tissue signs . . . . .	29
Normal alignment of the paediatric elbow . . . . .	31
The ossification sequence cross . . . . .	34

#### **REVIEW OF LITERATURE . . . . . 35**

Closed reduction . . . . .	35
Traction . . . . .	40
percutaneous pinning . . . . .	46
Open reduction . . . . .	52
Cubitus varus and valgus deformities . . . . .	57
The compartment Syndrome . . . . .	65

Vascular injuries . . . . .	71
Nerve injuries . . . . .	75

***PART TWO:***

<b>MATERIAL AND METHODS . . . . .</b>	<b>80</b>
Case sheet . . . . .	81
Methods of treatment . . . . .	92
Operative techniques . . . . .	95
<i>Closed reduction and plaster of paris immobilization . . . . .</i>	<i>95</i>
<i>Closed reduction and percutaneous pinning . . . . .</i>	<i>96</i>
<i>Open reduction and Kirschner wires fixation . . . . .</i>	<i>100</i>
<b>RESULTS . . . . .</b>	<b>106</b>
Results in the early post-operative period . . . . .	107
Final assessment . . . . .	113
<i>Clinical assessment . . . . .</i>	<i>115</i>
<i>Radiologic assessment . . . . .</i>	<i>133</i>
<i>Combined clinical and radiologic grading . . . . .</i>	<i>141</i>
Radiologic bone thickening . . . . .	143
<b>DISCUSSION &amp; CONCLUSION . . . . .</b>	<b>159</b>
Discussion of the material . . . . .	159
Discussion of methods of treatment . . . . .	168
Discussion of the results . . . . .	173

Discussion of the final results . . . . .	175
Conclusion . . . . .	186
<b>SUMMARY . . . . .</b>	<b>188</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b>	
<b>ARABIC SUMMARY</b>	

## **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 1. Appearance of epiphyseal centres of the elbow joint. . . . .	3
Table 2. Age incidence. . . . .	85
Table 3. Sex incidence. . . . .	85
Table 4. Side affected. . . . .	86
Table 5. Status of radial pulse. . . . .	87
Table 6. Neurologic status. . . . .	87
Table 7. Incidence of flexion and extension fractures. . . . .	88
Table 8. Fracture level. . . . .	88
Table 9. Incidence of the direction of displacement. . . . .	89
Table 10. The injury management interval. . . . .	90
Table 11. Period of plaster immobilization. . . . .	108
Table 12. Period of K-wire fixation. . . . .	108
Table 13. Period of hospital stay. . . . .	109
Table 14. Number of re-admission. . . . .	110
Table 15. Follow-up period. . . . .	111
Table 16. Difference in the range of flexion between the normal and fractured side. . . . .	116



Table 17. Difference in the range of extension between the normal and fracture sides. . . . .	117
Table 18. Grading results of range of movement in the different groups. . . . .	118
Table 19. Difference in C.A. between the normal and fractured side. . . .	123
Table 20. Grading of the C.A. results. . . . .	124
Table 21. Complaints in the three groups. . . . .	130
Table 22. Total grading of clinical results. . . . .	132
Table 23. Difference in B.A. between the fractured and normal sides. . .	134
Table 24. Difference in the R.C.A. between the normal and fractured sides.	137
Table 25. Difference in D.E.A. between the normal and fractured sides. .	139
Table 26. The grading of the radiologic results. . . . .	140
Table 27. Combined clinical and radiologic grading. . . . .	141
Table 28. Percentage of bone thickening in the different groups. . . . .	143
Table 29. Age incidence of 115 cases. . . . .	154
Table 30. Sex incidence in 115 cases. . . . .	154
Table 31. Side affected in 115 cases. . . . .	155
Table 32. Incidence of nerve injuries in 115 cases. . . . .	156
Table 33. Fracture type in 115 cases. . . . .	156
Table 34. Fracture level in 115 cases. . . . .	156

Table 35. Direction of displacement in 115 cases. . . . .	157
Table 36. Definitive fracture treatment in 115 cases. . . . .	157
Table 37. Number and percentage of cases that came for final assesement. . . . .	157
Table 38. Nerve injuries incidence reported by different authors. . . . .	164
Table 39. Type of fractures in different series. . . . .	166
Table 40. The I.M.I. and severity of injury in the different groups. . . . .	171
Table 41. Post-operative results. . . . .	174
Table 42. Satisfactory and unsatisfactory cosmetic results. . . . .	176
Table 43. Satisfactory and unsatisfactory functional results. . . . .	177
Table 44. Results of open reduction in different series. . . . .	185

## **ABBREVIATIONS**

<b>C.A.</b>	Carrying angle.
<b>R.O.M.</b>	Range of movement.
<b>R.C.A.</b>	Radiologic carrying angle.
<b>B.A.</b>	Baumann's angle.
<b>C.L.</b>	Coronoid line.
<b>R.C.L.</b>	Radio-capitular line.
<b>A.H.L.</b>	Anterior humeral line.
<b>P.P.</b>	Closed reduction and percutaneous pinning.
<b>O.R.</b>	Open reduction and internal fixation.
<b>C.R.</b>	Closed reduction and plaster immobilization.
<b>G.A.</b>	General anaesthesia.
<b>I.M.I.</b>	Injury management interval.
<b>C/O</b>	Patients's complaint.

# **INTRODUCTION**

## INTRODUCTION

Supracondylar fracture of the humerus is the commonest injury around the elbow in children. The three grades classification for this injury, is the one preferred by most authors. It depends on the degree of fracture displacement and on the presence or absence of bony contact between the fracture fragments. The completely displaced fracture (Grade III) offers a real challenge for the treating surgeon, because of its inherent instability and the difficulties encountered in reducing this fracture and the maintenance of that reduction till it heals. Added to that, the long list of complications whether early as the dreadful compartment syndrome, vascular and nerve injuries, or late sequelae as angular deformities, myositis ossificans, joint stiffness or the rare avascular necrosis of the trochlea.

Reduction of the fracture to the best possible anatomical position, and to maintain that position till the fracture heals, is the key stone of any method used in its treatment. To achieve this, many modalities of treatment have been tried. The oldest of them is closed reduction and immobilization in cuff and collar or plaster of paris. Traction whether skin or skeletal is still used in some centres, and different papers reported good results with its use. Percutaneous pinning after closed reduction is not a very recent modality, yet it started to gain popularity specially in the last two decades. Open reduction and internal fixation had been an undesired method and its use was limited and exceptional for the fear of stiffness and infections. However, light has been recently focussed on it, as a good way to manage grade III fractures. So many papers and reports about those modalities has been issued, either each separately or included in comparative studies. This reflects that the treatment is not yet settled, and still it is debatable.

This work is a trial to approach a way to deal with the difficult grade III fractures. 79 cases were treated and their end results were assessed. The cases were divided into 3 groups according to their definitive treatment. Group "A" for cases treated by closed reduction and percutaneous pinning (25 cases), Group "B" for those treated by open reduction and K-wire fixation (25 cases), and Group "C" for the cases treated by closed reduction and plaster immobilization (29 cases). The method of treatment

was decided individually according to the character of the fracture and the extent of soft tissue insult. Trial at closed reduction & immobilization in P.O.P. used to be done first, followed by percutaneous pinning, if the fracture proved to be unstable. Open reduction used to be done if the previous two methods failed. Our aim was directed toward accomplishing a definitive treatment in one setting under one anaesthetic.

A brief review of some important anatomical and radiological features of the paediatric elbow is presented, in addition to a review of the literatures written about the subject whether the methods of treatment, or the sequelae of this injury. The results of the work were reported and discussed in detail. Finally, a summary was given briefing all the work including the conclusion.

**ANATOMY OF THE ELBOW  
IN  
CHILDREN**