

ROLE OF DYNAMIC SONOGRAPHY IN ULNAR NERVE ENTRAPMENT AT ELBOW

An Essay

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of the M.SC. Degree in
Radiodiagnosis*

By

Salwa Yahia Ahmed Al-Dhorani

(M.B., B.Ch.)

Faculty of Medicine-Cairo University

Supervised By

Dr. Hatem Mohamed Al-Azizi

Assistant Professor of Radiodiagnosis

Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University

Dr. Hanan Helmy El-Gendy

Assistant Professor of Neurology

Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University

Dr. Mohamed Mosaad Mohamed

Lecturer of Radiodiagnosis

Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University

Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University

2015

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قَالَ

سَبَّحَانَكَ يَا عَلِيمٌ لَنَا
إِلَٰهًا مَا عَلِمْنَا إِنَّكَ أَنْتَ
الْعَلِيمُ الْعَظِيمُ

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢



Acknowledgement

*First and foremost, thanks to **Allah**, to whom I relate any success in achieving any work in my life.*

I would like to extend my deep gratitude and cordial thanks to every one that helped, encouraged and believed in the value of this piece of work.

*Foremost, I would like to express my appreciation for **Dr. Hatem Mohamed Saeed Al-azizi**, Assistant Professor of Radiodiagnosis, Faculty of medicine, Cairo University, for his kind supervision, kind advice constructive encouragement, generous help and guidance through the whole work which could not be a fact without his guidance and kind help.*

*I would like to express my great thanks to **Dr. Hanan Helmy El-gendy**, Assistant Professor of Neurology, Faculty of medicine, Cairo University, for her kind advice, supervision and help through the whole work.*

*I would like to express my great thanks to **Dr. Mohamed Mosaad Mohamed**, Lecturer of Radiodiagnosis, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for his kind cooperation, dedication and help he showed throughout the work.*

I would like also to thank all my professors, senior staff members and my fellow colleagues for their help and cooperation through out the conduction of this work.

At last, but definitely not the least, I deeply thank my family for their support and encouragement to complete this work.

Salwa Yahia Al-Dhorani- Cairo, 2015





Dedication

To the soul of my father.

*And to my mother, family and friends with
love*

for their never ending-support.

Salwa



Abstract

The development of high resolution ultrasound has allowed evaluation of normal and abnormal ultrasound appearance of the ulnar nerve. Ultrasound provides a unique method for evaluation of the ulnar nerve at the elbow because it allows direct imaging of nerve as well as changes in nerve shape, size, echo texture & position during dynamic sonographic study of the nerve.

The high resolution dynamic ultrasound helps in evaluation of the ulnar nerve during the extension & different degrees of elbow flexion. It has the ability to follow nerve over a long distance in a limb. The color and power Doppler improve the ability to evaluate the nerve by evaluation of nearby vessel.

Entrapment neuropathy of the ulnar nerve at elbow is a disorder results from injury to a nerve as it travels through cubital osteofibrous tunnel.

Ultrasound provides a unique opportunity for clinicians to discover anatomic correlation for clinical and electrophysiological findings in patients with nerve entrapment. The US proved efficacy both as a first-line and in the follow-up period. US revealed morphological information about the exact location, intensity and extent of nerve entrapment.

Key word:

1. Ultrasound
2. nerve entrapment
3. upper limb

List of Contents

	Page
List of Abbreviations	I
List of Tables	II
List of Figures	IV
Introduction and Aim of the Work	1
Review of Literature	
Chapter (1): <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Anatomy and Technique	4
Chapter (2): <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Pathology and clinical picture	24
Patients & Methods	52
Results	59
Case presentation	74
Discussion	91
Summary and Conclusion	99
References	102
Arabic Summary	

List of Abbreviations

UNE	Ulnar Nerve Entrapment syndrome
EN	Entrapment Neuropathy
PN	Peripheral Nerve
UN, UL	Ulnar Nerve
MSUS	Musculoskeletal Ultrasound
HRUS	High Resolution Ultrasound
US	Ultrasonography
FCU	Flexor Carpi Ulnaris muscle
CSA	Cross Sectional Area
FR	Flattening Ratio
BDR	Breadth Depth Ratio
HNPP	Hereditary Neuropathy with liability to Pressure Palsies
CI	Confident Interval
MCL	Medial Collateral Ligament
ME	Medial Epicondyle
LE	Lateral Epicondyle
OLE, OL	Olecranon process
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
CT	Computed Tomography
MCP	Metacarpophalangeal joint
IP	Interphalangeal joint
TM	Triceps muscle
BM	Body Mass Index

List of Tables

Table	Title	Page
Table 1	Reference cross sectional area of ulnar nerve above, below & at elbow among males & females.	24
Table 2	Mean, range of ulnar nerve cross sectional area & 95% CI among control group.	60
Table 3	Mean, range & 95% CI of ulnar nerve flattening ratio among control group.	60
Table 4	Mean& range of ulnar nerve medial shift within the cubital groove during elbow flexion.	61
Table 5	The ulnar nerve echogenicity & its percentage among control group.	62
Table 6	Mean & range of ulnar nerve cross sectional area among case non- manifested group	64
Table 7	Mean & range of ulnar nerve flattening ratio among case non- manifested group.	64
Table 8	The ulnar nerve echogenicity & its percentage among case non- manifested group.	65
Table 9	The ulnar nerve entrapment syndrome different causes & its percentage among the case non- manifested group.	66
Table 10	The calculated P-value of control & case non- manifested group.	67
Table 11	Mean & range of ulnar nerve cross sectional area among case manifested group	69
Table 12	Mean & range of ulnar nerve flattening ratio among case manifested group.	69

Table 13	The ulnar nerve echogenicity & its percentage among case manifested group.	70
Table 14	The ulnar nerve entrapment syndrome different causes & its percentage among the case manifested group.	71
Table 15	The calculated P-value of control & case manifested group.	72
Table 16	The calculated P-value of case non-manifested & case manifested group.	73

List of Figures

Figure	Title	Page
Figure 1	Photograph of the posteromedial aspect of the elbow, Schematic drawing of the cubital tunnel on cross-section view & Gross dissection of the cubital tunnel illustrates the course of the ulnar nerve.	4
Figure 2	Cross section through the middle of upper arm	5
Figure 3	Anatomy of guyon's tunnel	7
Figure 4	Cross section through distal forearm.	7
Figure 5	Branches of ulnar nerve.	8
Figure 6	Schematic drawing of the passage of ulnar nerve through the cubital tunnel	9
Figure 7	Cross section of the peripheral nerve demonstrating its internal structure.	10
Figure 8	Transverse & longitudinal US image showing normal ultrasound appearance of ulnar nerve at cubital groove.	11
Figure 9	Anatomic dissection, Transverse & longitudinal US image showing the very close association of the ulnar nerve, medial epicondyle and nearby olecranon.	13
Figure 10	Transverse US images obtained over the cubital tunnel of the elbow in extension and flexion showing Entrapment neuropathy.	14
Figure 11	Diagrammatic illustration of Ultrasound pulse transducer.	16
Figure 12 (a & b)	Photograph, Transverse, longitudinal US image & schematic drawing of short & long axes view of the ulnar nerve at cubital canal.	19
Figure 13	Schematic drawing of ulnar nerve at elbow joint.	24
Figure 14	Area of pain and numbness in cubital tunnel syndrome.	34

Figure 15	Longitudinal US view showing sonographic appearance of ulnar nerve in cubital tunnel entrapment neuropathy.	36
Figure 16	Transverse US image of the cubital groove in a normal and a pathological subject.	36
Figure 17	Transverse US & X-Ray (AP) images of elbow joint in patient with ulnar nerve entrapment neuropathy due to previous supracondylar fracture.	37
Figure 18	Transverse US images & correlative anatomic drawings showing dynamic impingement of the ulnar nerve in the cubital tunnel in a patient with symptoms of ulnar neuropathy.	38
Figure 19	Transverse US images showing ulnar nerve subluxation during elbow flexion.	39
Figure 20	Cross-sectional area measurements of the ulnar nerve during elbow extension & flexion in patient with ulnar nerve entrapment neuropathy.	40
Figure 21	Longitudinal US image showing ulnar nerve compression at elbow by fibrous band.	41
Figure 22	Longitudinal US & axial CT images of Cubital tunnel syndrome in a 65-year-old man with a history of trauma to the elbow and heterotopic ossification in the cubital tunnel area.	42
Figure 23	Transverse & longitudinal US images of Cubital tunnel syndrome in a 47-year-old woman with post traumatic changes in the elbow resulting in cubitus valgus deformity.	42
Figure 24	Longitudinal US image of Cubital tunnel syndrome: ganglion.	43
Figure 25	Transverse US images & axial T1WI of Cubital tunnel syndrome in Postoperative patient with recurrence of	43

	symptoms after decompressive surgery of the ulnar nerve at the cubital tunnel for a ganglion cyst.	
Figure 26	Transverse, longitudinal US images & axial T1WI of Cubital tunnel syndrome in patient with superficial soft-tissue mass on the posteromedial aspect of elbow: lipoma	44
Figure 27	Transverse US image of Cubital tunnel syndrome due to accessory anconeus epitrochlearis muscle.	45
Figure 28	Longitudinal US image of Cubital tunnel syndrome due to hypertrophic aberrant ulnar artery.	45
Figure 29	Transverse & longitudinal US images of Cubital tunnel syndrome due to edema of the ulnar nerve.	46
Figure 30	Series of Transverse US images of Cubital tunnel syndrome in patient with supracondylar fracture of humerus with dislocated ulnar nerve.	47
Figure 31	Transverse US images of Cubital tunnel syndrome in a patient who developed ulnar nerve palsy after a set of operations with rather long duration (neuroma formation) in compare to the contralateral normal side.	49
Figure 32	Longitudinal US image of Cubital tunnel syndrome in patient who cut himself with a knife showing interposition scar tissue.	49
Figure 33	Transverse & longitudinal US images of Cubital tunnel syndrome in patient after decompression of cubital groove floor with recurrence of entrapment symptoms.	50
Figure 34	Photography showing proper position of HRUS examination of ulnar nerve at elbow.	54
Figure 35	Transverse US image showing direct tracing method of ulnar nerve CSA measurement.	55

Figure 36	Transverse US images showing measurements of short & long axes of ulnar nerve.	56
Figure 37	Diagrammatic representation for percentage of ulnar nerve medial shift among control group.	62
Figure 38	Diagrammatic representation for percentage of ulnar nerve echogenicity among control group.	63
Figure 39	Diagrammatic representation for percentage of ulnar nerve echogenicity among case non-manifested group.	65
Figure 40	Diagrammatic representation for percentage of UNE syndrome different causes among case non-manifested group.	66
Figure 41	Diagrammatic representation for percentage of ulnar nerve echogenicity among case manifested group.	70
Figure 42	Diagrammatic representation for percentage of UNE syndrome different causes among case manifested group.	71



INTRODUCTION

Ulnar nerve Entrapment at elbow is the second most common entrapment neuropathy after the median nerve entrapment at the wrist, is relatively common clinical syndrome characterized by neurologic manifestations caused by mechanical or dynamic compression of the ulnar nerve at elbow. Radiologists are often required to evaluate ulnar nerve entrapment at elbow to rule out soft tissue lesions as a potential cause of the syndrome (**Beltran and Rosenberg 1994**).

The diagnosis of ulnar nerve entrapment at cubital osteofibrous tunnel relies primarily on clinical and electrodiagnostic findings. Electrodiagnostic technology has evolved at a slower pace than imaging and if the trends continue imaging could begin to supplant some current forms of diagnostic evaluation of nerve function (**Walker et al., 2004**).

High-resolution ultrasonography (HRUS) can be used to clarify a suspected diagnosis of ulnar nerve entrapment (UNE) neuropathy at the elbow using assessments of nerve shape, echogenicity and the appearances of the surrounding anatomy. A small number of studies have provided evidence that HRUS measurements of the ulnar nerve diameter and cross-sectional area can discriminate between individuals with and without UNE. Therefore, HRUS measurements offer potential quantitative tools for the diagnosis of UNE.



The refinement of high-frequency broadband transducers with a range of 5–15 MHz, sophisticated focusing in the near field and sensitive color & power Doppler technology have improved the ability to evaluate the ulnar nerve entrapment in cubital osteofibrous tunnel with high resolution ultrasonography (**Martinoli et al., 2000**).

High-resolution ultrasound is a rapidly developing technique that is gaining popularity for the evaluation of the ulnar nerve, especially the entrapment neuropathy. The reference values obtained in this study could be used for the ultrasonographical diagnosis and follow-up of the ulnar nerve lesions. The analyzed relations of nerve cross-sectional area, flattening ratio as well as width & depth of cubital groove during elbow extension & different degrees of elbow flexion will be particularly helpful to interpret the reference values according to individual confounding factors.

Electrodiagnostical evaluation of ulnar nerve lesions sometimes could be a challenging deal even for the experienced electrophysiologist. Nerve conduction studies may fail to localize and diagnose ulnar nerve lesions with purely sensory fibers involved or lesion with selective fascicular involvement. Also, ulnar nerve displacement at the elbow could cause errors in distance measurement and also overestimation of nerve conduction velocity. This overestimation may cause false-negative diagnosis. It is stated that when electrodiagnostic evaluation is normal, patients with clear symptoms of ulnar neuropathy and ulnar nerve displacement probability should be considered and ultrasonography would be beneficial for further research (**Bayrak et al., 2010**).