

*Soliman Nase* *Maha EL Tony*

**THE IMPACT OF CLINICAL HISTORY,  
ENDOSCOPY AND HISTOPATHOLOGY ON  
DIAGNOSIS OF GASTRODUODENAL  
LESION/ INTERPRETATION**

*Thesis*  
*Submitted for partial fulfillment of  
Master Degree of Internal Medicine*

*By*  
**Nanees A. Adel Abdel Megid**

*M.B., B. Ch.*  
*Faculty of Medicine*  
*Ain Shams University*

*Under supervision of*

*616.33*  
*IV - A*

**Prof. Dr. ALI MONIS YASSIN**

**Professor of Internal Medicine**  
**Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University**

**Prof. Dr. INAS AHMED H. ASFOUR**

**Professor of Internal Medicine**  
**Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University**

**Dr. MAHA A. EL-TONY**

**Lecturer of Internal Medicine**  
**Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University**

*Department of Internal Medicine*  
*Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

**Cairo - Egypt**  
**1997**





بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

﴿قُلْ بِفَضْلِ اللَّهِ وَبِرَحْمَتِهِ فَبِذَلِكَ فَلْيَفْرَحُوا هُوَ خَيْرٌ مِّمَّا يَجْمَعُونَ﴾

صدق الله العظيم

((سورة يونس — آية رقم ٥٨))



## *Acknowledgment*

---

I am deeply indebted to **Prof. Dr. ALI MONIS YASSIN, Professor of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University** under whose direction this thesis was undertaken, for his cooperative interest, encouragement, assistance throughout the practical part of this work and for his helpful criticism and editorial comments during the preparation of the manuscript.

I also gratefully acknowledge the encouragements, constructive comments and valuable suggestions of **Prof. Dr. INAS AHMED H. ASFOUR, Professor of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University**, and extend to her sincere thanks for her assistance, and support.

I am also thankful to **Dr. MAHA A. EL-TONY, Lecturer of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University** for her assistance and for kindly reading and criticizing the manuscript.

This work would not have been possible without the loving support of a very special family, husband and all my efforts are dedicated to them.



## List of figures

---

- Figure (1) : Shows diagnostic algorithm for dyspepsia.
- Figure (2) : Shows schematic representation of the pathophysiology of Nausea and vomiting.
- Figure (3) : Diagnostic algorithm for chronic nausea and vomiting.
- Figure (4) : Commonest causes of pain in the epigastrium.
- Figure (5) : Commonest causes of pain in right hypochondrium.
- Figure (6) : Commonest causes of pain in left hypochondrium.
- Figure (7) : Commonest causes of pain in periumbilical region.
- Figure (8) : Commonest causes of pain in the flanks.
- Figure (9) : Commonest causes of pain in suprapubic and inguinal areas.
- Figure (10) : The Sydney system for classifying gastritis.
- Figure (11) : Correa's model of gastric carcinogenesis.
- Figure (12) : Gross morphologic classification for early gastric cancer at endoscopy.
- Figure (13): Comparison between cases under the study regarding prevalence of heart burn
- Figure (14): Comparison between cases under the study regarding prevalence of H.P.
- Figure (15): Comparison between H.P + ve and H.P.- ve cases regarding pathological results
- Figure (16): Comparison between H.P positive and H.P. negative cases regarding endoscopic results





## List of tables

---

- Table (1) : Shows organic causes of dyspepsia
- Table (2) : Shows symptom subgroups of functional dyspepsia.
- Table (3) : Show causes of acute nausea and vomiting.
- Table (4) : Show causes of chronic nausea and vomiting
- Table (5) : Classification of gastroparesis.
- Table (6) : Causes of abdominal pain.
- Table (7) : Classification of lymphnodes to be resected in cases of gastric cancer.
- Table (8) : Comparison between groups III and I as regards age
- Table (9) : Comparison between groups III and II as regards age.
- Table (10) : Comparison between groups I and II as regards age.
- Table (11) : Comparison between groups III and I as regards sex.
- Table (12) : Comparison between groups III and II as regards sex.
- Table (13) : Comparison between groups I and II as regards sex.
- Table (14) : Comparison between H.P. +ve and -ve cases as regarding sex.
- Table (15) : Comparison between groups III and I regarding upper abdominal pain.
- Table (16) : Comparison between groups III and II regarding upper abdominal pain.
- Table (17) : Comparison between groups I and II regarding upper abdominal pain.
- Table (18) : Comparison between groups III and I regarding dyspepsia.
- Table (19) : Comparison between groups III and II regarding dyspepsia.

- Table (20) : Comparison between groups I and II regarding dyspepsia.
- Table (21) : Comparison between groups III and I regarding nausea
- Table (22) : Comparison between groups III and II regarding nausea.
- Table (23) : Comparison between groups I and II regarding nausea
- Table (24) : Comparison between groups III and I regarding vomiting
- Table (25) : Comparison between groups III and II regarding vomiting.
- Table (26) : Comparison between groups I and II regarding vomiting.
- Table (27) : Comparison between groups III and I regarding heart burn.
- Table (28) : Comparison between groups III and II regarding heart burn.
- Table (29) : Comparison between groups I and II regarding heart burn.
- Table (30) : Comparison between groups III and I regarding prevalence of H.P.
- Table (31) : Comparison between groups III and II regarding prevalence of H.P.
- Table (32) : Comparison between groups I and II regarding prevalence of H.P.
- Table (33) : Comparison between H.P +ve and -ve cases regarding nausea.
- Table (34) : Comparison between H.P +ve and -ve cases regarding vomiting.
- Table (35) : Comparison between H.P +ve and -ve cases regarding heart burn.
- Table (36) : Comparison between H.P +ve and -ve cases regarding Upper abdominal pain.
- Table (37) : Comparison between H.P +ve and -ve cases regarding dyspepsia.

- Table (38) : Comparison between H.P +ve and -ve cases regarding  
Pathological results
- Table (39) : Comparison between H.P +ve and -ve cases regarding  
Endoscopic results.
- Table (40) : Correlations of group I.
- Table (41) : Correlations of group II.
- Table (42) : Correlations of group III.
- Table (43) : Correlations for all patients.



# List of Plates

---

- Plates (1): Endoscopic features of gastritis.
- Plates (2): Endoscopic features of gastritis
- Plates (3): Chronic atrophic gastritis : stomach
- Plates (4): Chronic atrophic gastritis : stomach
- Plates (5): Chronic superficial gastritis
- Plates (6): Chronic hypertrophic gastritis : stomach
- Plates (7): Histological appearance of acute gastric erosion.
- Plates (8): Two examples of *Helicobacter pylori* endoscopic erythematous exudative gastritis
- Plates (9): Antral ulcer and surrounding antral gastritis caused by *H. pylori*.
- Plates (10): Aspirin induced acute mucosa bleeding small petechiae are noted as well as small bleeding erosions.
- Plates (11): View of aspirin induced gastritis in the antrum. Hemorrhages appear as linear petechial streaks.
- Plates (12): NSAID induced gastric ulcer. The ulcer base is white.
- Plates (13): Typical benign gastric ulcer at the incisura angularis.
- Plates (14): Healing ulcer.
- Plates (15): Healed gastric ulcer on greater curvature showing scar ring and retraction with converging folds.
- Plates (16): The fine red scar represents an almost healed benign ulcer at the incisura angularis.
- Plates (17): Histological appearance of a benign gastric ulcer:
- Plates (18): Histological appearance of chronic benign gastric ulcer.
- Plates (19): Chronic peptic ulcer : stomach
- Plates (20): Chronic peptic ulcer : stomach

