## AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

Faculty of Engineering
Architecutral Department

# ANALYTICAL COMPARATIVE STUDY OF SOME AGRICULTURAL SETTLEMENTS "in Sinai"

BY

# AHMED ABD EL MONEM ABD EL RAHAMAN

Demonstrator Arch. Dept. Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University



#### A THESIS

Submitted in Partial Fulfilment for the Requirements of the Degree of Master in **Architectural Engineering** 

11.354

SUPERVISED BY

Prof. Dr. IMMAM MOHAMED SHALABY

Professor & Head of Arch, Dept. Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University Prof.Dr.FOUAD SHEHATA NASSAR

Professor of Arch., Arch. Dept. Faculty of Engineering Ain Sharns University

Ass. Prof. ALY FATHY EID

Associate Professor , Arch. Dept. Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University

> CAIRO (1991)

#### **EXAMINERS COMMITTEE**

# Prof. Dr. MAGDI ABU EL NOUR



Professor of Architecture, Arch. Dept., Faculty of Fine Arts, Helwan University

# Prof. Dr. MOHAMED KAMEL MAHMOUD

Professor of Architecture, Arch. Dept.,
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

## Prof. Dr. IMMAM MOHAMED SHALABY

Professor and Head of Architectural Dept.

Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

### Prof. Dr. FOUAD SHEHATA NASSAR

Professor of Architecture, Arch. Dept.

Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University



#### STATEMENT

This disseration is submitted to Ain Shams University for the degree of Master in Architectural Engineering.

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author in the Department of Architecture, Ain Shams University from 06-1987 to 02-1991.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other University or Institution.

Ahmed A. El Monem A. El Rahman

# BIOGRAPHY OF AUTHOR.

#### **BIOGRAPHICAL NOTE**

Name : Ahmed Abdel Monem Abdel Rahman Ragab

Date & place of : 11.12.1964, El Sharkia, Egypt

Birth

First Academic : B.Sc. in Architecture, Dept. of Arch.

Degree Ain Shams University, Cairo 06.1986.

Others : - M. Sc., Preliminary Courses, Ain Shams

University, 09.1987.

- Study visit, for three months, as an invitation

of I.B.B.T.E Institute, Stuttgart University,

Germany, 06.1989 - 09.1989

Career : Demonstrator, Dept. of Architecture, Ain Shams

University, Cairo.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I gratefully acknowledge the valuable guidance and great support of Professor Dr. **IMMAM SHALABY**, Professor of Architecture and the Head of Architectural Department, Ain Shams University from whom this thesis gained much.

I feel deepest gratitude to Professor Dr. **FOUAD NASSAR**, Professor of Architecture, Ain Shams University for his sincere encouragements, from whom I have learned a lot through my study.

I also extend my sincere gratitude to Dr. **ALI EID**, for his support.

I also wish to express my thanks to all my friends who give a hand in preparing this thesis.

# ABSTRACT.

#### ABSTRACT

The demand for agricultural produce is, continuously, on the increase, especially with our rapid population growth, it has become a necessity to embark upon new agricultural settlements to balance the need with the actual agricultural production. Sinai peninsula covers an area about 61000 square kilometers, thus, Sinai peninsula could play a major role in solving many of the problems on any future development in Egypt. This thesis discusses agricultural settlement development and highlights the importance of the settlements development in Sinai peninsula as a case study. One of the successful agricultural settlements experiences seems to be in Israel, the latter has sum common features with Sinai, namely, climatical, geological, and topographical conditions. This research relies mainly on a number of references and specialized studies in the field of Sinai development and the Israeli experience in addition to site survey carried out by the author. This thesis choose the Israeli experience as a case study to drive out guidelines through the analytical comparative study between two Moshavim, two kibbutzim, and two agricultural settlemetns in Sinai, finally, an overview of a number of General recommendations and basic considerations drove out in the field of agricultural settlements development.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Dames & More, Sinai Development Study, Phase 1, Final Report, 1985, Cairo, Vol. IV.

# LIST OF CONTENTS.

# LIST OF CONTENTS

	Page		
Biography of author.	iii		
Acknowledgements.			
Abstract	v		
List of contents.	vi		
List of figures.	<b>x</b> i		
List of tables.	xv		
List of appendices.	XV		
Introduction:	1		
- Importance of the research.	1		
- Methodologyof the research.	3		
- Organization of the research.	4		
Analytical study of agricultural settlements in	n Sinai peninsul <b>a</b> .		
PART ONE: Classification of settlements in	general: 7		
1.1. Rural settlements in general:	7		
1.1.1 Introduction.	7		
1.1.2 Types of rural settlements	8.		
1.1.3 Settlements forms,	12		
1.1.4 Settlements planning	15		
1.1.5 Settlements design approx	ach. 18		
1.2. Agricultural settlements:	24		
1.2.1 Introduction.	24		
1.2.2 The tasks of settlements p	planning, 26		
1.2.3 Detrmining principal settl	ements and		
affiliated settlements.	33		
1.2.4 Main factors affecting agric	cultural		
settlements planning.	40		
1.2.5. Practical arrangement of a	gricultural		
settlements.	46		

					Page
PAR	r Tw	O:Sin	ai peninsu	ıla natural resources	
		The current cituation of Sinai peninsula			52
		2.2.1		eneral characteristics	52
			2.1.1.1.		52
			2.1.1.2		52
			2.1.1.3		54
			2.1.1.4	Water resources	56
			2.1.1.5	Soils	57
			2.1.1.6	Energy	60
			2.1.1.7		
			2.1.1.8		60
			2.1.1.9		61
					61
				Population	64
				Economy	64
			2.1.1.12		65
		2.1.2		ve subregions	69
				Introduction	69
	,		2.1.2.2	(**************************************	72
			2.1.2.3	North east (Mediterranean) subregion	77
			2.1.2.4	Upland subregion	81
			2.1.2.5	Southwest (gulf of Suez) subregion	83
			2.1.2.6	Southeast (gulf of Aqaba) subregion	89
2	2.2.	Agriculture development in Sinai peninsula			94
		2.2.1.			94
		2.2.2	The curre	ent situation of agriculture in Sinai	95
		2.2.3			96

#### viii

			Page
PART THF	REE : S	Settlements in Sinai peninsula	107
3.1.		g settlements pattern	107
3.2.		mended settlements pattern	108
3.3	Selecte	d settlements for analysis	116
	3.3.1	"El Matala" agricutlural settlement	123
	3.3.2	"El Wefak" agricultural settlement	132
3.4	Conclu	sion	147
PART FO	UR : Th	ie Israeli Experience	143
4.1	Introdu	uction	143
4.2	Development policy and strategy		
	4.2.1	Introduction	144
	4.2.2	National planning policy	144
	4.2.3	Policy of population distribution	146
	4.2.4	Regional integration	147
4,3.	Types of Israeli settlements in general		149
	4.3.1	Introduction	149
	4.3.2	The arab villages	149
	4.3.3	The Moshavah	150
	4.3.4	The Mohav	150
	4.3.5	The Moshav shitufi	152
	4.3.6	Nahal	152
	4.3.7	Kibbutz	152
4.4	Agricultural settlements planning		154
	4.4.1	Introduction	154
	4.4.2	Agriculture policy and strategy	154
	4.4.3		155
		4.4.3.1 Moshav	156
		4 4.3 2 Kibbutz	157

				Page
4.5	Selected settlements for analytical study			159
		NEHLAL" M	•	161
	B. "I	MA'OZ HAY	YIM" Kibbutz	166
	C. "ZOHAR" Moshav			171
	D. "I	"MASSADA" Kibbutz		
4.6	4.6 Conclusions and evaluation			181
PART FI	Æ : An	alytical co	mparative study	184
5.1 Analytical comparative study for six settlements		184		
5.2	Gene	General recommendations and basic considerations		200
	5.2.1	General	recommendations	200
	5.2.2	Basic cor	nsiderations	204
		5.2.2.1	Physical considerations	204
		5.2.2.2	Economic considerations	208
		5.2.2.3	Social considerations	209
		5.2.2.4	Environmental considerations	211
APPINDE	X 1 (	Guidelines	for selecting sites for new towns and	
	]	new settler	nents in Sinai Peninsula	213
APPINDEX 2 Sinai Peninsula agricultural natural resources		219		
APPINDE	х з	Glossary fo	or the terms in the research.	242
The Bibli	iograph	ny		247