

14. (2703) 2142

BIOCHEMICAL STUDIES ON SOME  
VARIETIES OF BEANS. "PHASEOLUS  
VULGARIS L..."

BY

MOHAMED HOSNY AHMED KHALIFA  
(B. Sc., SOILS.)

Dissertation Submitted in Partial Fulfilment  
of the Requirement for the Degree of  
M Sc., Agric. BIOCHEM.

Faculty of Agriculture  
Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

1972

BIOCHEMICAL STUDIES ON  
SOME VARIETIES OF BEANS. "PHASEOLUS VULGARIS L."

BY

MOHAMED HUSNY AHMED KHALIFA.  
(B. Sc., SOILS.)

Thesis

Submitted in partial satisfaction of the requirements  
for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

In

Agricultural Bio-Chemistry  
Faculty of Agriculture  
University of Ain Shams.

5108

Approved by:

Mr. Abdel Moneim Hamed  
Professor  
A. El Hinnawy...





### VITA

Name : Mohamed Hosny Ahmed Khalifa

Degree : B. Sc., Soils. 1960

Position : Research assistant, Physiology Section,  
Vegetable Research Center, Ministry of  
Agriculture, Cairo, Egypt, A.R.

Title of Thesis : BIOCHEMICAL STUDIES ON SOME VARIETIES OF  
BEANS. PHASEOLUS VULGARIS L.

Supervised by : Dr. MOHAMED ABDEL MONEIM KAMEL, Chairman  
Professor, of Agricultural Biochemistry,  
Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University,  
Cairo, Egypt.  
Late Dr. AHMED GAMAL EL DIN RASHED. Assistant  
Professor of Agricultural Biochemistry,  
Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

For the Degree of : M. Sc., Biochem.

## CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION .....	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE .....	1
a) Gibberellic Acid ( $GA_3$ ) .....	3
b) 2,4 Dichlorophenoxy acetic acid (2,4-D).....	9
Materials and Methods .....	14
Results and Discussion .....	23
I. Effect of $GA_3$ on Growth	
a) Plant height .....	23
b) Dry weight of the roots .....	26
c) Dry weight of the stems .....	28
d) Dry weight of the leaves .....	30
e) Dry weight of the green pods and dry seeds.....	34
II. Effect of 2,4-D on growth	
a) plant height .....	34
b) Dry weight of the roots .....	37
c) Dry weight of the stems .....	39
d) Dry weight of the leaves .....	39
e) Dry weight of the green pods and dry seeds.....	43
III. Effect of $GA_3$ on the nitrogen concentration	
a) in the roots .....	45
b) in the stems .....	47

	Page
c) in the leaves .....	49
d) in the green pods and dry seeds .....	51
IV. Effect of 2,4-D on the nitrogen concentration	
a) in the roots .....	53
b) in the stems .....	55
c) in the leaves .....	59
d) in the green pods and dry seeds .....	60
V. The Carbohydrate Fractions as affected with GA <sub>3</sub> .	
Effect of GA <sub>3</sub> on the	
a) total sugars in the roots.....	62
b) total sugars in the stems .....	64
c) total sugars in the leaves. ....	67
d) glucose in the green pods and dry seeds.....	67
e) total sugars in the green pods and dry seeds....	69
f) starch in the roots .....	71
g) starch in the stems .....	74
h) starch in the leaves .....	74
i) starch in the green pods and dry seeds.....	76
j) total carbohydrates in the roots.....	79
k) total carbohydrates in the stems.....	79
l) total carbohydrates in the leaves .....	81
m) total carbohydrates in the green pods and dry seeds.....	83

	Page
VI. The Effect of $GA_3$ on the Yield of Beans.....	88
VII. The Carbohydrate Fractions as Affected with 2,4-D..	
Effect of 2,4-D on the	
a) total sugars in the roots .....	91
b) total sugars in the stems .....	91
c) total sugars in the leaves .....	93
d) glucose in the green pods and dry seeds .....	95
e) total sugars in the green pods and dry seeds....	97
f) starch in the roots .....	99
g) starch in the stems .....	99
h) starch in the leaves .....	102
i) starch in the green pods and dry seeds .....	104
j) total carbohydrates in the roots.....	106
k) total carbohydrates in the stems .....	108
l) total carbohydrates in the leaves .....	110
m) total carbohydrates in the green pods and dry seeds .....	112
VIII. Effect of 2,4-D on the yield of beans .....	116
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION .....	117
REFERENCES .....	123
ARABIC SUMMARY.	

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is deeply indebted to Dr. MOHAMED ABDUL MOHAMED KAMAL, Chairman and Professor of Agricultural Biochemistry; Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his direct contribution in the design of the experiment and his direct supervision.

Late Dr. AHMED GAFAL EL DIN RASHED, Assistant Professor of Biochemistry; Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his kind co-operation and Supervision.

Dr. MOHAMED EL MOTAZ BELLAH, Director of Physiology Section, the Vegetable Research Center, Ministry of Agriculture, for providing facilities.



## INTRODUCTION

Snap beans represent a favourite commodity among the vegetables grown in Egypt. The green pods as well as the dry seeds of Phaseolus vulgaris L. are among the popular food recipes in our country as a proteinic source of diet.

For the potentialities of exporting this vegetable, the present status of production reveals that efforts should be done for its improvement. Among the recent pathways followed in this track in other countries is the utilization of some growth substances among which are the gibberellins and 2,4-D.

It is now well established that  $GA_3$  produces a wide variety of growth responses in higher plants. In other words  $GA_3$  has a promoting effect on rosette plants. Where 2,4 dichlorophenoxy acetic acid (2,4-D) is a hormone type weed killer and as well promote growth when applied in low concentrations to shoot of plants.

These two substances being of a hormonal nature to plants probably might affect bean growth in different ways. The basic evaluation of their effects in this respect will logically be the biochemical side.

Thus, the present work aimed to investigate the effect

of the different concentrations of  $GA_3$  and 2,4-D treatments on performance and yield of snap beans. This will include the main morphological characters and the principal biochemical constituents of plants. For this, the two dominant varieties in Egypt namely seminol and swiss blanc were chosen for this work.

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Though considerable work has been done on the effect of growth substances on vegetable crops, yet those dealing with the legume crops of vegetables are infrequent and even those are limited to some members of this family, i.e. peas.

Among the various compounds studied in this regard are the 2,4-dichlorophenoxy acetic acid (2,4-D) which have been widely tried, mostly as a weed killer, and recently the gibberellin was also comprised. The effect of these substances on plant growth and its physiological processes occurring within treated plants varied with the crops.

The present review deals with their effects on a group of leguminous vegetables.

### Gibberellic acid ( $GA_3$ )

The marked effect of  $GA_3$  on plant growth has been well established through extensive investigations during the past decade. Gibberellins are the generic name of several closely related chemical substances which exert profound effect on plant behaviour (Sciuchetti, 1961). Recently thirty four gibberellins have been characterized, identified and are designated as  $GA_3$ .

Concerning the mechanism of their action, several works have been done, among which Bernier et al., (1964), Sachs et al., (1959), and Sachs and Lang, (1961) reported its effect on cell division in the stem apex, increase of cell number, supplying potentially expandable cells. In general their effect accounts for a portion of tremendous growth responses. In therefore, the mature cells are also stimulated to elongate by such treatments. Peleg, (1965) suggested that  $GA_3$  has enhanced auxin effects. Lockhart, (1954) reported that  $GA_3$  induced an increase in plasticity very similar to that observed with auxin. Nitsch and Nitsch, (1959) found that when dwarf kidney beans treated with  $GA_3$  the auxin was ten times compared to the untreated plants.

Regarding the effect of  $GA_3$  on the cells, it appears that both cell extension and cell division play an important role in  $GA_3$  induced growth. Sachs and Lang, (1961) found that induction of  $GA_3$  to stem elongation in rosette plants was due to the stimulation of mitotic activity immediately below the apical meristem and add that the cells produced in this zone after application constitute the tissue of the elongate mature stems.

#### The physiological action of Gibberellins:

Regarding the effect of  $GA_3$  on plant growth and dry weight, it does not follow a definite trend. When  $GA_3$  promote

elongation they do not always cause a parallel increase in dry weight.

Hans (1937 ) and Edward (1940) found that stem elongation of Azuki bean was not paralleled by fresh weight increases when the plants were treated with  $GA_3$ . The detailed studies of Brian et al, (1954) showed that the total dry weight of pea increased by  $GA_3$  treatment. Alvim (1960) concluded that in bean plants treated with  $GA_3$  the dry weight of the roots was reduced but that of the leaves was not significantly altered. Buckovac and Wittwer, (1961) mentioned that  $GA_3$  treatments caused a promotion effect on the vegetative extension of bean epicotyl. Gwan et al., (1964) found that treating pea seeds with aqueous  $GA_3$  solution for 6 hours resulted in improved growth of seedlings.

Norica et al (1964) found in three pea varieties that although the shoot height increased with  $GA_3$  treatments, the dry weight decreased in both shoots and markedly the roots. Kalinin et al, (1964) from their work on 78 plant species, concluded that  $GA_3$  treatments led to increases in the dry matter content of most plants. Broughton and McComb, (1966) reported that  $GA_3$  application to dwarf pea plants, caused a marked increase in the cell wall expansion and the length of the internodes. Neskovic, (1967) mentioned that  $GA_3$  treatment induced

elongation of young internodes greater than in case of older internodes, and that the stem elongation of pea plants was attributed to the second internode. Upreti, (1968) reported that  $GA_3$  application to Cicer arietinum L. dwarf c.v resulted that the plant height was increased while the dry weight was decreased. Salimani, (1968) reported that  $GA_3$  application to pea plants increased the stem elongation. Cheng and Marsh, (1968) found that  $GA_3$  application at concentrations between  $10^{-6}$  and  $10^{-4}$  promoted stem elongation of dwarf pea "Pisum sativum L."

Nanda and Dainda, (1968) mentioned that soybean plants when treated with  $GA_3$  at levels of 1, 10 and 100 p.p.m. this stimulated extension of growth of the stem through increasing the elongation of internodes. Broughton, (1969) found that when  $GA_3$  was applied to the fifth internode of young pea plants, the cell elongation and cell wall synthesis were stimulated and the plants were more higher than the non-treated controls. Mange, (1969) mentioned that  $GA_3$  treatment caused an acceleration in internode elongation when the Cicer arietinum L. plants were in dark, and in the light, it increased the dry weight of the above ground parts. Broughton et al., (1970) found that  $GA_3$  treated shoots of dwarf pea were more higher than the untreated

ones, and suggested that  $GA_3$  actively directed glucose supply to elongate internodes. Andersen, (1971) reported that  $GA_3$  treated pea plants were more higher than the unsprayed controls and the shoot growth was increased.

Regarding the effect of  $GA_3$  on the carbohydrates, Ergle, (1958) mentioned that  $GA_3$  application caused a somewhat variable effect on the individual carbohydrate fractions. Swan et al., (1964) found that treating pea seeds with aqueous  $GA_3$  solution for 6 hours has resulted in increases of the reducing sugars in the first internode, and as well the rate of starch disappearance from the cotyledon was increased due to the increment of amylase activity. In peas Norica et al., (1964) reported that the concentration of the carbohydrate fractions increased in  $GA_3$  treated plants. Said et al., (1966) found that soaking the seeds of Vicia faba in  $GA_3$  solution for 24 hours, sucrose and the polysaccharide content in the seeds were increased. Kalinin et al., (1964) found that sucrose was reduced in the central part of stem in 78 plant species when treated with  $GA_3$ . Nanda and Dainda, (1968) reported that  $GA_3$  application to soybean plants with concentrations 1, 10 and 100 p.p.m., the starch content decreased with each successive internode from the base and upwards. The starch content of corresponding internodes was