

CV
Bib 9747007
C 24
C 15K

SOME STUDIES ON GROWTH PATTERNS IN BUFFALO
AND FRIESIAN CROSS-BRED BULLS
FOR MEAT PRODUCTION

A Thesis

BY

MOHAMMED H.M. SADEK

B. So. Agric., Ain Shams University (1972)

636.085
M-H



8200



Submitted to the Department of
Animal Production, Ain Shams University
in Partial Fulfillement of the Requierements for the Degree
of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

(Animal Breeding)

July 1977

Central Library - Ain Shams University

SOME STUDIES ON GROWTH PATTERNS IN BUFFALO
AND FRIESIAN CROSS-BRED BULIS
FOR MEAT PRODUCTION

A Thesis
BY
MOHAMMED H. M. SADEK

Approved as to style and content by:

A. A. Eltahir
S. S. Elhachimi
K. E. Ghomay

July 1977



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The writer is grateful to Professor S.S. Khishin for his valuable suggestions and continuous encouragement and support. The author is also greatly indebted to Dr. Omar Y. Abdallah Associate Professor, for suggestion the problem and for his great help while supervising this study.

Thanks are also due to Professor A.A. Asker, Head of the Department of Animal Production, for providing facilities during the collection and analysis of data included in this study.

The author finally wishes to acknowledge with gratitude the help and support rendered to him by his colleagues of the Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER		PAGE
I	INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER		
II	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	2
	1. Changes in Weights of Seperable Carcass Com- ponents Associated with Changes in Size . .	2
	1.1. Choice of an Appropriate Covariate . .	3
	1.2. Genotype Differences in the Mean Trend of Development	4
	1.3. Growth Patterns	5
	2. Changes in Distribution of Separable Carcass Components Associated with Changes in Size	6
	2.1. Choice of an Appropriate Covariate . .	6
	2.2. Genotype Differences in the Mean Trend of Development	7
	2.3. Growth Patterns	8
CHAPTER		
III	EXPERIMENTAL MATERIAL & BIOMETRICAL METHODS .	10
	1. Experimental Material	10
	1.1. Animals	10
	1.2. Slaughter Procedure and Collection of Dissection Data	11

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	PAGE
2 Biometrical Methods	19
CHAPTER	
IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	24
PART I. A Comparative Study of Allometric Growth Patterns of Separable Carcass Components and Muscle Groups in Buffalo and Friesian Cross Bulls	24
1. Weight of Separable Components.. .. .	24
1.1. Muscle Plus Bone Weight as an Appropriate Covariate	26
1.2. Genotypes Differences	28
1.3. Growth Patterns	31
2. Weight of Muscle Groups	33
2.1. Genotype Differences	33
2.2. Growth Patterns	37
PART 2. Allometric Growth Patterns of Separable Carcass Components and their Distribution & Possibility of Estimating Weight of Carcass Components in Buffalo Bulls . . .	40
1. Allometric Growth Patterns of Separable Carcass Components and their Distribution	40

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	PAGE
1.1. Weight of Separable Carcass Components	40
1.2. Distribution of Separable Carcass Components	42
1.2.1. Muscles Groups and Individual Muscles	42
1.2.1.1 Muscle Groups .	43
1.2.1.2 Individual Muscles	47
1.2.2. Bone Groups and Individual Bones	60
2. Possibility of Estimating Separable Carcass Components	64
2.1. Estimation of Total Side Muscle Weight	64
2.2. Estimation of Total Side Bone Weight	67
2.3. Estimation of Total Side Fat Weight	71
CHAPTER	
V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	74
LITERATURE CITED	77

TABLES

TABLE	PAGE
1 Characteristics of the Animal Groups Slaughtered and Completely Dissected Throughout the Investigation	12
2 Post-Weaning Feeding Schedule	13
3 Partial Regression Coefficients (b_1) Corresponding to the Regression of Criterion of Carcass Composition Considered on Separable Fat Weight and Muscle plus Bone Weight (x_2), in the Equation $\log y = \log a + \sum b_1 \log x_1$	27
4 Constants in the Regression Equation, Adjusted Means and F - Values in the Analysis of Covariance of the Dissected Carcass Component (gm) (or Muscle: Bone Ratio) as Dependent Variate (y) with the Weight of Muscle plus Bone (gm) as the Independent Variate (x) in the Equation $\log y = \log a + b \log x$	29
5 Constants in the Regression Equations, Adjusted Means and F - Values in the Analysis of Covariance of the Dissected Muscle Groups (gm) as Dependent Variate (y) with the Total Side Muscle Weight (gm) as Independent Variate (x) in the Equation $\log y = \log a + b \log x$	34
6 Comparison of Relative Growth Ratios (Values of b) for Dissected Muscle Groups against Total side Muscle Weight (TSMW)	44

TABLES (CONTINUED)

TABLE	PAGE
7 Growth Ratios (Values of b) and Standard Errors during 2 Phases of Growth for Muscles with Significantly Different Growth Coefficients (b) among the Phases	48
8 Comparison of Relative Growth Ratios for Dissected Individual Muscle against Total Side Muscle Weight	49
9 Relative Growth Ratios for Dissected Individual Bones or Group of Bones against Total Side Bone Weight	61
10 Multiple Correlation Coefficients of Total Side Muscle Weight (TSMW) with its Estimators	65
11 Analysis of Variance for Total Side Muscle Weight (TSMW)	66
12 Multiple Correlation Coefficients of Total Side Bone Weight (TSBW) with its Estimators	68
13 Analysis of Variance for Total Side Bone Weight (TSBW)	70
14 Analysis of Variance for Total Side Fat Weight (TSFW)	72

FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
1	Standard Anatomical Muscle Groups	18
2	Equilateral Triangle Showing the Position of Individual Buffalo , $\frac{1}{2}$ Friesian and $\frac{3}{4}$ Friesian Bulls for Muscle, bone and Fat as Percentages of Cold Side Carcass Weight	25
3	Double Logarithmic Plot of the Weight of the Dissected Carcass Tissue and Muscle; Bone Ratio Graphed against Muscle Plus Bone Weight	32
4	Differences Between Genotypes Adjusted Means of Weight of Muscle Groups	35
5	Double Logarithmic Plot of the Weight of the Dissected Carcass Components Graphed against Cold Carcass Weight	41
6	Double Logarithmic Plot of the Dissected Muscle Groups Graphed against the Total Side Muscle Weight	46
7	Double Logarithmic Plot of the Weight of the Dissected Side Muscles Graphed against the Total Side Muscle Weight. (Muscles No. 1-14)	52
8	Double Logarithmic Plot of the Weight of the Dissected Side Muscles Graphed against the Total Side Muscle Weight. (Muscles No. 15-33)	53

FIGURES (CONTINUED)

FIGURE		PAGE
9	Double Logarithmic Plot of the Weight of the Dissected Side Muscles Graphed against the Total Side Muscle Weight (Muscles No. 34-47)	54
10	Double Logarithmic Plot of the Weight of the Dissected Side Muscles Graphed against the Total Side Muscle Weight. (Muscles No. 48-61)	55
11	Double Logarithmic Plot of the Weight of the Dissected Side Muscles Graphed against the Total Side Muscle Weight. (Muscles No. 62-71)	56
12	Double Logarithmic Plot of the Weight of the Dissected Side Muscles Graphed against the Total Side Muscle Weight. (Muscles No. 72-81)	57
13	Double Logarithmic Plot of the Weight of the Dissected Side Bone Graphed against the Total Side Bone Weight	62
14	Double Logarithmic Plot of the Weight of the Dissected Side Bone Graphed against the Total Side Bone Weight	63

C H A P T E R I

INTRODUCTION

The composition of an animal changes as it grows older and increases in size. The changes in composition of animals that occur during normal body weight growth have to be taken into account when assessing differences in body composition between genotypes and experimental treatments. The direct assessment in terms of body composition, of changes that occur during development may be made on animals of different sizes at a pre-arranged sequence of times (cross-sectional study).

Tracing genetic differences in carcass composition, by means of cross-sectional data has been the subject of extensive studies abroad. Yet, buffaloes in this respect is almost completely overlooked, and comparative anatomical growth studies of buffaloes and cattle has the author's knowledge never been conducted. This may seem unjustifiable, as buffaloes present, in many areas, a genotype particularly suited to provide meat for lean-markets. With view of offering a contribution towards filling that gap, the present study mainly seeks to elucidate genotype influence on the weight of major carcass tissues during developmental growth using cross-sectional data of buffalo and Friesian cross bulls.

C H A P T E R II

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Berg & Butterfield (1966) provided the most practical criteria of carcass merit by stressing that it is a combination of composition, conformation and eating quality. Later, Berg & Butterfield (1967) argued the importance of the weight distribution of carcass components in evaluation the potential merit of beef animals, inasmuch as it influences carcass conformation.

1. Changes in Weights of Separable Carcass Components Associated with Changes in Size

Extensive studies have consistently shown that changes in carcass composition are associated with changes in size and age (e.g. Hammond, 1932; Pålsson, 1955; Tayler, 1964; Berg & Butterfield, 1967; Seebeck & Tulloh, 1967, 1968 a, b). The result, according to Seebeck (1968), is to take into consideration the changes in composition of animals that occur during normal body growth weight when genetic differences in carcass composition have to be traced. This can be achieved by a serial slaughter of random samples of animals over a range of live weight or at a pre-arranged sequence of time

followed by a separation of tissues. With the cross-sectional data so collected, statistical techniques are readily available, and the mean trend of development for genetic groups can be compared. As development is basically related to size of the animal rather than to the age, Boccard et al (1962); Tulloh (1963b) and Seebeck (1966) stressed on comparing animals at similar weight rather than similar age.

1.1. Choice of Appropriate Covariate

With developmental studies using a range of sizes and using conventional least-squares techniques, an independent variate, usually a criterion of growth, is needed. Against this variate (covariate), changes in carcass composition can be assessed. The within-group variation of the components will be at a minimum if they are compared at the same value of an appropriate covariate. To study the major components of the carcass, the weight of the dressed carcass, or of one side of it, has been investigated by several authors as reviewed by Seebeck (1968). An alternative approach is the use of fat-free or fat-corrected carcass weight (Wilson, 1954; Everitt, 1966) or muscle plus bone weight (Elsley et al 1964; Berg &

Butterfield, 1966; Mukhoty & Berg 1971, 1973). The exclusion of the fat tissue from being part of growth has been defended on the grounds that it is controlled more by nutrition than other components of the body (Maynard 1947; Elsley et al 1964). However, Pomeroy (1955) defended the inclusion of fat tissue as part of growth on the grounds ~~that~~ at least some of the fat has specific insulating properties. Seebeck (1968) provided a statistical answer to this dilemma by stressing that the test of correlation between developmental criteria put forward by Seebeck & Tullloh (1966) should be done before fat-free carcass weight is used as a covariate. Seebeck (1968), examining three sets of data for correlations of developmental criterion, concluded that "a correlation between development in terms of fat and development in terms of other components" may exist.

1.2. Genotype Differences in the Mean Trend of Development

Very few investigations have been carried out to study changes in carcass composition that occur during normal body weight growth when assessing breed differences in the weight of separable carcass components. Berg & Butterfield (1966)