# AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ENGINEERING STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

# REINFORCING OF SOFT COHESIVE SOILS WITH STONE PILES

# By IBRAHIM EZZAT AZIZ



### A THESIS

Submitted In Partial Fulfillment For Requirements

Of The Degree Of Master Of Science In Civil Engineering

( Structural Department )

Supervised By

Prof. Dr. Eng. FAROUK IBRAHIM EL-KADI

Prof. of Geotechnical Engineering Structural Engineering Department

Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

C24-113-64

Dr.

Dr.

### EZZAT ABDEL FATTAH

Assoc. Prof. of Geotech. Eng. Structural Eng. Department Ain Shams University

# MONA MOHAMMED EIP

Assoc. Prof. of Geotech. Eq.. Structural Eng. Department Ain Shams University

CAIRO - 1991





### AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ENGINEERING STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

# REINFORCING OF SOFT COHESIVE SOILS WITH STONE PILES

## By IBRAHIM EZZAT AZIZ

### A THESIS

Submitted In Partial Fulfillment For Requirements Of The Degree Of Master Of Science In Civil Engineering (Structural Department)

### Supervised By

Prof. Dr. Eng. FAROUK IBRAHIM EL-KADI

Prof. of Geotechnical Engineering Structural Engineering Department Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University

Dr.

Dr.

Assoc. Prof. of Geotech. Eng. Assoc. Prof. of Geotech. Eng. Structural Eng. Department Ain Shams University

# EZZAT ABDEL FATTAH MONA MOHAMMED EID

Structural Eng. Department Ain Shams University

CAIRO - 1991

#### Examiner Committee:

Signature

Prof. Dr. Moustafa Mohammed Sherif
 Professor of Geotechnical Engineering,
 General Organization for Housing, Building,
 and Planning Research

1 ist 56-7

- Dr. Fathalla Mohammed El Nahhas

  Associate Professor of Geotechnical Engineering,

  Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University
- Prof. Dr. Farouk El Kadi
  Professor of Geotechnical Engineering,
  Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University
- Dr. Mona Mohammed Eid

  Associate Professor of Geotechnical Engineering,

  Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

DATE: / /1991

### STATEMENT

This dissertation is submitted to Ain-Shams University for the degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering.

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author in the Department of Structural Engineering, Ain-Shams University, from September 1986 to December 1990.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other University or Institute.

Date : 15 / 12 / 1990

Signature : ...

Name : Ibrahim Ezzat Aziz

## AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

Department of : Civil Engineering (Structural Section)

Abstract of M.Sc. Thesis Submitted By :

Ibrahim Ezzat Aziz

Title of Thesis :

"REINFORCING OF SOFT COHESIVE SOILS WITH STONE PILES"

Supervisors: (1) Prof. Dr. Farouk Ibrahim El-Kadi

(2) Dr. Ezzat Abd-El-Fattah Omira

(3) Dr. Mona Mohammed Eid

Rigistration Date : 13/10/1986 Examination Date :

#### ABSTRACT

Along the Egyptian Mediterranean Coast, deep soft clay deposits have been formed over the years as a result of the Nile sedimentations. Nowadays, with the continuous growth in the construction industry in this region, effective methods for soil reinforcement have to be developed, in order to improve its mechanical properties. One of the most effective, simple, and well known techniques is the use of partially concreted granular piles to stabilize soft clay. However, using this technique, the behaviour of single and group piles under applied loads have been based on empirical and semi-empirical relations.

In this thesis, two numerical models based on the FINITE ELEMENT METHOD are prepared. The first model, represents a single pile penetrating a soft cohesive soil. The Second model represents a group of piles supporting a rigid circular footing.

The two models account for the following variables:

- (a) Pile Length, Diameter, and Length of Concrete Part.
- (b) The Clay Layer is infinite, or has a limited depth and underlaid by a relatively hard layer.
- (c) Nonlinear stress-strain relationship of soil.
- (d) Pile-soil interfacial chacteristics in case of single pile.

Results of the analysis are presented in a series of charts showing the effect of the above variables on :

- (a) Load-settlement behaviour of a single pile.
- (b) Shape of settlement diagram of ground surface.
- (c) Settlement ratio in case of piles group.
- (d) Vertical stress distribution along the center line of a single pile, and along an central pile in a group.

<u>KEYWORDS</u>: Soft Clay, Reinforcement, Granular Piles, Single Pile, Piles Group, Nonlinear Stress-Strain Behaviour, Interface Nature, Finite Element Method, Settlement Ratio, Concrete Part Length, Pile Diameter, Pile Length.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am greatly indebted to Prof. Dr. Farouk I. El-Kadi, Professor of Geotechnical Engineering, Ain-Shams University, for his direct supervision, generous assistance, continuous support, and useful advice and discussions during the course of this study.

I wish to express special thanks to Dr. Ezzat Abd El-Fattah, and Dr. Mona Eid for their helpful suggestions, constructive criticism during the course of this study, and kind encouragement during the writing of this thesis.

Deep appreciation is extended to my parents and my wife for their assistance, understanding, and continuous encouragement throughout this study.

Finally, thanks are also to the Technicians in the Soil Mechanics Labratory, and Colleges especially Ihab Emile, and Khaled Ali for their continuous assistance.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Pa	ge
NOTATIONi	v
LIST OF FIGURES V	rii
LIST OF TABLES	(ix
CHAPTER "1" : INTRODUCTION	
1.1 General	1
1.2 Interrelation between Granular Piles, and	
Surrounding Soil	3
1.3 Object of this Thesis	
1.4 Thesis Organization	
CHAPTER "2" : LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Installation of Granular Piles.	
2.2.1 Construction Techniques	10
2.2.2 Recommendations for Construction	
2.3 Previous Theoretical Analysis.	
2.3.1 Introduction	14
2.3.2 Thorburn, and Macvicar, (1968)	16
2.3.3 Aboshi H., Ichimoto E., Enoki M., and Harada K., (1979)	16
2.3.4 J.M.O. Hughes, N.J. Withers, and D.A. Greenwood, (1974)	17
2.3.5 Modified Hughes Approach, (1979)	21
2.3.6 Madhav M.R., Iyengar N.G.R., Vitkar P.P., and Nandia A., (1979)	26
2.3.7 W. Impe, and E. De Beer, (1983)	30
2.3.8 R.R. Groughnour, (1983)	37

2.4	Previo	us Laboratory and Field Studies.
	2.4.1	Introduction
	2.4.2	Large Scale Model, (J.A Charles, K.S. Watts, 1983)
	2.4.3	Small Scale Model, (Abou El Eid, et al., 1984)
	2.4.4	Small Scale Model, (Mohamed Ali Hassan, 1988)
	2.4.5	Field Test, (J.M.O. Hughes, N.J. Withers, and D.A. Greenwood, 1974)
CHAPTER	? <b>"</b> 3" :	FINITE ELEMET METHOD APPLIED TO THE
		ANALYTICAL MODELS
3.1	Introd	luction 71
3.2	Assump	tions of the Problem
	3.2.1	Introduction
	3.2.2	General Assumptions
		Additional Assumptions for the First Model
	3.2.4	Additional Assumptions for the Second Model
3.3	Ideali	zation
	3.3.1	Introduction 79
	3.3.2	Analytical Model used in the Present Study 80
3.4	Formul	lation of the Problem
	3.4.1	Introduction
	3.4.2	Solid Element Formulation 88
	3.4.3	Interface Element Pormulation 94
		Boundary Conditions 98
	3.4.5	Solution Method 101
3.5		itutive Relationship for Soils, and e Element Nonlinear Analysis
	3.5.1	Soild Elements Constitutive Relationships 10
	3.5.2	Interface Element Constitutive Relationships

3.6 Method of Analysis	
3.6.1 Introduction	107
3.6.2 Nonlinearity Considered in this Study	108
CHAPTER "4" : RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	
4.1 Introduction	113
4.2 Parametric Study	113
4.3 Analysis of Models Results	
4.3.1 Analysis of The First Model Results	
4.3.1.1 Load-Settlement Relationship	124
4.3.1.2 Load-Radial Deformation Relationship .	144
4.3.1.3 Stress-Distribution Along the Center Line of a Loaded Single Pile	147
4.3.1.4 Ground Surface Settlement Profile	175
4.3.2 Analysis of The Second Model Results	
4.3.2.1 Ground Settlement Profile & Settlement Improvement	195
4.3.2.2 Stress Distribution Along the Central Pile under The Rigid Cicular Footing .	213
4.4 Comparing The Results with Previous Studies	224
CHAPTER "5" : SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1 Summary	226
5.2 Conclusions	227
5.3 Recommendations	231
P. Carrier and	

### NOTATION

The following notations are those generally used in the present work. Other notations required for the purpose of analysis are mentioned in their appropriate places.

Symbol	Represents
a, b	: Empirical Coeficients whose values are determined experemintally from Direct Shear Test.
a <sub>s</sub>	: Replacement Ratio.
ß	: Settlement Ratio.
c	: Cohesion of Soil.
cc	: Compression Index.
c <sub>v</sub>	: Coefficient of Consolidation.
D	: Diameter.
[D]	: Elasticity Matrix.
E	: Modulus of Elasticity.
G	: Shear Modulus.
н	: Length, or Depth.
Ks	: Interface Shear Stiffness Modulus.
K <sub>n</sub>	: Interface Normal Stiffness Modulus.

: Shape Function.

[N]

n : Stress Concentration Ratio.

P : Applied Load.

p, q : Applied Stress.

 $r_i$ : Radial Co-ordinate of point (i).

r : Radial Co-ordinate of element centroid.

u : Pore Water Pressure.

u; : Displacement at nodal (i) in r direction.

 $v_i$ : Displacement at nodal (i) in z direction.

wtop

: Relative Displacement along the top of interface element.

bottom : Relative Displacement along the bottom of interface element.

top : Relative Displacement normal to the top of interface element.

whottom : Relative Displacement normal to the bottom of interface element.

z; : Axial Co-ordinate of point (i).

z : Axial Co-ordinate of element centroid.

 $\delta_i$ : Displacement at point (i).

 $\sigma_{_{\rm Z}}$  ,  $\sigma_{_{\rm T}}$  ,  $\sigma_{_{\rm \Theta}}$  : Vertical, Radial, and Tangential stresses respectively.

 $\tau_{rz}$  : Shear Stress.

 $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{z}},\; \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{r}},\; \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$  : Vertical, radial, and Tangential

Strains respectively.

 $\gamma_{rz}$ : Shear Strain.

 $\mu$  or  $\nu$  : Poisson's ratio.

 $\Delta$ : Area of Triangle Element.

 $\Delta_{_{\mathbf{S}}}$  : Average Relative Shear Displacement

across interface element.

 $\Delta_{n}$  : Average Relative Normal Displacement

across interface element.

 $\alpha$ , K : Two Coefficients describe the ground

Settlement around a loaded single pile.

 $\phi$  : Angle of Friction of Soil.

? : Density of Soil.

### SUFFIX NOTATION

<sup>(</sup>o) Initial, (r) Radial, (z) Vertical, (h) Horizontal,

<sup>(</sup>c) Granular Pile, (s) Clay, (c.p.) Concrete Part,

<sup>(&#</sup>x27;) Effective, (u) Undrained, (f) Rigid Footing.

## LIST OF FIGURES

FI	G. NO.		PAGE
Fig.	(1.1):	Areas of Soft Clays in Egypt	7
Fig.	(2.1a):	The Torpedo used for Hole Formation	12
Fig.	(2.1b):	Construction using the Torpedo, [after Thorburn, 1968]	12
Fig.	(2.2):	Granular Columns Installation used in Japan since 1955, [Aboshi H., et al, 1979].	13
Fig.	(2.3):	Granular Columns Installation used in India, [Datye and Nagarju, 1981]	13
Fig.	(2.4):	Composite Foundation, [Aboshi H., et al, 1979]	17
Fig.	(2.5a):	The Relation between Time & n, for various Loading Intensities, [after Aboshi H., et al, 1979]	19
Fig.	(2.5b):	The Relation between n & $\sigma$ from Loading Tests on a Composite Foundation Model	19
Fig.	(2.6):	Effect of Granular Columns on the Reduction of Consolidation Settlement	22
Fig.		Predicted Load-Settlement Characteristic for 730 mm diameter column, Compared with Observed Result, [Hughes, et al, 1974]	25
Fig.	(2.8):	Failure Mechanism for Granular Pile-Soil System, [Madhav M.R., et al, 1979]	27
Fig.	(2.9):	Values of Bearing Capacity Factors for Composite Foundation, [after Madhav M.R., et al,1979]	29