135

970906

ON SOME TOPICS OF INFINITE MATRICES

THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT
OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD
OF THE M.SC. DEGREE

BY OLFAT WAKID

(BORN IN CAIRO, 6/1/1945)
(B.SC. MATH. AND PHYSICS, 1965)



6080

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
DEPT. OF PURE MATHEMATICS

Wing.

512.89b

JANUARY 1974

1.,

M.SC. COURSES

STUDIED BY THE AUTHOR (1971-1972)

(i)	Theory of Functions of Matrices	2 hours per week
		(during the whole
		academic year)
(ii)	Numerical linear algebra	2 hours per week
(iii)	Abstract algebra	2 hours per week
(iv)	Functional Analysis	2 bours per week
(v)	Partial Differential Equations	2 hours per week

-000-



PREFACE

The thesis consists of three chapters.

The first chapter is mainly a survey to the algebraic part of the subject of algebraic infinite matrices, though the last article is a new addition; in that article we investigate some properties of an algebraic infinite matrix which are retained by the reciprocal matrix.

In chapter II, we establish a number of new results on functions of two commutative algebraic infinite matrices.

Chapter III involves an application of algebraic infinite matrices in "basic sets of polynomials"; a number of new results have been obtained on the order and type on a circle of basic sets associated with functions of an algebraic semi block matrix.

The thesis has been prepared under the kind supervision of Prof. Dr. Ragy H. Makar, to whom I wish to express my sincerest gratitude and thankfulness.

January 1974

CONTENTS

	Page
CHAPTER I: On algebraic infinite natrices	1
CHAPTER II: On functions of two commutativ	• G
elgebraic infinite matrices	. 32
CHAPTER III: On the order and type of basic	1
sats of polycomials associated	
with functions of algebraic	
semi block miricus	. 75
ন লবল সন্মানের :	92

CHAPTER I

OH ALGEBRAIC INFINITE MATRICES

This chapter is mainly a survey to the subject of algebraic infinite matrices, though the last article is a new addition. The given survey considers only problems of algebraic nature. §§ 1-3 deal with the simple algebraic results on these matrices, while §§ 4-7 consider the more deep results. § 8 deals with the definition of functions of an algebraic infinite matrix and with the algebraic properties of such functions. § 9 investigates some properties of an algebraic infinite matrix which are retained by the reciprocal matrix, and some simple results are also deduced.

1. Algobraic infinite matrices

An infinite matrix A is said to be self-associative when the power matrix A' exists for every positive integer r, and has a unique matrix value independent of the order of multiplication of the factors.

An infinite matrix A is said to be algebraic if it is self-associative and satisfies an equation (identity) of the form:

(1.1)
$$k_0 I + k_1 A + k_2 A^2 + \cdots + k_m A^m = 0$$

where the coefficients k_r , o $\leqslant r \leqslant m$, are complex scalars, and I and 0 are the infinite unit matrix and the infinite zero matrix respectively. If this equation is the equation of least degree satisfied by the matrix A, A is said to be algebraic of degree $^{\text{M}}$ m. Such equation, of least degree, is evidently unique save for a multiplying constant, and is called the minimum equation of A.

This equation of least degree, in some sense, corresponds to the minimum equation of a square matrix, but with the clear understanding that every square matrix has a minimum equation, which is sometimes the characteristic equation itself, whilst amongst infinite matrices only algebraic ones satisfy such algebraic equations.

The roots of the scalar equation f(z)=0, where f(A)=0 is the minimum equation of A, are called the scalar roots of A. The set of scalar roots of A is called the spectrum of A.

A simple example of an algebraic infinite matrix is the diagonal matrix A in which a distinct numbers a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m are distributed repeatedly and arbitrarily along the

^{*} Originally Racuf m. Makar [15] had used the term "order"

diagonal. It is easily seen that such a matrix is algebraic of degree m and that its minimum equation is

(1.2)
$$(A - a_1 I)(A - a_2 I) \dots (A - a_m I) = 0$$
.

In particular the scalar matrix whose diagonal elements are all, the number a, has the minimum equation A - aI = 0.

Also, a simple example of a non-algebraic matrix is the diagonal matrix A with an infinite number of distinct elements a_i .

Two interesting types of algebraic infinite matrices have been introduced by Ibrahim [6, chapter I].

(i) If the infinite series $a_1+a_2+a_3+\dots$ is convergent and its sun is M, then the matrix

(1.3)
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_1 & a_1 & \cdots \\ a_2 & a_2 & a_2 & \cdots \\ a_3 & a_5 & a_5 & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

is algebraic, and its minimum equation is $4^2 - 14^2 \approx 0$. Thus associated with every convergent series there is an algebraic matrix of degree 2.

(ii) If f_i , b_i , i = 1,2,3,... are two infinite sequences such that the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i b_i$ is convergent to k, then the matrix

(1.4)
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{ij} \end{bmatrix}, \quad a_{ij} = f_i b_j$$

is algebraic, and its minimum equation is $A^2 - mA = 0$.

A further interesting example of an algebraic infinite matrix is the following [10].

(iii) A row (column) permutator is the matrix obtained from the unit matrix by performing a certain permutation on its rows (columns). A permutator corresponding to a permutation factorizable into cycles such that the lengths of these cycles have a finite least common multiple m, is an algebraic matrix of degree a. For performing such a permutation matrix of degree a. For performing such a permutation, and the corresponding matrix is the unit matrix. Thus a permutator corresponding to such a permutation has the minimum equation A^M = T. In particular a permutator corresponding to a parametric factorizable to binary c classing, for any play, the permutation interchanging every prime number with its square or every odd number with its subsequent even number satisfies the equation A^M = T.

Some types of matrices related to an algebraic matrix are algebraic matrices. Of these we have [15]:

[#] Makar and Sakr consider that there is no common letter between ear two cycles, though they have not manufored this.

matrix A in which the lst superdiagonal consists of the elements

and all other elements are zero, has the minimum equation $A^2 = 0$, also the matrix B in which the lst superdiagonal consists of the elementS

and all other elements are zero, has the minimum equation $B^2 = 0$, yet, as it can be easily verified, the sum matrix C = A + B, which is the infinite auxilary unit matrix, is not an algebraic matrix.

In rect A and I may both be algebraic, but the powers of the sum matrix C may not exist. This fact is illustrated by the two matrices

and $B = A^2$ (the transpose of A); A and satisfy respectively $A^2 - A = 0$ and $B^2 - A = 0$ but the square of the sum satrix C does not exist.

There are however mass in this of the off the olganization at a certainly of all order notifications of these were vo:

(i) If A is a diagonal matrix involving m distinct numbers a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m only, and E is another diagonal matrix involving n distinct numbers b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n only, then A and E are algebraic of degrees m and n respectively. The sum matrix C = A+B is a diagonal matrix involving at most mn distinct numbers

al+bl, al+b2,..., al+bn, a2+bl, ..., am+bn and so is algebraic of degree at most ma,[15]. But this fact is a particular case of a general theorem to be mentioned later.

(ii) If A and B are algebraic natrices with minimum equations $(A-aI)^m = 0$ and $(B-bI)^n = 0$ respectively, and if A and B commute, then the sum matrix G = A+B is algebraic of degree m+n-1 at most. Indeed it is easily seen that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 + (a + b)I \end{array} \right\} \stackrel{\text{det}-I}{=} \quad c ;$$

elso the fact that the upper bound man-1 is attainable is illustrated by the following example [10].

Let P and & be the square matrices

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \begin{cases} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let A be the infinite matrix consisting of diagonal blocks each of which is the matrix P and zero elements everywhere else, and let B be the infinite matrix consisting of diagonal blocks each of which is the matrix Q and zero elements everywhere else. Then A and B satisfy respectively $(A-I)^2 = 0$ and $(B-I)^2 = 0$. Also it is easily verified that AB = BA. The sum matrix C = A + B is an infinite matrix consisting of diagonal blocks each of which is the matrix

and zero elements everywhere also. The minimum equation of G is easily verified to be $(C - 2I)^3 = 0$.

3. The product of algebraic matrices

When A and B are two algebraic matrices, the product matrix C = AB is not necessarily algebraic [15]. In fact the product matrix C = AB may not exist. For if we take the matrix A in (2.1) and take $F = A^T$, then AB does not exist.

If AB exists its powers not not exist. For if we take B to be the matrix A in (2.1) and take $A = B^T$, then the product matrix is

$$C = AB = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

and evidently G2 does not exist.

Even if C = AB exists and is self-associative, it may not be an algebraic matrix. For considering the matrices A and B at the beginning of § 2, we find that C = AB is the infinite matrix in which the second superdiagonal consists of the elements

and all other elements are zero; such a matrix is easily seen to be non-algebraic.

There are, however, simple cases in which we can assert that the product matrix C = AB, of two algebraic matrices A and B, is an algebraic matrix. Of these we have:

(i) The case in which A and B are diagonal matrices. For if the distinct elements of A are a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n and the distinct elements of B are b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n , then in the product matrix there are at most an distinct elements

$$a_1b_1, a_1b_2, \dots, a_1b_n, a_2b_1, \dots, a_mb_n$$
.

Thus C = AB is algebraic of degree at most mn. But this fact is a particular case of a general theorem to be mentioned in due time.

(ii) The case in which A and B are two algebraic permutators as defined in § 1. When the corresponding permutations have no letter in common, C = AB is an algebraic permutator whose degree is the least common multiple of the degrees of A and B [10].

4. Polynomials in an algebraic matrix

Polynomials in a self-resociative matrix A have the following interesting property [15].

(I) Matrices in P_A , of degree $\geqslant 1$ in A, are either all algebraic or else all non-algebraic.

Indeed when A is an algebraic matrix of degree m, and P = P(A), of degree r in A, is or degree n, then m/r $\langle n \rangle \langle m \rangle$. The upper end lower bounds of the degree n of P(A) are both attainable. This fact is illustrated by the following interesting example. If A is the (infinite) diagonal matrix whose (diagonal) elements are the mth roots of unity repeated and distributed arbitrarily, then A satisfies the minimum equation $A^m - I = 0$, while the matrix $P = A^r$, where r is a divisor of m and m = nr, astisfies the minimum equation $P^n - I = 0$. Also the matrix $P = A^r$ where t is prime to m, satisfies the minimum equation $P^m - I = 0$.

An immediate corollary of the above property is that the matrix $B = b_0 I + b_1 A$, where b_0 and b_1 are complex scalars and $b_1 \neq 0$, is of the same nature as A, and is of the same degree as A, when A is algebraic.

Hakar [15] has also proved the following result.

(II) If A and B are two algebraic matrices having the same minimum equation $f(z) = 0^{-x}$, and P(z) is a polynomial in z, then the algebraic matrices P(A) and P(B) have the same minimum equation.

A generalization of this result will be mentioned later.

Applying the above result Reouf Maker [15] has investigated the minimum equation of a polynomial P(A) of an algebraic matrix A whose scalar roots are all distinct, and Ragy Makar and Sakr [10] have investigated this same problem but in the more difficult case in which A has multiple scalar roots. Makar's result is that if A is an algebraic matrix of degree m having the distinct scalar roots a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n and if the polynomial P(z) is such that P(a₁), P(a₂), ..., P(a_n) take only r distinct

[#] i.e. f(A) = 0 and f(B) = 0 are the minimum equations
 of A and B respectively.