



Effect of Esomeprazole and Its Combined Action with Vancomycin on Staphylococcus aureus Biofilm Formation

Thesis

Submitted For Partial Fulfillment of **Master Degree in Basic Medical Sciences (Medical Microbiology & Immunology)**

Presented by

Amira Saied Mohammed Abd El Hady

M.B.B.Ch.

Faculty of medicine-Ain Shams University

Under supervision of

Prof. Dr. Narges Mohamed Elaish

Professor of Medical Microbiology and Immunology

Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Dr. Mona Adel Salah Khattab

Lecturer of Medical Microbiology and Immunology

Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Dr. Doaa Mohamed Abd El Aziz

Lecturer of Clinical Pathology

Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

**Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University**

2016

❧ Acknowledgment ❧

Thanks first and last to **ALLAH** for his guidance, support and care in every step throughout my life.

I have the greatest pleasure to express my deepest gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Narges Mohamed Elaish**, Professor of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University for her unlimited help, instructive guidance, valuable suggestions, criticism and supervision, as well as her kindness, continuous encouragement, continuous advice, faithful concern and energetic help to ensure that this work would reach an updated level.

I wish to express my profound gratitude and sincere appreciation to **Dr. Mona Adel Salah Khatab**, Lecturer of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University for her kind help and assistance, valuable supervision, support, profuse knowledge, precious opinions and contributive comments that served much in the construction of this work.

Also, I want to express my great thanks to **Dr. Doaa Mohamed Abd El Aziz**, Lecturer of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University, for her guidance and help.

My greatest thanks and best regards to my colleagues in the department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, especially **Dr. Nermeen Mahmoud** and **Dr. Amira Ismaiel** for their cooperation and advice.

Lastly, I would like to express my great thanks to my **magnificent parents** and spectacular brothers; **Amr, Ehab and Hatem** for their great support, patience, and continuous encouragement.

List of Contents

	<i>Page No.</i>
• List of Abbreviations	I
• List of Figures	V
• List of Tables	VIII
• Abstract & key words	IX
• Introduction.....	1
• Aim of the Work.....	4
• Review of Literature:	
○ Chapter (I): An overview on biofilm	5
○ Chapter (II): The genus <i>Staphylococcus</i>	35
• Patients and Methods.....	61
• Results.....	76
• Discussion	94
• Summary	102
• Conclusion.....	105
• Recommendations	106
• References	107
• Arabic Summary.....	—

❧ List of Abbreviations ❧

A	Aap	Accumulation-associated protein
	ABC-1	Antibiofilm compound 1
	AHLs	Acylated homoserine lactones
	ATPase	Adenosine triphosphatase
B	Bap	Biofilm associated protein
	BM	Bone marrow
C	°C	Degrees Celsius
	CBD	Calgary biofilm device
	CFU	Colony forming unit
	CoNS	Coagulase negative <i>Staphylococci</i>
	CSF	Cerebrospinal fluid
D	Dept	Department
	Ddl	D-alanyl-D-alanine ligase
	DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
	DNases	Deoxyribonucleases
E	E	Esomeprazole
	eDNA	Extracellular DNA
	EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
	ENT	Ear, Nose and Throat
	EPS	Extracellular polymeric substances
	ER	Emergency room

F	FnBPs	Fibronectin-binding proteins
G	GERD/ GORD	Gastroesophageal reflux disease
	GISA	Glycopeptide-intermediate <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
	Gm	Gram
	GNB	Gram negative bacilli
H	H⁺	Hydrogen
	H₂O₂	Hydrogen peroxide
	Hrs	Hours
	HSL	Homoserine lactone
I	Ica	Intracellular adhesion gene cluster
	ICUs	Intensive care units
	IgG	Immunoglobulin G
	IL	Interleukin
k	K⁺	Potassium
M	MDR	Multi drug resistance
	Mg	Milligram
	µg	Microgram
	MIC	Minimum inhibitory concentration
	Min	Minute
	ml	Milliliter
	µL	Microliter
	Mm	Millimolar

	MRSA	Methicillin resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
	MRSE	Methicillin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>
	MSCRAMMs	Microbial surface components recognizing adhesive matrix molecules
	MTP	Microtitre plate
	MurF	UDP-N-acetylmuramoyl-tripeptide-D-alanyl-D-alanine ligase
N	NaCl	Sodium chloride
	Nm	Nanometer
	NSAID	Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug
O	OB&Gyn	Obstetric and gynecology
	OD	Optical density
P	PIA	Polysaccharide intercellular adhesion
	PNAG	Poly- <i>N</i> – acetylglucosamine
	PPIs	Proton pump inhibitors
	PSMs	Phenol-soluble modulins
	PVC	Polyvinyl chloride
Q	QS	Quorum sensing
	QSI s	Quorum sensing inhibitors
R	RNA	Ribonucleic acid
S	<i>S. aureus</i>	<i>Staph aureus</i>
	SCVs	Small-colony variants

	SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Sciences
	SD	Standard deviation
T	TSB	Tryptic soy broth
	TSST-1	Toxic shock syndrome toxin-1
U	UAE	United arab emirates
	UDP	Uracil diphosphate
V	VISA	Vancomycin-intermediate <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
	VRSA	Vancomycin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
	VRE	Vancomycin-resistant <i>Enterococci</i>
W	WHO	World health organization

❧ List of Figures ❧

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	Different phases of biofilm formation	10
Figure (2):	Mature biofilm	13
Figure (3):	Biofilm migration	15
Figure (4):	Quorum sensing.....	18
Figure (5):	Role of quorum sensing in biofilm	19
Figure (6):	Biofilm and antibiotic resistance.....	26
Figure (7):	Antibiotics and persister biofilm cells.....	27
Figure (8):	Pathogenic factors of <i>S. aureus</i> , with structural and secreted products both playing roles as virulence factors	38
Figure (9):	Peptidoglycan biosynthesis and mechanism of action of vancomycin	58
Figure (10):	A plate of blood agar medium cultured with an isolate showing complete hemolysis	66
Figure (11):	An effervescence indicating catalase positive <i>Staphylococcus</i> colony	66

Figure (12): A clumping in the plasma drop indicates a positive slide coagulase test	67
Figure (13): A tube showing a Clot formation indicates a positive tube coagulase test	67
Figure (14): Yellow colonies indicate they are <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> colonies	68
Figure (15): Agglutination in the test drop indicates a positive result	68
Figure (16): Microtitreplate with different degrees of biofilm formation	72
Figure (17): Gender of patients from whom the samples were obtained	77
Figure (18): Percentage of different departments from which samples were collected	79
Figure (19): The frequency of different departments from which samples were collected	79
Figure (20): Percentage of clinical samples from which isolates were obtained	82
Figure (21): Percentage of each type of sample from which isolates were obtained	82

Figure (22): Percentage of biofilm formation among isolates	83
Figure (23): Strength of biofilm among isolates	85
Figure (24): Biofilm formation among different types of samples	87
Figure (25): Optical density of isolates at different readings times with and without esomeprazole and after challenge with vancomycin	89
Figure (26): Relation between optical densities of weak biofilm forming isolates with and without esomeprazole at different reading times	91
Figure (27): Relation between optical densities of moderate biofilm forming isolates with and without esomeprazole at different reading times	92
Figure (28): Relation between optical densities of strong biofilm forming isolates with and without esomeprazole at different reading times	93

❧ List of Tables ❧

<i>Table No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Table (1):	Infections associated with biofilm and major causal pathogens	23
Table (2):	Age & Gender of the patients from whom the samples were collected	76
Table (3):	Frequency and percentage of different departments from which samples were collected	78
Table (4):	Frequency and percentage of clinical samples from which isolates were obtained	81
Table (5):	Relation between strength of biofilm formation and type of sample	84
Table (6):	Analysis of different data affecting biofilm formation	86
Table (7):	The effect of esomeprazole and its combined action with vancomycin on the thirty isolates detected to be biofilm forming	88
Table (8):	Relation between strength of biofilm and OD at different reading times.....	90

Abstract

Staphylococcus aureus is a common nosocomial pathogen responsible for biofilm-associated infections. Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), such as esomeprazole, may have antimicrobial properties. The objective of this study was to assess whether esomeprazole prevents biofilm formation and whether it may have synergistic effect with vancomycin on biofilm formation. *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates were tested for their ability to form biofilm using microtitre plate (MTP) based system. Then the effect of esomeprazole and its combined action with vancomycin was tested on the thirty isolates detected to be biofilm forming. There was significant difference (P value <0.01) between type of sample and biofilm formation. Among different types of samples, swabs have the highest rate of biofilm formation (60%). There was no significant difference (P value >0.05) in optical density (OD) of biofilm formation with and without esomeprazole after 24 hrs of incubation, while there was significant difference (P value < 0.001) in OD of biofilm formation after 48 hrs and 72 hrs of incubation with and without esomeprazole. Also there was significant difference (P value : < 0.001) in OD of biofilm formation after challenging the isolates with vancomycin in the presence of esomeprazole. In conclusion, esomeprazole demonstrated an antibiofilm effect and synergistic effect with vancomycin on biofilm formation.

Key words

Biofilm, esomeprazole, proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), vancomycin, *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*), microtitre plate (MTP), optical density (O.D).

INTRODUCTION

Staphylococcus aureus (*S. aureus*) which is present as commensal microflora in many parts of the human body including the skin, external orifices, and the upper respiratory tract acts as one of the most common opportunistic pathogens and is the most common infectious agent encountered by human beings. Almost every individual will experience at least one skin infection due to this microorganism. It has been shown to form biofilms on variety of biotic and abiotic surfaces (*Elston and Barlow, 2009*).

Bacterial biofilm is the term used to describe the surface-attached bacterial lifestyle. Cells in biofilm grow as communities, surrounded by a self-produced thick layer of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS, also known as matrix or slime). Biofilm is structurally and also functionally different from single-cell (suspended or planktonic) bacteria (*Sauer and Camper, 2001*).

The presence of the EPS protects cells in biofilms from the detrimental effects of chemical insults and harsh environmental conditions. Moreover, in the complex tri-dimensional architecture of biofilms, subpopulations of bacterial cells (sessile) co-exist in all stages of growth, including a fraction of dormant cells that are not metabolically active. This combination of factors helps to explain why

biofilms possess much lower susceptibility to antimicrobial therapy or biocides, when compared to suspended cells (*Landini et al., 2010*).

Vancomycin is one of the oldest antibiotics that has been now in clinical use close to 60 years. It is effective against most Gram-positive cocci and bacilli with the exception of rare organisms as well as *Enterococci* that became vancomycin resistant, mostly *Enterococcus faecium*. The major use of vancomycin today is for infections caused by methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (MRSE) and amoxicillin-resistant *Enterococci* (*Moellering, 2006*).

Traditional antibiotics such as vancomycin were developed to kill planktonic bacteria and often have a limited effect on the killing of sessile bacteria encased within a biofilm. In addition, antimicrobial resistance development is common in sessile bacteria. For these reasons, there is an urgent need to develop non antimicrobial treatment strategies to prevent or treat biofilm-associated infections (*Kuehn, 2011*).

Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) have been shown to have antibacterial properties (*Mills et al., 2004*). Against *Helicobacter pylori*, benzimidazoles PPI have been shown to have direct antimicrobial effects (*Sjostrom et al., 1997*). PPIs have also been shown to have other effects on microbiological activity, including inhibition of urease (*Park et al., 1996*). In

Introduction

2005, an in vitro study investigated the antibiofilm properties of PPI benzimidazoles against oral *Streptococci* (*Nguyen et al., 2005*). The results showed that the addition of omeprazole and lansoprazole had a significant effect on *Streptococcus mutans* biofilms, a common organism found in the human oral flora. However, antibiofilm effects of PPI on other bacteria have not been well studied.

According to *Singh et al. (2012)* Esomeprazole exposed isolates compared to untreated controls demonstrated an antibiofilm effect against biofilm producing *S. aureus*.