PHYSIOLOGICAL STUDIËS ON PLANT GROWTH REGULATORS EXUDED FROM CERTAIN WEEDS

Ву

RAIFA AHMED HASSANEIN B.Sc.

THESIS

Submitted for a partial fulfilment of the degree of Master of Science

Ain Shams University
Faculty of Science
Botany Department

(Red Car)

4442



1971

This thesis has not been previously submitted for a degree at this or any other university.

Raifa A. Hassanein



ACKNOWLEDGEMEN IS

The author wishes to express her great thanks and gratitude to Dr. Hassan Anwar Foda, professor of Botany, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, Cairo, for suggesting the point of this thesis, supervision, constructive criticism, continuous discussion and help throughout this work.

Sincere thanks are due to Prof. M.G.A. Hafez, head of Botany Department and Dr. S.H. Elwan, for their continuous encouragements and valuable advices.

She also expresses her thanks to all members of Botany Department and to her fellow research students.

CONTALLS

	Fage
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
PART I	
INTRODUCTION	1
MATERIALS	14
GENERAL METHODS	15
1- Paper Partition Chromatography	15
2- Thin Layer Chromatography	15
3- Bioassay	16
4- Test Methods	16
a. Coleoptile Test	16
b. Cress Root Test	17
c. Germination Test	18
d. Lettuce Hypocotyl Test	18
5- Detection of Chromatograms	19
PART II	
GROWTH SUBSTANCES OF ROOT EXUDATES	
1- Auxins and Growth Inhibitors Present in Root Exudates of Certain Weeds	21
a. Melilotus Root Exudate	2 2
b. Cyperus Exudate	25
c. Chenopodium Root Exudate	28
d. Urospermum Root Exudate	3 1
e. Amaranthus Root Exudate	34
f. Euphorbia Root Exudate	37
2- Effect of Dilution on the Activity of the	
Growth Regulators Present in Root Exudates	
of Weeds	41

	Page
3- Occurrence of gibberellins in Root Exudates	53
4- Amino Acid Composition of Root Exudates	64
5- Organic Acids Present in Root Exudates	67
6- Sugar Content of Root Exudates	69
PART III	
FURTHER STUDIES ON MELILOTUS EXUDATES	
1- Effect of Plant Age on Root Exudation	73
-	1)
A. Hormone and Inhibitor Contents of Melilo- tus Root Exudates at Different stages of	
Plant Development	73
B. Amino Acid, Organic Acid and Sugar Compo-	
sition of Melilotus Root Exudates at Dif-	
ferent Stages of Plant Development	82
2- Melilotus Seed Exudate	87
a. Auxins and growth inhibitors present in	
Melilotus seed exudate	89
b. Gibberellins present in Melilotus seed	00
exudate	92
exudate	94
d. Organic acids present in Melilotus seed	<i>,</i>
exud ate	97
e. Sugars present in Melilotus seed exudate.	97
3- Comparison between Root Extract and Root	
Exudate of Melilotus Plants	97
a. Hormone and Inhibitor Contents of Root	
Extracts and Root Exudates of Melilotus	
Plants	99

	Fage
b. Amino Acid, Organic Acid and Sugar Compo- sition of Root Extracts and Root Exudates	
of Melilotus Plants	107
PART IV	
EFFECT OF CERTAIN WEEDS ON GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT OF SOME CROPS.	
1- Effect of Melilotus on growth and Developm-	
ent of Vicia faba plants	113
2- Effect of Melilotus on Growth and Developm-	
ent of Hordeum vulgare Plants	122
3- Effect of Cyperus on Growth and Development	205
of <u>Vicia faba</u> Plants	126
4- Effect of Cyperus on Growth and Development of Hordeum vulgare plants	134
or nordoun variant pramos	±,
PART V	
DICAUCCION	170
DISCUSSION	138
SUMMARY	156
BIBLIOGRAPHY	159
ARABIC SUMMARY	

PART I

- 1. INTRODUCTION
- 2. MATERIALS
- 3. GENERAL METHODS

INTRODUCTION

It has been known for centuries that weeds are injurious to cultivated crops. However, the ischanism of such injury has never been adequately explained. It has been interpreted in terms of mineral nutrition or in terms of water competition. Careful studying of such explanation, however, reveals certain weakness especially in some cases. So, it appears that some additional mechanisms are involved in the competition between some weeds and certain cultivated crops.

The liberation of toxic substances from higher plants is often discussed especially in connection with the so-called soil sickness. These substances may affect the subsequent growth of the same or other species.

General reviews concerning the liberation of different organic substances from some higher plants and the effects of one plant upon another included those of Loehwing (1937), Bonner (1950), Borner (1960), Woods (1960), Garb (1961), Rovira (1969) and Tukey (1969).

A short account of this problem is given in the following sub-sections. As early as 1832, De Candole noted that plant exhibites of certain species appeared to be specially inhibitor, to the growth of associated species. He pointed out the inhibition of flax by <u>Buphorbia</u> sp. and of oats by thistles, and postulated the production of specific toxic substances by these weeds. On the basis of these observations, De Candole (1832) suggested a theory of crop rotation embodying the general idea that each member in a rotation should be a species not inhibited by toxic substances left from the preceding crop. Liebig (1852) supported this theory in the very begining of his scientific career, but later, as a result of his exhaustive analyses, came to the conclusion that inorganic nutrients are most important for soil productivity. Thus, the idea that toxic excretions from plant roots might be responsible for declining crop yields was superseded.

Excretion of Growth Inhibitors from Plant Roots.

There are some accumulated evidence that roots and underground stems of a very wide range of plant species produce specific chemical compounds that are active inhibitors of seed germination and plant growth. It seems highly probable that these compounds are normally excreted into the soil,

where they may play an important role in the selective suppression of the growth of competing seedlings.

The best known and perhaps most widely discussed work on toxic substances exuded from certain plant roots in soil is that of Schreiner and his co-workers (1908a, b & c; 1910; 1911). They found that in certain soils where fertility had been reduced by cropping some plants for a series of generations, a number of phytotoxic substances had been isolated and found to be picolonic acid, salicylaldehyde, vanillin and dihydroxystearic acid. Pickering (1907 & 1919) showed that, under certain conditions, some grasses can give out toxic substances which inhibit the growth of apple trees. These authors, however, may over-emphasize the importance of toxic materials to explain infertility of soils (Borner, 1960).

Livingston (1923) showed that waters obtained by leaching a bog soils, contain materials which are toxic to the growth of numerous species of plants. Unfortunately, this work produced no evidence that the inhibitors had their origins in the plants grown previously in those soils.

Soils, in which certain weeds e.g. Salvia, Paspalum, Cynoton.

Passiflora and Amaranthus spp. were growing, had a marked inhibitory and toxic effect on the growth of coffee seedlings. He was of the opinion that this effect is due to certain growth inhibitors exuded from roots of such weeds.

Walks (1936) found that the roots of Robinia pseudo-acacia excrete a principle highly inhibitory to other species.

Peach seedlings, when planted in soil which had previously supported a peach orchard, show a decrease in yield and growth. After ruling out nutritional and pathogenic organisms as causative agents, Proebsting and Gilmore (1940) showed that old peach roots can release a substance which is inhibitory to the growth of peach seedlings.

It has been observed that a brome grass (<u>Bromus inermis</u>), after growing several years, attains a condition in which the stand begins to thin out and the plants start to die.

Benedict (1941) suggested that this dying out of old brome grass may be due to the accumulation of toxic substances

produced by brome grass roots. He established the presence of a toxic substance in prome grass roots which is inhibitory even in small amounts to growth of brome grass seedlings.

Meyers and Anderson (1942) have shown that application of nitrogen can partially overcome the growth inhibition which results from culture of brome grass in soil which has previously supported brome grass. But, they found that well fertilized brome grass soils still give yields much smaller than those obtained from soils in which brome grass has not been previously grown. These results clearly reinforce the conclusion of Benedict.

In the early days of the second war, experiments were started in America on the production of rubber by the guayule plant. In plantations of this plant, mutual antagonisms were obvious since individuals in the center of a block of plants showed much feeber growth than those at the edges. This was not caused by competition for water or nutrients but presumably by toxic root exudates, as roots of adjacent plants aid not intermingle but grew out areas unoccupied by other guayule roots. An elaborate series of pot

experiments confirmed this antagonism and the presence of highly toxic material in the soil solution which was identified as trans-cinnamic acid, a normal constituent of the guayule plant (Bonner and Galston, 1944). These observations supported the view that the toxicity of the soil water under normal conditions may be due to an exudation from living roots. The toxic action of this exudate seems to be selective as tomato is only a hundredth as sensitive to it as guayule itself.

Some observations have confirmed the excretion of certain growth inhibitors from various grass roots. The investigat—ions were initiated by the observation that certain species of Brassica germinated and developed very poorly in fields where Agropyron grass was growing well. The extracts of the underground portions of such grass (Agropyron) showed the presence of toxic substances which inhibit the germination and growth of Brassica spp. (Osvold, 1947). This suggested that the well known success of grasses in competition with other species in natural grassland communities might reside in a similar toxin production and led to the study of soil

extracts from such grassland and from agricultural land. A particular meadow, dominated by an almost pure stand of red fescue grass was chosen by Osvold (1949). The extracts of such soil stopped Brassica seed from germination, whereas similar extracts from cultivated soils had no such effect.

Some evidence has been also obtained that certain crop plants may be mutually inhibitory to each other's growth when grown in close association. Spinach and radish are such a pair, and it has been suggested that the saponins of the spinach and the mustard oils of the radish, may be the inhibitory compounds involved (Schuphan, 1948). Italian rye-grass and red clover are another pair (Mann and Barnes, 1953).

Soils from old <u>Citrus</u> orchards were found to inhibit the growth of young <u>Citrus</u> seedlings while 50 to 175% more growth being made on similar soils from outside the orchard area. Tomato seedlings were not affected in this way indicating that the action of the toxic agent is specific to <u>Citrus</u> itself. Martin (1950) suggested the toxicity of these soils is caused by the accumulation of a growth inhibitor specific for <u>Citrus</u> and excreted by its roots.