

**RESERVOIR EVALUATION OF SOME MIOCENE  
FORMATIONS IN SHUKHEIR AND KAREEM  
OIL FIELDS, GULF OF SUEZ, EGYPT**

**A THESIS**

Submitted in Partial Fulfilment for the Requirements of the  
**MASTER DEGREE OF SCIENCE**  
( Geology )

By

**SALAH EL-DIN ABDEL WAHAB MOHAMED MOUSA**

B. Sc. ( Hons. )

Department of Geology  
Faculty of Science  
Ain Shams University  
Cairo, A. R. E.

**1984**

## N O T E

The present thesis is submitted from Salah Eldin Abdel Wahab Mohamed Mousa to Ain Shams University in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Master Degree of Science in Geology.

Beside the research work materialized in this thesis, the candidate has attended nine of the post-graduate courses during one year in the following topics :

- Field Mapping
- Tectonic Position of Egypt
- Laboratory Techniques.
- Structural Geology
- Geotectonics
- Data Processing
- Applied Geophysics
- Potential Theory
- Filtering Techniques.

He has successfully passed the final examination of these courses. In addition, the student has successfully passed the German language examination.

Prof. Dr. Mohamed E. Hilmy

Head, Department of Geology



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to express his sincere appreciation to Prof. Dr. Nasser M. Hassan, Geology Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, and to Dr. Abdel Moktader A. El-Sayed, in the same department for suggesting the problem, supervising the work, offering valuable suggestions during the progress of the investigations and for critical reading of the manuscript.

The author is deeply indebted to the authorities of the the Exploration Department, General Petroleum Company for providing the necessary core samples and logs needed for the present study.

The author also gratefully acknowledges Dr. Fawzy H. Hamza for his help in the environmental biofacies interpretations.

A special appreciation is also expressed to all the staff members of the Geology Department, Ain Shams University for their continuous interest and encouragement.

## LIST OF CONTENTS

<u>Chapter No.</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Page</u>
ABSTRACT. . . . .		
1. GENERAL GEOLOGY. . . . .		1
1.1. Introduction. . . . .		1
1.2. Stratigraphy. . . . .		1
1.2.1. Post Miocene . . . . .		2
1.2.2. Miocene. . . . .		3
1.2.2.1. Ras Malaab Group. . . . .		3
1.2.2.2. Gharandal Group . . . . .		6
1.2.3. Pre-Miocene. . . . .		8
1.2.3.1. Eocene. . . . .		8
1.2.3.2. Paleocene . . . . .		9
1.2.3.3. Cretaceous System . . . . .		10
1.2.3.4. Lower Carboniferous . . . . .		11
1.3. Structural pattern and tectonics. . . . .		13
1.4. Evolution of the Gulf of Suez . . . . .		14
2. ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS . . . . .		
2.1. Introduction. . . . .		18
2.2. Environment of deposition deduced from the textural parameters for Shukheir Sandstones. . . . .		19
2.2.1. Grain Size analysis. . . . .		19
2.2.2. Textural parameters. . . . .		21
2.2.3. Multivariate textural parameters . . . . .		27
2.2.3.1. C-M diagram . . . . .		27
2.2.3.2. Skewness versus Kurtosis. . . . .		28
2.2.3.3. Skewness versus inclusive standard deviation . . . . .		28
2.2.3.4. Mean size vs. inclusive standard deviation . . . . .		28
2.3. Environmental interpretation deduced from the bio- facies analysis for the Kareem field limestones . . .		29
2.3.1. Introduction . . . . .		29
2.3.2. Biofacies thin section description . . . . .		31

Chapter No.	Subject	Page
3.	STORAGE CAPACITY PROPERTIES	
3.1.	Preparation of the studied core samples for the petro- physical measurements . . . . .	34
3.2.	Porosity. . . . .	35
3.2.1.	Definition . . . . .	36
3.2.2.	Determination of porosity. . . . .	37
3.2.3.	Statistical analysis of porosity data. . . . .	40
3.2.4.	Storage capacity . . . . .	47
3.2.5.	Relation between porosity and grain size para- meters . . . . .	50
3.2.5.1.	Porosity ( $\emptyset$ ) vs. mean grain size (Mz)	50
3.2.5.2.	Porosity ( $\emptyset$ ) vs. formation fines. . .	50
3.3.	Permeability. . . . .	52
3.3.1.	Definition . . . . .	52
3.3.2.	Gas permeability measurements. . . . .	53
3.3.3.	Statistical analysis of permeability data. . .	54
3.3.4.	Permeability capacity. . . . .	60
3.3.5.	Relation between the permeability and grain size parameters. . . . .	60
3.3.5.1.	Permeability (K) vs. mean grain size (Mz). . . . .	60
3.3.5.2.	Permeability (K) vs. formation fines.	63
3.3.6.	Permeability-porosity cross-plot . . . . .	63
3.4.	Rock density properties . . . . .	65
3.4.1.	Grain density ( $\rho_g$ ). . . . .	65
3.4.1.1.	Grain density ( $\rho_g$ ) vs. porosity ( $\emptyset$ ). . .	66
3.4.1.2.	Grain density ( $\rho_g$ ) vs. mean grain diameter (Mz) . . . . .	69
3.4.2.	Bulk density ( $\rho_b$ ) vs. porosity ( $\emptyset$ ). . . . .	69
3.4.2.1.	Bulk density ( $\rho_b$ ) vs. porosity ( $\emptyset$ ) . . .	69
3.4.2.2.	Bulk density ( $\rho_b$ ) vs. formation fine content (Cfc%). . . . .	71

<u>Chapter No.</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Page</u>
4.	PORE SPACE GEOMETRY AND MORPHOLOGY	
4.1.	Introduction. . . . .	72
4.2.	Insoluble residue technique . . . . .	74
4.3.	Thin section petrography. . . . .	75
4.4.	Scanning electron microscope (SEM). . . . .	77
4.5.	X-ray diffraction analysis. . . . .	79
4.5.1.	Procedure. . . . .	80
4.5.2.	Mineral identification . . . . .	81
4.5.3.	X-ray peaks intensity measurements, and mineral evaluation . . . . .	82
5.	ELECTRICAL PROPERTIES OF ROCKS	
5.1.	Electric resistivity. . . . .	85
5.1.1.	Electric resistivity measurements of brine satu- rated core samples from Kareem and Shukheir oil fields . . . . .	86
5.1.2.	Formation resistivity factor of rocks. . . . .	87
5.1.3.	Formation factor-porosity relation . . . . .	90
5.2.	Cation exchange capacity. . . . .	95
5.3.	Resistivity index (I) - Water saturation (Sw) relation- ship. . . . .	100
	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS . . . . .	106
	REFERENCES. . . . .	112
	ARABIC SUMMARY	

<u>LIST OF FIGURES</u>		
<u>Fig.</u> <u>No.</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>After</u> <u>Page</u>
1.	Location map of Shukheir and Kareem Oil Fields. . . . .	1
2.	Generalized Geologic column of the Gulf of Suez (After Barakat, 1982). . . . .	2
3.	Ideal composite log for Kareem oil field. . . . .	2
4.	Ideal composite log for Shukheir oil field. . . . .	2
5.	Correlation chart of Belayim Formation in Shukheir wells, 1, 2 and 4 showing the location of the selected core samples . . . . .	12
6.	Correlation chart of Nukhul Formation in Kareem wells 6, 9, 10, 11, 14, 21, 25 and 28 showing the location of the selected core samples . . . . .	12
7.	Normal probability distribution of grain size analysis of the studied Shukheir sandstones. . . . .	22
8.	C-M plot for the studied sandstone samples of Shukheir oil field . . . . .	28
9.	Bivariant plot of kurtosis vs. skewness after Mason and Folk (1958) for Shukheir rock samples . . . . .	28
10.	Bivariant plot of skewness vs. inclusive standard deviation after Friedman (1967) and Moiola and Weiser (1968) for Shukheir rock samples. . . . .	28
11.	Bivariant plot of Mean size vs. inclusive standard deviation after Friedman (1967) and Weiser (1968) for Shukheir sandstone samples . . . . .	29
12.	Porosity histogram, frequency polygon and cumulative frequency polygon of the studied samples. . . . .	43
13.	Cumulative frequency distribution of porosity of Kareem field core samples . . . . .	47
14.	Cumulative frequency distribution of porosity of Shukheir core samples. . . . .	47
15.	Distribution of porosity capacity for core samples of Kareem and Shukeir fields . . . . .	47
16.	Porosity versus the mean grain size of Shukheir samples .	50



<u>Fig. No.</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>After Page</u>
17.	Relationship between porosity and fine content of Shukheir samples . . . . .	50
18.	Permeability histogram, frequency polygon and cumulative frequency polygon for the studied core samples. . . . .	54
19.	Distribution of permeability capacity of the studied Kareem and Shukheir core samples. . . . .	60
20.	Relationship between Permeability and the mean size for the studied Shukheir core samples . . . . .	63
21.	Relationship between gas permeability and the fine content for Shukheir samples. . . . .	63
22.	Gas permeability versus porosity of Kareem and Shukheir core samples. . . . .	63
23.	Probability frequency distribution of grain density of the studied samples of Kareem and Shukheir fields . . . . .	66
24.	Relationship between the porosity and the grain density of the studied samples . . . . .	66
25.	Grain density versus mean diameter for Shukheir samples .	69
26.	Cumulative relative frequency for the bulk density of the studied samples . . . . .	69
27.	Relationship between the bulk density of samples of Kareem field and its porosity. . . . .	69
28.	Relationship between the bulk density of the Shukheir samples and its porosity. . . . .	69
29.	Relationship between the bulk density and the fine content for Shukheir core samples . . . . .	71
30.	The insoluble residue analysis. . . . .	75
31.	X-ray diffractogram for sample No. 6, Shukheir Well No. 1	81
32.	X-ray diffractogram for sample No. 18, Shukheir Well No. 2	81
33.	X-ray diffractogram for sample No. 2, Kareem Well No. 9 .	81
34.	X-ray diffractogram for sample No. 1, Kareem Well No. 14.	81

<u>Fig. No.</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Page</u>
35.	Relationship between formation resistivity factor (F) and porosity ( $\phi$ ) for the studied core samples of Kareem field at different concentrations . . . . .	91
36.	Relationship between formation resistivity factor (F) and porosity ( $\phi$ ) for the studied Shukheir core samples at different concentrations . . . . .	91
37.	Logarithm of the apparent formation factor (Fa) versus (Log Rw) <sup>2</sup> for the studied Kareem and Shukheir core samples	96
38.	Relationship between the ratio of experimental to extrapolated factor for various values of shaliness factor (b) and water resistivity for the studied samples . . . . .	99
39.	Relationship between the extrapolated formation resistivity factor and porosity of the studied samples at hypothetical Rw = 0.01 ohm.m . . . . .	99
40.	Relationship between the resistivity index (I) and the water saturation (Sw) for the studied rock samples. . . .	101

## LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.	Mechanical analysis data for the studied Shukheir samples	23
2.	The calculated phi values of the studied Shukheir samples	24
3.	Statistical grain size parameters, for the studied Shukheir samples. . . . .	26
4.	Summary of environmental interpretation by different graphical size parameters techniques for Shukheir sandstones	30
5.	Porosity measurement of Kareem field samples. . . . .	41
6.	Porosity measurement of Shukheir samples . . . . .	42
7.	Calculation of the standard deviation of porosity data for Nukhul core samples of Kareem field. . . . .	45
8.	Calculation of the standard deviation of porosity data for the Belayim core samples of Shukheir field . . . . .	46
9.	Calculation of porosity distribution from classified data for the Kareem oil field . . . . .	48
10.	Calculation of porosity distribution from classified data for the Shukheir oil field . . . . .	49
11.	Porosity ( $\phi$ ), mean size diameter ( $M_z$ ), and fine contents (Clc%) values for the studied Belayim sandstone samples. .	51
12.	Permeability measurements for core samples from Kareem and Shukheir oil fields. . . . .	55
13.	Statistical analysis of the permeability data for the studied core samples of kareem field. . . . .	56
14.	Statistical analysis of the permeability data for the studied Shukheir core samples. . . . .	57
15.	Statistical determination of the standard deviation of permeability data for core samples of Kareem field. . . .	61
16.	Statistical determination of the standard deviation of permeability data for Shukheir core samples . . . . .	62
17.	Gas permeability, porosity, mean size diameters and fine content data of Shukheir core samples. . . . .	64

<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Page</u>
18.	Frequency distribution of the determined grain density values ( $\rho_g$ ) for core samples of Kareem field. . . . .	67.
19.	Frequency distribution of the determined grain density values ( $\rho_g$ ) for Shukheir core samples . . . . .	67
20.	Measured porosity ( $\phi$ ), permeability (K), grain density ( $\rho_g$ ), and bulk density ( $\rho_b$ ) values for the studied samples. . . . .	68
21.	Frequency distribution of the bulk density values ( $\rho_b$ ) for Kareem field core samples . . . . .	70
22.	Frequency distribution of the bulk density values ( $\rho_b$ ) for Shukheir core samples. . . . .	70
23.	Insoluble residue results and rock names of the studied Kareem and Shukheir fields core samples. . . . .	76
24.	The percentage of the peak intensities of the studied Belayim , Shukheir samples . . . . .	82
25.	The measured resistivity values of core samples of Kareem field saturated by different NaCl concentrations . . . . .	92
26.	The measured resistivity values of Shukheir core samples saturated by different NaCl concentrations . . . . .	93
27.	Measurements of the shaliness factor (b) of the studied samples of Kareem field. . . . .	97
28.	Measurements of the shaliness factor (b) of the studied Shukheir samples . . . . .	98
29.	Measured resistivity values ( $R_t$ ) at different water saturation ( $S_w$ ) obtained at different regims of the centrifuge for Kareem field limestone samples. . . . .	102
30.	Measured resistivity values ( $R_t$ ) at different water saturation ( $S_w$ ) obtained at different regims of the centrifuge for Shukheir sandstone samples . . . . .	104

<u>LIST OF PLATES</u>		
<u>Plate</u> <u>No.</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>After</u> <u>Page</u>
1.	The biofacies analysis for the studied limestone samples from Nukhul Formation in Kareem oil field. . . . .	32
2.	Thin section investigation of some sandstone samples obtained from Belayim Formation, in Shukheir oil field .	75
3.	The scanning electron micrographs for some samples in question . . . . .	77

## ABSTRACT

Petrophysical studies on the reservoir rocks play an important role in the discovery, evaluation and distribution of the productive zones. Oil and gas reservoirs exhibit complex variations of reservoir continuity and pore space geometry (porosity and permeability).

The storage capacity properties which affect the ability of a rock to store fluids are porosity, permeability and rock density. These properties are measured directly using different laboratory techniques, or they are evaluated with other tools through their relations such as the formation resistivity factor and resistivity index.

The present study deals with the petrophysical studies on some Miocene rocks from both Kareem and Shukheir oil fields in the Eastern Desert. For such study 29 core samples were selected representing the Miocene sections encountered in 12 wells scattered in both Kareem and Shukheir oil fields. Out of the 29 core samples, only 14 samples are representing Nukhul Formation in the bore holes number 6, 9, 10, 11, 14, 21, 25, 26 and 28 in the Kareem oil field. The rest are comprising the Belayim Formation encountered in the bore holes number 1, 2 and 4 in the Shukheir oil field. These samples were extracted from the residual oil, then; petrophysical and petrographical studies were carried out on them.

The area under study which lies between latitude 28° 00' and 28° 15' N. and longitude 33° 00' and 33° 15' E, was discovered by the General Petroleum Company as a result of geophysical surveying. Kareem and Shukheir oil fields embrace an area of about 7.4 and 54.0 Km<sup>2</sup> respectively.

The field of study includes the following :

1. General geology which includes the stratigraphy, structural setting and geologic history of the Gulf of Suez with reference to the area under investigation.
2. Environmental analysis based on grain size analysis of Shukheir sandstone samples and biofacies analysis of the Kareem limestones.
3. Study of the storage capacity properties including : porosity, permeability and density of the rock samples and their relationships to the lithologic properties with respect to hydrocarbon accumulations and flowing capacity of the geologic intervals in question.
4. Study of pore geometry and its morphology for the selected samples and their diagenetic effect on the reservoir properties, based on the insoluble residue technique, thin section petrography, scanning electron microscope and X-ray diffraction analysis.
5. Study of electrical properties of the rock samples as electrical resistivity, cation exchange capacity and resistivity index, and their relationships to the storage capacity and lithologic properties.