A COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN CHILDREN WITH TEMPORAL LOBE EPILEPSY AND THOSE WITH GRAND MAL *EPILEPSY REGARDING SOME COGNITIVE AND* Personality Factors

Submitted in Fulfilmen

For

Ph. D. Degree in Childhood studies

Medical Department

Ashraf Abdel Raouf Mohammed Osman

Prof. Dr

Kadry Mahmoud

Hefny

Prof. Head, of Department

of psychology

Inst. Post. Grad. Child

Studies

Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr

Abdel Latif Moussa

Osman

Prof. Head, of

Department

of Neurology

Faculty of Mediine

Al - Azhar University

Prof. Dr

Afaf Hamed


Prof of Psychiatry.

Faculty of Mediine

Ain - Shams Univer

1997





« فتمالع الله الملح ولايه وقله ربب زحنى علما » أمن عنصنى اليح ولايه وقله ربب زحنى علما »

صحق الله العظيم (طه : ١٤٤)

Acknowledgment

I wish to extend my great thanks to Professor Dr. Kadry Mahmoud Hefny Prof. Head, of Department of psychology Inst. Post. Grad. Child Studies Ain Shams University.

I am profoundly grateful to Professor Dr. Abdel Latif Moussa Osman Prof. Head, of Department of Neurology Faculty of Medicine Al-Azhar University for suggesting the topic of this thesis, his enthusiastic support, encouragement and supply of recent literature.

My sincere thaks and appreciation goes to Professor Dr. Afaf. Hamed Khalil Prof of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine Ain-shams University for her motherly support, guidance and supply of recent literature and for her kindly follow up and encourgement in each step of the thesis.

I feel indebted to Dr. Suzan El Kholy, consultant psychologist, and her colleagues and my other colleagues at the Neuropsychiatric Department, Ain Shams University for their help and support during this work.

That is with great pleasure that I acknowledge the kind assistance and help of Dr. Maged Abdel Kerim, Lecturer, Maha Gaafery and Khaled Mahmoud, Assistant lectures in Community Medicine, Ain Shams University for their help in the statistical work in this thesis.

Last but not least, my deepest love, approciation and admiration goes to my family for their support throughout this work.

Contents

	rwledgment.	
	uction.	1
- Aim oj	f the work.	2
Review	of Literature :	
(1)		
(-)	- Epileptic children; cognitive functions & Behaviour	3
	- Cognitive functions	
	- Effect of Epilepsy on Memory	
	- Behaviour	
<i>(2)</i>	- Relation between childhood epilepsy and cognitive	21
	deterioration.	
(3)	- Anxiety, Mood changes & Epilepsy	23
(4)		26
(-)	- The effect of anticonvulsant drugs on mood and	26
	cognitive functions.	
	- The effect of type of therapy on Cognitive function	
	- The effect of type of therapy on behaviour changes.	
(5)	- Antiepileptic drugs and performanc	35
(6)	- Childhood Epilepsy & education	3

(7)	
- Assessment of cognitive function in epileptic patients	42
(Methods & problems).	
- Assessment of Behaviour disorders in epilepsy.	
(8)	
- Electroencepholozaphic parameters.	56
- Other Investigations	
- Subjects & Methods.	64
- Results	80
- Discussion	258
- Summary and Conclusions	326
- Recomendations	342
- References	345
- Arabic Summary	

LIST OF TABLES

ī	GENERALL	V
I	<i>TENERMLL</i>	

1) PSYCHODEMOGRS&PHIC PROFIL	II.t.	PROFI	PHIC	SA+	NFR	MI	IDF	CHO	PSV	1]	7
------------------------------	-------	-------	------	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	---

I- COMPARISON BETWEEN GME, TLE & CONTROL GROUPS CONCERNING:

	(Table 1)	SOCIO-ECONOMIC FACTORS	86
2)	<u>ILLNES</u>	S PROFILE	
<i>II</i> -	COMPAR	ISON BETWEEN GME, TLE & CONTROL	
GRO	UPS CON	CERNING THE FOLLOWING :	

(Lable 2)	UNSET OF SEIZUKES	01
(Table 3)	FREQUENCY OF SEIZURES:	82
(Table 4)	DURATION	83
(Table 5)	STATUS EPILEPTICUS, FAMILY AN	D PAST
	HISTORY.	84
(Table 6)	PERI-ICTAL CHANGES	85
(Table 7)	CAUSES.	86
(Table 8)	PSYCHIATRIC MORBIDITY	87
(Table 9)	ORGANIC DISEASES, PREGNANCY T	ROUBLES,
	LABOUR TROUBLES AND NEUROTIC	C TRAITS
	HISTORY	88

3) THERAPEUTIC ISSUES

III- COMPARISON BETWEEN GME, TLE & CONTROL GROUPS CONCERNING THE FOLLOWING:

	(Table 10)	DIFFERENT TYPES OF THERAPY	89
	(Table 11)	SIDE EFFECTS / EACH DRUG	90
	(Table 12)	AMOUNT OF DRUG INTAKE / EACH DRUG	. <i>91</i>
		I) MONOTHERAPY	
		2) POLYTHERAPY	
	(Table 13)	DURATION OF DRUG INTAKE	92
	(Tale 14)	COMPLIANCE, SEIZURE CONTROL, SIDE	
	EFFECTS	AND HIGH SERUM LEVEL	93
	(Tale 15) C	COMPLIANCE, SEIZURE CONTROL, SIDE	
EF	FECTS AND	HIGH SERUM LEVEL	94
4)	INVEST	IGATIONS	
	A) PS	<i>CHOMETRY</i>	
	I)A	SSESSMENT OF COGNITIVE	
	FUNCT	IONS:	
	(Table 16)	CODING TEST	95
	(Table 17) 1	DIGIT SPAN TEST	96
	(Table 18)	SIMILARITIES	9 7
H^{\perp}	COMPAR	ISON BETWEEN GME, TLE &	
CO.	VTROL GRO	OUPS IN :	
	(Table 19)	CANCELLATION LETTERS TEST (ERRORS)	98
	(Table 20)	CANCELLATION LETTERS TEST (TIME)	99
		MACHING FAMILIAR FIGURE TEST 0 TOTA	1L
		ERRORS (MFFI)	100
	(Table 22)	MACHING FAMILIAR FIGURE TEST - MEA.	N
		TIME (MFFT)	101

(Table 23)	MACHING FAMILAIAR FIGURE TEST –	
	TOTOAL TIME (MFFT)	102

II) ASSESSMENT OF MOOD:

(Table 24)	F, MEAN AND SD OF DIFFERENT GROUPS OF		
•	(CDI)	103	
(Table 25)	F MFAN AND SD OF DIFFERENT GRO	OUPS OF	

(Table 25) F, MEAN AND SD OF DIFFERENT GROUPS OF (CAS) 103

III) ASSESSMENT OF PERSONALITY:

(Table 26) JENIOR EYSENK PERSONALITY

OUESTIONAIRE (JEPQ) 106

B) EEG

(Table 27) ELECTRO ENCEPHALO GRAM (EEG) 107
(COMPARISON BETWEEN DIFFERENT
PSYCHOLOGICAL & COGNITIVE SCALES
THROUGH EEG FINDINGS)

II) IN DETAILS

1) PSYCHODEMOGRSAPHIC PROFILE

I - COMPARISON BETWEEN GME & TLE GROUPS CONCERNING THE FOLLOWING:

Socio-Economic Factors

	I)SEA:	
(Table 28)	- MALE	109
(Table 29)	- FEMALE:	109
	2) FAMILY SIZE:	
(Table 30)	-<4	110
(Table 31)	->4	110
	3) SIB ORDER:	
(Table 32)	- FIRST TWO	111
(Table 33)	- MORE	111
	4) ROOMS:	
(Table 34)	-1-2	112
(Table 35)	- $MORE \theta$	112
	5) WATED:	
(Table 36)	- YES	113
(Table 37)	-NO	113
	6)CLASS	

(1 able 38)	- RURAL	114
(Table 39)	- URBAN	114
	7) PARENTAL SEPARATION	V
(Table 40)	- YES	115
(Table 41)	- NO	115
	8) FAMILY INCOME:	
(Table 42)	- HIGH	116
(Table 43)	-LOW	116
	9) EDUCATED PARENTS:	
(Table 44)	- YES	117
(Table 45)	- NO	117
EXPLAN	ATION OF PSYCHODEMOGR	<i>APHIC</i>
PROFILE	E TABLES	(121 - 133)

2) ILLNESS PROFILE

II- COMPARISON BETWEEN GME & TLE GROUPS CONCERNING:

1) AGE OF ONSET:

	(Table 46)	< 2 YEARS	118
	(Table 47)	(2-4) YEARS	118
	(Table 48)	> 4 YEARS	119
		2) TYPES OF ONSET:	
	(Table 49)	ABRUPT	120
	(Table 50)	GRADUAL (WITH PRECEPITATING	
		FACTORS)	120
III-	COMPAR	ISON BETWEEN GME & TLE GROU	JPS
CON	<i>VCERNING</i>		

3) FREQUENCY

	1) PAST SEIZURE FREQUENC	Y:
(Table 51)	FREQUENT/DAY	135
(Table 52)	FREQUENT/WEEK	135
(Table 53)	FREQUENT/MONTH	136
	2) CURRENT SEIZURE FREQU	ENCY:
(Table 54)	FREQUENT/DAY	137
(Table 55)	FREQUENT / WEEK	137
(Table 56)	FREQUENT/MONTH	138
(Table 57)	NONE	138
	4) DURATION	
	I) DURATION OF ILLNES	SS:
(Table 58)	< 6 MONTHS	139
(Table 59)	(6 MONTHS - 2 YEARS)	139
(Table 60)	(2 YEARS - 5 YEARS)	140
(Table 61)	> 5 YEARS	140
	2) LONGEST SEIZURE FOR PER	RIOD :
(Table 62)	< 6 MONTHS	141
(Table 63)	(6 MONTHS - 2 YEARS)	141
	5) STATUS EPILEPTICUS, P	POSITIVE
	FAMILY AND POSITIVE PA	ST HISTORY
	1) STATUS EPILEPTICUS HISTO	ORY
(Table 64)	WITH	142
(Table 65)	WITHOUT	142
	2) POSITIVE FAMILY HISTORY	<i>:</i>
(Table 66)	WITH	143
(Table 67)	WITHOUT	143
	3) POSITIVE PAST SISTORY:	
(Table 68) WITH		144
Table 69) WITHOUT		111

6) PATIENTS WITH ORGANIC DISEASE,	,
PREGNANCY AND LABOUR TROUBLES	
1) WITH ORGANIC DISEASE:	
(Table 70) - YES	145
(Table 71) - NO	145
2) PREGNANCY TROUBLES:	
(Table 72) - YES	146
(Table 73) - NO	146
3) LABOUR TROUBLES:	
(Table 74) - YES	147
(Table 75) - NO	147
4) NEUROTIC TRANITS:	
(Table 76) - YES	148
(Table 77) - NO	148
IV- COMPARISON BETWEEN GME & TLE GH	ROUPS
CONCERNING:	
7) PERI-ICTAL CHANGES	
I) PRODRIMA:	
(Table 78) - WITH	149
- WITHOUT	
2) AURA:	
(Table 79) - WITH	150
- WITHOUT	
3) ICTAL CHANGES:	
(Table 80) - WITH	151
- WITHOUT	
4) POST ICTAL CHANGES :	
(Table 81) - WITH	152
WITHOUT	