

**"SPLIT SCORE " OF
THE MITRAL VALVE APPARATUS: COULD
IT BE A PREDICTOR OF BALLOON
MITRAL VALVULOPLASTY OUTCOME ?**

THESIS

**Submitted in Partial fulfillment of
Master Degree in Cardiology**

**Presented By
Essam Salah El-Kady
MB,Bch.,**

Supervisors :
Dr. Tarek Zaki , M.D.
*Ass.Prof. of Cardiology
Ain Shams University*

Dr. M. Ayman M. Abdel Wahab , M.D.
*Lecturer of Cardiology
Ain Shams University*

**FACULTY OF MEDICINE
AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
1996**





ACKNOWLEDGMENT

**** All Thanks To God ****

I wish to thank Dr. Tarek Zaki for his Help and expert supervision. He has been generous with scientific advice and a strong motivating force to produce an accurate research. For him I will remain humbly grateful.

I also wish to express my sincere gratitude to Dr. Ayman Saleh the driving force behind this work, whose interest in the subject of the research has been of great help. I wish to thank him for his valuable time and for his patience.

Finally, I would like to thank all my colleagues at the cardiology department of Ain Shams University Hospital for their help in the practical part of this thesis.

The Candidate

CONTENTS

	Page
• INTRODUCTION & AIM OF THE WORK.	
• REVIEW OF LITERATURE. -----	1
⇒ Chapter 1: -----	1
Anatomy of the normal mitral valve.	
⇒ Chapter 2: -----	23
Pathology & Natural history of rheumatic mitral stenosis	
⇒ Chapter 3: -----	39
Factors predicting outcome of percutaneous Balloon Mitral Valvuloplasty	
⇒ Chapter 4: -----	66
Complications of Percutaneous Balloon Mitral valvuloplasty.	
• PATIENTS & METHODS. -----	87
• RESULTS. -----	98
• DISCUSSION. -----	132
• CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATION. -----	143
• SUMMARY. -----	145
• REFERENCES. -----	147
• ARABIC SUMMARY.	

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table (1): Pathological findings in rheumatic mitral stenosis described by Rusted et al., 1956.	25
Table (2): Scoring system designed by Wilkins et al., 1988.	46
Table (3): Scoring system designed by Reid et al., 1989.	50
Table (4): Results of Palacios et al., 1989.	54
Table (5): Value of mitral valve morphologic score < 8 in predicting successful acute outcome (Rihal et al., 1991).	58
Table (6): Complications of PBMV (reported or possible).	67
Table (7): Minor complications of PBMV (report from NHLBI, 1992).	68
Table (8): Serious complications of PBMV (report from NHLBI, 1992).	69
Table (9): incidence of mitral regurgitation (Hernandez et al., 1992).	78
Table (10): Reports of ASD following PBMV.	80
Table (11): Pre PBMV clinical data.	112
Table (12): Pre PBMV echocardiographic functional data.	113
Table (13): (a) Scoring the morphological features of AML & PML apparatuses separately.	114
(b) Split score of mitral valve.	116
(c) Split score of mitral valve & its subcategory scores of AML vs. PML apparatus.	117

List Of Tables

Table (14): Data of PBMV.	118
Table (15): Post PBMV echocardiographic data.	118
Table (16): Change in MR grade after PBMV.	119
Table (17): PBMV outcome.	119
Table (18): (a),(b), The relation of split score to the percent increase in MVA following PBMV.	120,121
Table (19): Relation of SVD to the percent incr. in MVA.	122
Table (20): (a),(b), Complications of PBMV.	123,124
Table (21): (a) Commissural splitting after PBMV.	125
(b) The percent increase in MVA after PBMV in relation to commissural splitting.	125

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure (1): Diagrammatic representation of the mitral valve.	7
Figure (2): Anterior & posterior mitral leaflets.	7
Figure (3): Diagram of the mitral valve illustrating chordal arrangement.	15
Figure (4): The normal anatomy of the mitral valve.	15
Figure (5): Measurement of pliability & thickening of the mitral valve as suggested by Reid et al., 1989	49
Figure (6): Transthoracic echocardiography showing AML.SVD = PML.SVD.	104
Figure (7): Transthoracic echocardiography showing PML.SVD > AML.SVD.	104
Figure (8): Transthoracic echocardiography showing AML.SVD > PML.SVD.	105
Figure (9): Transthoracic echocardiography showing lateral commissural calcification.	104
Figure (10): Split score & its subcategory score of AMV vs. PML apparatus.	126
Figure (11): Pre-PBMV scoring of each mitral leaflet apparatus.	126
Figure (12): Mobility score for the AML & PML apparatuses separately.	127
Figure (13): Thickening score for the AML & PML apparatuses separately.	127

Figure (14): Calcification score for the AML & PML apparatuses separately.	128
Figure (15): Subvalvular disease for the AML & PML apparatuses separately.	128
Figure (16): Split score of mitral valve & its subcategory components.	129
Figure (17): Correlation between % incr. in MVA & SVD score of PML.	129
Figure (18): Relation of SVD score to the % incr. in MVA	130
Figure (19): Post - PBMV change in MR.	130
Figure (20): Relation between % incr. in MVA & commissural splitting.	131

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

♦ 2D-Echo	Two Dimensional Echocardiography.
♦ AML	Anterior Mitral Leaflet.
♦ cm	Centimeters.
♦ Fig.	Figure.
♦ mmHg	Millimeters mercury.
♦ MR	Mitral Regurgitation.
♦ MS	Mitral Stenosis.
♦ MV	Mitral valve.
♦ MVA	Mitral Valve Area.
♦ NHLBI	National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute.
♦ NYHA	New York Heart Association.
♦ PBMV	Percutaneous Balloon Mitral Valvuloplasty.
♦ PML	Posterior Mitral Leaflet.
♦ SVD	Subvalvular Disease.
♦ TEE	Transesophageal Echocardiography.
♦ TTE	Transthoracic Echocardiography.

