

**ADVANCED METHODS FOR POTABLE WATER  
TREATMENT UNDER THE EGYPTIAN CIRCUMSTANCES  
BY USING CLAYS TO INCREASE THE EFFICIENCY OF  
SEDIMENTATION TANKS**

**A THESIS SUBMITTED  
TO**

**DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS  
THE FACULTY OF ENGINEERING  
AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY**

**BY**

*Salma Abd El Megeed Abd El Hameed*

**B. Sc. CIVIL ENGINEERING**

**UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF**

**Prof. Dr. AHMED A. WARITH**

Professor of Sanitary Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams Univ.

**Dr. M. S. EL-KHOULY**

Associate Prof. of Sanitary Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams Univ.

**IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS**

**FOR**

**THE M. SC. DEGREE IN CIVIL ENGINEERING  
(SANITARY ENGINEERING)**

**1986**

# ADVANCED METHODS FOR POTABLE WATER TREATMENT UNDER THE EGYPTIAN CIRCUMSTANCES BY USING CLAYS TO INCREASE THE EFFICIENCY OF SEDIMENTATION TANKS

A THESIS SUBMITTED  
TO

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS  
THE FACULTY OF ENGINEERING  
AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

BY

*Salma Abd El Megeed Abd El Hameed*

B. Sc. CIVIL ENGINEERING

IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS

FOR

THE M. SC. DEGREE IN CIVIL ENGINEERING

(SANITARY ENGINEERING)

**1986**

EXAMINERS APPROVAL

Prof. Dr. AHMED M. ABD EL WARITH

Prof. Dr. MOHAMED A. A. FARAG

Prof. Dr. Hamdy I. Aly

SIGNATURE

.....*A. M. Warith*.....  
.....*M. A. Farag*.....  
.....*H. I. Aly*.....





### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The candidate is deeply grateful to **Dr. Ahmed Abdel Warith**, Professor of Sanitary Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, for suggesting the problem and also, **Dr. Hamdy I. Aly**, Professor of Sanitary Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University for sponsoring and helping during the preparation of this work.

The author wishes to express his gratitude to **Dr. Mohamed Saeed El Khouly**, Associate Professor of Sanitary Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, for his patient guidance and helpful suggestion as well as his generous help throughout the completion of this work.

The writer like to thank the staff of the Water Pollution Department, National Research Center. Specially **Dr. M. R. Lashien**, Associate Professor, for their assistant during the preparation of this work.

Also, sincere acknowledgement is due to **Eng. Mohamed H. El Nadi**, Lectural Assistant of Sanitary Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, for his patient guidance and assisting to complete this work.

The candidate wishes to express his gratitude to the staff and personnel of Sanitary Section, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, for facilities, interest and encouragement.

The author also is grateful to **Mr. Mohamed Atef** for his help in final preparation of this thesis.

### ABSTRACT

This study investigates the feasibility of reducing the dosage requirement of coagulants for the removal of colloidal particles from waters containing small amount of suspended solids (**i.e. Nile water**).

To accomplish this purpose, two kinds of clay namely caolinite and bentonite are used as coagulant aids. Metallic salts such as aluminum sulfate, ferric chloride and ferrous sulfate are used as coagulants.

Procedures are as follows:

- Using coagulants with and without coagulant aids.
- Determination of the optimum dose of each coagulant when used alone and when used with various amounts of each kind of clay.
- Calculating the percent of coagulant saved in each case.
- Using the returned sludge with the two cases and determining the optimum dose for both coagulant and coagulant aid.

The results of laboratory experiments indicate that adding amounts of clay within certain limits, achieves a valuable saving of coagulants. Also, the use of returned sludge techniques achieves a high efficiency for turbidity removal and gives the ability for decreasing both of coagulant and coagulant aid dosages.

**CONTENTS**

	<b><u>PAGE</u></b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction .....	1
1.2 Literature Review .....	2
1.2.1 pH Control Technique .....	2
1.2.2 Zeta Potential .....	5
1.2.3 Electrophoretic Mobility Change .....	8
1.2.4 Tube Settlers .....	9
1.2.5 Chemical Aids .....	10
<b>CHAPTER 2 MATERIALS AND EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES...</b>	<b>14</b>
2.1 Water Samples .....	14
2.2 Analytic Methods .....	14
2.2.1 Temperature .....	14
2.2.2 The pH Value .....	14
2.2.3 The Electric Conductivity .....	14
2.2.4 Turbidity .....	17
2.2.5 Total Solids .....	17
2.2.6 Fixed Solids .....	17
2.2.7 Algae Count .....	17
2.3 Equipment (Jar Test) .....	20
2.4 Procedure .....	20
<b>CHAPTER 3 R E S U L T S .....</b>	<b>26</b>
3.1 Raw Water .....	26
3.2 Treatment Procedures .....	28
3.2.1 The Use of Coagulant .....	28
3.2.2 The Use of Coagulants Aided by Clays ...	34
3.2.3 The Use of Coagulant with Returned Sludge	92
3.2.4 The Use of Coagulant and Coagulant Aid with Returned Sludge .....	96
<b>CHAPTER 4 D I S C U S S I O N .....</b>	<b>104</b>
<b>CHAPTER 5 C O N C L U S I O N .....</b>	<b>106</b>
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>109</b>
<b>ARABIC SUMMARY</b>	

LIST OF TABLES

<u>CHAPTER</u>	<u>TABLE</u>	<u>S U B J E C T</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
<u>No.</u>	<u>No.</u>		
I	---		
II	---		
III	3/1	Raw Water Characteristics .....	27
	3/2	Coagulation Effect on Turbidity..	33
	3/3	Effect of Caolinite Addition on Alum Dose & Turbidity .....	43
	3/4	Percent of Alum Saved by Using Caolinite as a Coagulant Aid ....	45
	3/5	Bentonite Effect on Alum Dose & Turbidity .....	52
	3/6	Percent of Alum Saved by Using Bentonite as a Coagulant Aid ....	54
	3/7	Effect of Caolinite Addition on Ferric Chloride Dose .....	62
	3/8	Percent of Ferric Chlorine Saved by Using Caolinite as a Coagulant Aid .....	64
	3/9	Effect of Using Bentonite on Ferric Chloride Dose .....	70
	3/10	Percentage Saving of Ferric Chloride Due to Using Bentonite..	72
	3/11	Effect of Using Caolinite on Ferrous Sulfate Dose .....	79
	3/12	Percent of Ferrous Sulfate Saved by Using Caolinite as a Coagulant Aid .....	81
	3/13	Effect of Using Bentonite on Ferrous Sulfate Dose .....	89
	3/14	Percent of Ferrous Sulfate Saved by Using Bentonite as a Coagulant Aid .....	91
	3/15	Turbidity Removal by Using Re- turned Sludge with Coagulants ...	95
	3/16	Turbidity Removal by Using Re- turned Sludge with Alum and Clays	101
	3/17	Turbidity Removal by Using Re- turned Sludge with Ferric Chloride and Clays .....	102
	3/18	Turbidity Removal by Using Re- turned Sludge with Ferrous Sulfate and Clays .....	103

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>CHAPTER</u>	<u>FIGURE</u>	<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
I	1/1	Polymerization in Bridging Theory	4
	1/2	Change in Suspended Solids.....	6
	1/3	Different Faces of the Study .....	13
II	2/1	The Lab-pH-Meter Type G 104 .....	15
	2/2	Electric Conductivity Meter .....	16
	2/3	Electro-Photometer .....	18
	2/4	Spectro-Photometer .....	19
	2/5	Heraeus-Christ Centrifuges .....	21
	2/6	Stainless Steel Filter .....	22
	2/7	Sand Filtration Unit .....	23
	2/8	Jar Test .....	24
III	3/1	Flow Diagram for First Procedure ...	29
	3/2	Determination of Alum Optimum Dose..	30
	3/3	Determination of Ferric Chloride Optimum Dose .....	31
	3/4	Determination of Ferrous Sulfate Optimum Dose .....	32
	3/5	Flow Diagram for Second Procedure...	35
	3/6	Determination of Alum Optimum Dose with 10 mg/L Caolinite as Coagulant Aid .....	36
	3/7	Determination of Alum Optimum Dose with 20 mg/L Caolinite as Coagulant Aid .....	37
	3/8	Determination of Alum Optimum Dose with 30 mg/L Caolinite as Coagulant Aid .....	38
	3/9	Determination of Alum Optimum Dose with 40 mg/L Caolinite as Coagulant Aid .....	39
	3/10	Determination of Alum Optimum Dose with 50 mg/L Caolinite as Coagulant Aid .....	40
	3/11	Determination of Alum Optimum Dose with 60 mg/L Caolinite as Coagulant Aid .....	41
	3/12	Determination of Alum Optimum Dose with 75 mg/L Caolinite as Coagulant Aid .....	42
	3/13	The Optimum Dosage of Alum Required for Turbidity Removal .....	44
	3/14	Determination of Alum Optimum Dose with 10 mg/L Bentonite as Coagulant Aid .....	46
	3/15	Determination of Alum Optimum Dose with 20 mg/L Bentonite as Coagulant Aid .....	47



CHAPTER	FIGURE	SUBJECT	PAGE
	3/16	Determination of Alum Optimum Dose with 35 mg/L Bentonite as Coagulant Aid .....	48
	3/17	Determination of Alum Optimum Dose with 50 mg/L Bentonite as Coagulant Aid .....	49
	3/18	Determination of Alum Optimum Dose with 60 mg/L Bentonite as Coagulant Aid.....	50
	3/19	Determination of Alum Optimum Dose With 70 mg/L Bentonite as Coagulant Aid .....	51
	3/20	The Optimum Dosage of Alum Required for Turbidity Removal .....	53
	3/21	Determination of Optimum Dose of Ferric Chloride with 20 mg/L Caolinite as Coagulant Aid .....	55
	3/22	Determination of Optimum Dose of Ferric Chloride with 30 mg/L Caolinite as Coagulant Aid .....	56
	3/23	Determination of Optimum Dose of Ferric Chloride with 35 mg/L Caolinite as Coagulant Aid .....	57
	3/24	Determination of Optimum Dose of Ferric Chloride with 50 mg/L Caolinite as Coagulant Aid .....	58
	3/25	Determination of Optimum Dose of Ferric Chloride with 60 mg/L Caolinite as Coagulant Aid .....	59
	3/26	Determination of Optimum Dose of Ferric Chloride with 70 mg/L Caolinite as Coagulant Aid .....	60
	3/27	Determination of Optimum Dose of Ferric Chloride with 75 mg/L Caolinite as Coagulant Aid .....	61
	3/28	The Optimum Dosage of Ferric Chloride Required for Turbidity Removal.....	63
	3/29	Determination of Optimum Dose of Ferric Chloride with 15 mg/L Bentonite as Coagulant Aid .....	65
	3/30	Determination of Optimum Dose of Ferric Chloride with 30 mg/L Bentonite as Coagulant Aid .....	66
	3/31	Determination of Optimum Dose of Ferric Chloride with 50 mg/L Bentonite as Coagulant Aid.....	67

<u>CHAPTER</u>	<u>FIGURE</u>	<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
	3/32	Determination of Optimum Dose of Ferric Chloride with 60 mg/L Bentonite as Coagulant Aid.....	68
	3/33	Determination of Optimum Dose of Ferric Chloride with 70 mg/L Bentonite as Coagulant Aid .....	69
	3/34	The Optimum Dosage of Ferric Chloride Required for Turbidity Removal .....	71
	3/35	Determination of Optimum Dose of Ferrous Sulfate With 20 mg/L Caolinite as Coagulant Aid .....	73
	3/36	<del>Determination of Optimum Dose of</del> Ferrous Sulfate with 35 mg/L Caolinite as Coagulant Aid .....	74
	3/37	Determination of Optimum Dose of Ferrous Sulfate with 50 mg/L Caolinite as Coagulant Aid .....	75
	3/38	Determination of Optimum Dose of Ferrous Sulfate with 70 mg/L Caolinite as Coagulant Aid .....	76
	3/39	Determination of Optimum Dose of Ferrous Sulfate with 80 mg/L Caolinite as Coagulant Aid .....	77
	3/40	Determination of Optimum Dose of Ferrous Sulfate with 90 mg/L Caolinite as Coagulant Aid .....	78
	3/41	The Optimum Dosage of Ferrous Sulfate Required for Turbidity Removal .....	80
	3/42	Determination of Optimum Dose of Ferrous Sulfate with 20 mg/L Bentonite as Coagulant Aid .....	82
	3/43	Determination of Optimum Dose of Ferrous Sulfate with 40 mg/L Bentonite as Coagulant Aid .....	83
	3/44	Determination of Optimum Dose of Ferrous Sulfate with 60 mg/L Bentonite as Coagulant Aid.....	84
	3/45	Determination of Optimum Dose of Ferrous Sulfate with 80 mg/L Bentonite as Coagulant Aid.....	85
	3/46	Determination of Optimum Dose of Ferrous Sulfate with 90 mg/L Bentonite as Coagulant Aid .....	86
	3/47	Determination of Optimum Dose of Ferrous Sulfate with 100 mg/L Bentonite as Coagulant Aid .....	87

<u>CHAPTER</u>	<u>FIGURE</u>	<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
	3/48	Determination of Optimum Dose of Ferrous Sulfate with 110 mg/L Bentonite as Coagulant Aid .....	88
	3/49	The Optimum Dosage of Ferrous Sulfate Required for Turbidity Removal .....	90
	3/50	Flow Diagram for Third Procedure ...	93
	3/51	Effect of Returned Sludge .....	94
	3/52	Flow Diagram for Fourth Procedure...	97
	3/53	Effect of Returned Sludge .....	98
	3/54	Effect of Returned Sludge .....	99
	3/55	Effect of Returned Sludge .....	100

**INTRODUCTION  
and  
LITERATURE REVIEW**

## CHAPTER I

### INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW

#### 1.1 INTRODUCTION:

Production of potable water from a water supply contaminated by naturally or man-made pollutants needs a series of unit processes. One of the most important processes is that of coagulation and flocculation which will be discussed in this investigation.

In water treatment the object of coagulation process is to destabilize and to increase the particle size of the colloids. Coagulation is widely the most used process to remove the substances producing turbidity in water. These substances consist largely of clay minerals and microscopic organisms with widely varying sizes. Abroad distribution of clay particle sizes is much easier to coagulate than a suspension containing a single or narrow range of particle sizes.

With very high turbidities relatively smaller coagulant doses are required because of high collision probabilities, for the same reason the very low turbidities are frequently more difficult to coagulate. Particularly, as the numbers of particles increased, the rate of flocculation increased because of the increased density of flocs and hence increased settling velocities.

In low turbidity waters, the small numbers of particles contribute to the low rate of floc formation and to the poor settling velocities of the floc.

After building the High Dam, the nature of Nile water had been changed. Quantities and sizes of suspended and colloidal particles had been minimized because of the lack of silt and clay. This change affected coagulation process directly as mentioned before.

This study investigates optimizing coagulation process for savings

of water, energy, chemicals and time. The operation will be performed by adding different kinds of clay with different quantities to the water before coagulation. Then, coagulation is performed by adding different quantities of different kinds of coagulant. Results must be compared to choose the best.

## 1.2 LITERATURE REVIEW:

Many investigators tried different methods to achieve an optimizing coagulation process in order to save chemicals, water, energy and time. Most of these methods are dependent on controlling one or more of the factors governed the coagulation process. These factors are the pH-value, Zeta potential, temperature, mobility, molecular weight, flow rate, coagulant dose and chemical aids [1]. Most of the used methods for optimization of coagulation process are as follows:

1. pH-control technique.
2. Zeta potential controlling.
3. Electrophoretic mobility changes.
4. Tube settlers.
5. Chemical aids.

### 1.2.1 pH Control Technique:

La Mer et al. [2], [3], [4] proposed a bridging theory to explain the formation of settleable flocs. They proposed that polymers have chemical groups which can interact with certain portions on the surface of colloidal particles due to specific chemical reactions. When the polymer added to an aqueous suspension, it can thus attach itself to several sorption sites on the surface of a colloid. The remaining portion of the polymer extends out in the solution and can be sorbed by another colloids. Therefore, it forms bridges among the colloids to form large settleable flocs. This is termed "**Bridging Theory**" of destabilization.

To ensure that the final pH is in the optimum range, Stumm

and Morgan [5] suggested a control method using an automatic titrator. The coagulation process is performed by adjusting the pH of the sample to a pre-selected level with acid or base. The coagulant is then slowly added to the sample. At the same time, an automatic titrator is employed to dose automatically the base solution to maintain the solution pH constant.

A number of alternatives for maintaining a constant solution pH have been practiced by other investigators. Black and William [6] recommended that a pre-determined quantity of acid or base must be added with the coagulant to verify a desired constant solution pH in the coagulated sample. All alternatives were designed to maintain a constant solution pH at the optimum level when metallic coagulants are added. These methods are referred to as the "Constant pH Method" for coagulation.

With the constant pH method some of the polymers are sorbed by the adjacent solids before being homogeneously distributed in the bulk of solution. This is because the time necessary for the formation of hydroxopolymers from the applied metallic coagulant is very short. Therefore, the coagulant applied, is not evenly sorbed by the suspended solids to be destabilized. Some solids may be overloaded with polymers while some others may sorb a quantity that is not adequate for destabilization. In both situations, extra amount of coagulant is needed to achieve effective agglomeration of the solids.

The "two-step pH control method" allows the solution pH to drop to lower values when the coagulant is applied. Thus, the applied coagulant exists in the solution as soluble species. They are effectively adsorbed homogeneously by the suspended colloids and may partially destabilize the colloids Chao [7].

After the dropped solution pH is adjusted to the optimum level, the soluble species polymerize to form insoluble bridging material. The resulting insoluble bridging materials are uniformly sorbed onto the colloidal surface at more sites as shown in Figure[1/1] resulting in a lower dosage