

**AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING**

**DESIGN AND PERFORMANCE OF WIND DRIVEN
RECIPROCATING LIFT PUMPS**

BY

رسالة

ASHRAF ABD EL-BADEE MOHAMED GHORAP

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN
FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE
DEGREE OF PH.D.
IN MECHANICAL ENGINEERING
(POWER)

SUPERVISED BY

1- PROF. DR. A.M. EL-SIBAIE
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

2- DR. JOHN DAVID BURTON
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
READING UNIVERSITY-ENGLAND

3- DR. M.M. ABO EL-NASR
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY



1993

EXAMINATION COMMITTEE.

_____, title and affiliation:-

Signature.

1- Prof. Dr. SAMI MIKHAIL.
Faculty of Engineering
Cairo University.

.....*Sami Mikhail*.....

2- Prof. Dr. K. SH. KADDAH.
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University.

...*Kaddah*.....

3- Prof. Dr. A. M. EL-SIBAIE.
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University.

...*A. El-Sibaie*.....

4- Dr. M. M. ABO EL-NASR.
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University.

...*Mahmoud*.....



PREFACE.

This thesis is submitted to Ain Shams University for the degree of Ph.D. in Mechanical Engineering. The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author at the laboratory of fluid mechanics, Mechanical Engineering Department, Reading University in the U.K.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or qualification at any other university or institution.

Name

Ashraf Abd El-Badee Mohamed Ghorap

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.

First of all thanks to my God.

Deep gratitude and sincere thanks are due to Professor Dr.A.M. El-Sibaie and Dr. M.M. Abo El-Nasr for their kind encouragement, helpful advice and proper guidance during this work.

Also, I would like to express my sincere thanks to Dr. John Burton for his advice, guidance and valuable suggestions in performing the work in this thesis during my stay in England as a member of a Joint supervision (channel system).

Thanks are also due to the technical staff of the Mechanical Engineering Department, Reading University, for their help through suggestions and setting up of the experimental apparatus.

Last but not least, the author wishes to express his sincere thanks to his parents and sisters for their patience, advice and encouragement.

ABSTRACT.

Experimental and theoretical investigations were carried out on a specially designed reciprocating wind pump. The tests were performed using an electric motor as a pump driver. The study was concerned with two main topics in particular, the first one regarding the design and testing of a piston pump with hydrodynamic (non-contact) seals; for better performance of the pump and for minimizing the rate of maintenance due to wear and tear. The second topic was concerned with the design and testing of a spring valve with the aim of reducing the pump starting torque.

Regarding the first topic, some preliminary tests were run in order to get the best dimensions and shape of seals which offer the minimum leakage flow. Then, the designed seals were manufactured around the piston outer surface with two different clearances; one of them was 1.25 mm and the other was 0.69 mm.

The pump was tested under different values of static head and rotational speed. The results showed that although having the mentioned clearances which may increase the leakage flow, more benefits were obtained such as increasing the speed at which the pump will begin delivering water (depending on the static head). Also, it reduces the peak force required to drive the pump by about 50%. At the same time, the pump is still having a relatively good overall efficiency reaching about 50% at 40 rpm when working against

a static head of 1.0 bar.

A theoretical model was built to simulate the experimental results. An excellent agreement between them was obtained at different seal clearances and static heads.

Concerning the second point, a spring valve was designed and tested using the same reciprocating pump indicated before. The tests were run at different static heads and pump rotational speeds.

The results showed that, at a low speed (around 8 rpm), regardless of the static head, the spring valve delays the valve closure about half the stroke. In other words, this delay leads to reduction of the starting torque.

Furthermore, two theoretical models were designed one for the floating valve designed by the Dutch (CWD) and the second for the newly designed spring valve. These two models were built in order to understand the valve behavior and also to compare between the two types of valves. The obtained results showed that the valve closes at about middle of the stroke when the pump runs at 8 rpm. The same result was found experimentally which indicates a remarkable agreement between the theoretical and experimental results.

CONTENTS.

	<u>Page</u>
<u>CHAPTER (1).</u> Introduction	
(1.1) General.	1
(1.2) Historical review of windpumping systems.	1
(1.3) A short summary about the different types of windmills and their specifications.	4
(1.4) Water supply system by wind energy.	7
(1.5) A short summary about the different types of wind pumps.	9
(1.5.1) Reciprocating piston pump.	12
(1.5.2) An ideal reciprocating pump behaviour.	14
(1.6) Matching between the wind rotor and the pump.	19
(1.7) Problems facing the wind-driven reciprocating lift pumps.	26
(1.8) The aim of this thesis.	28
(1.8.1) The reasons for using the non-contact seals.	28
(1.8.2) Use of a spring valve.	

<u>CHAPTER (2).</u> Review of previous work.	
(2.1) Introduction.	32
<u>(A) Labyrinth seal.</u>	
(2.2) Investigations by E. A. Jackson on the blade shape.	33
(2.3) Flow through a labyrinth seal by D. V. Hoof.	37

(2.4) Work done by F. Boon.	40
(2.5) Investigations by A. Pinilla.	43
(2.6) Work done by D. Vries.	45
(2.7) Hydraulic seals for the piston by Smulders and Diepens.	46
(2.8) Work done by A. Wuori.	48
<u>(B) Control valves.</u>	
(2.9) General.	51
(2.10) Work done by Kowalik in order to describe the motion of the discharge valve for a simple reciprocating pump model.	53
(2.11) The force analysis on an ordinary piston valve.	56
(2.11.1) Work done by Cleijne and Smulders.	56
(2.11.2) Work done by W. Vaan.	58
(2.12) Description of the motion of an ordinary piston valve together with the acting forces.	59
(2.13) The floating valve.	62
(2.13.1) Work done by F. Verheij and H. Oldenkamp.	62
(2.13.2) Work done by J. Diepens.	64
(2.13.3) Work done by Burton.	69
(2.14) Description of the matching between the standard pump and the wind turbine.	71
(2.14.1) The effect of using the floating valve on the wind pump performance.	73
(2.15) The spring loaded rod controlled piston valve.	78

CHAPTER (3). Theoretical work.

(3.1) Introduction. 82

(A) Reciprocating piston pump sealing.

- (3.2) Review of the factors influencing the volumetric efficiency η_v and the mechanical efficiency η_m for the two different types of seals. 83
- (3.2.1) Contact seals. 84
- (3.2.2) Clearance seals. 88
- (3.2.3) A comparison between the two types of seals. 92
- (3.3) Analyzing the case of the reciprocating pump with non-contact (clearance) seals for a turbulent flow. 95
- (3.3.1) The pump model. 95
- (3.3.2) Theoretical analysis of pump with labyrinth seal. 97
- (3.4) Discussion of the theoretical findings for the clearance seal model. 100

(B) Reciprocating piston pump starting torque.

- (3.5) Analyzing the floating valve together with the force analysis. 108
- (3.5.1) The torque characteristic of the pump with the floating valve. 109
- (3.5.2) The force analysis on the floating valve. 113
- (3.6) The spring valve. 119

CHAPTER (4). Experimental equipments.

- (4.1) Introduction. 131
- Preliminary tests.

(4.2) Static blade tests.	132
(4.2.1) Tests on the largest sized blades.	133
(4.2.2) Tests on the medium sized blades.	135
(4.2.3) Tests on the smallest sized blades (piston blades).	138
(4.3) Description of the test rig used in the reciprocating pump tests.	141
(4.4) Description of the reciprocating pump used in the tests.	146
(4.5) Description of the instrumentations used.	155
(4.6) Actual tests of the reciprocating pump with the labyrinth non-contact seals.	160
(4.7) The conventional pump without the spring valve.	160
(4.8) The modified piston with a spring valve.	161
(4.9) The experimental set-up and the measuring system.	163

CHAPTER (5). Test results and discussion.

(5.1) Introduction.	167
---------------------	-----

(A) The sealing problem.

(5.2) Preliminary static blade test result.	170
(5.2.1) Results of the first model with the biggest sized blades.	170
(5.2.1.1) The forward and the backward flow.	171
(5.2.1.2) The loss velocity coefficient (K_L).	173
(5.2.2) Results of the second model with the medium sized blades.	176
(5.2.3) The third model with the smallest sized blades and a comparison between the three models.	178

(5.2.4) Comparison between the three different models and the work done by other investigators.	180
(5.3) Discussion of the results obtained from the actual pump tests with the clearance seals.	180
(5.4) Comparison between the pump with non-contact seals and the one with contact seals.	189
(5.4.1) Comparison between the two types of seals regarding the volumetric and the seal efficiencies.	192
(5.4.2) Comparison between the two types of seals regarding the peak forces.	199
(5.4.3) Comparison between the two types of seals regarding the pump efficiency.	205
 <u>(B) The spring loaded piston valve problem.</u>	
(5.5) Preliminary tests for the rod actuated piston valve.	213
(5.6) Testing of the pump with the designed spring valve.	217
(5.6.1) The effect of the Brass housing on the pump output.	218
(5.6.2) The pump performance with the spring valve.	214
(5.7) Comparison between the results obtained for the spring valve and the results found by other investigators using a floating valve.	221
(5.7.1) Comparison with the work done by the Dutch.	221
(5.7.2) Comparison with the work done by J. Diepens.	221
(5.8) Comparison between the performance of the pump with and without the spring.	225
(5.9) Testing of the spring valve at higher speeds.	227

(5.10) The effect of using the spring valve on the wind pump performance.	231
---	-----

<u>CHAPTER (6).</u> Conclusions	233
Recommendations for future work.	235

REFERENCES	237
------------	-----

APPENDIX A	246
APPENDIX B	248
APPENDIX C	250
APPENDIX D	263
APPENDIX E	280
APPENDIX F	288
APPENDIX G	311

NOMENCLATURES .

A	: Cross section area	m^2
a	: Acceleration.	m/sec^2
A_r	: Rotor area.	m^2
A_p	: Piston cross section area.	m^2
A_v	: Valve area.	m^2
B	: Blade pitch	mm
C_d	: Drag coefficient.	
C_g	: Gap flow coefficient.	
C_p	: Power coefficient.	
C_{p_0}	: Maximum power coefficient.	
C_f	: Force coefficient.	
C_T	: Torque coefficient.	
$C_{non-st.}$: Non-steady force coefficient.	
D	: Pump diameter.	m
D_v	: Valve diameter.	m
d	: Pump rod diameter.	m
F	: Static force.	N
F_b	: Buoyancy force.	N
F_{total}	: Total force.	N
F_f	: Friction force.	N
g	: Gravitational acceleration.	m/sec^2
H	: Water static head.	m
h	: Valve gap height.	mm
K	: Spring stiffness.	N/mm
K_l	: Blade velocity coefficient.	

L	: Piston length.	m
M	: Mass.	Kg
n	: Rotational speed.	rps
N	: Rotational speed.	rpm
N_{sd}	: Sommerfeld number.	
P	: Power.	Watt
P_h	: Hydraulic power.	Watt
Q	: Discharge.	m^3/sec
Q_i	: Ideal discharge.	m^3/sec
$Q_{act.}$: Actual discharge.	m^3/sec
Q_{total}	: Total discharge.	m^3/sec
Q_{net}	: Net discharge.	m^3/sec
Q_L	: Leakage discharge.	m^3/sec
R	: Crank radius.	mm
R_n	: Reynolds number.	
S	: Pump stroke.	mm
T	: Torque.	N.m
T_{av}	: Average torque.	N.m
T_i	: Ideal torque.	N.m
T_s	: Starting torque.	N.m
T_n	: Normal torque.	N.m
t	: Time.	Sec
U	: Volume.	m^3
U_s	: Stroke volume.	m^3
U_v	: Valve volume.	m^3
V	: Velocity.	m/sec
V_d	: Design wind velocity.	m/sec
V_g	: Flow velocity in the gap.	m/sec.

XIII