

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FERTILIZATION AND PLANT  
DISTRIBUTION WITH YIELD AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
FACTORS IN MAIZE FIELDS

By

Abo-Bakr Ahmed El-Sayed Amin

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment  
of  
the requirements for the degree of



DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

Agricultural Science

(Agronomy)

633.15  
A.A

47589

Department of Agronomy  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Ain Shams University



1994

## APPROVAL SHEET

### RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FERTILIZATION AND PLANT DISTRIBUTION WITH YIELD AND ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS IN MAIZE FIELDS

By

Abo-Bakr Ahmed El-Sayed Amin

B. Sc. (Agronomy), Ain Shams Univ., 1978

M. Sc. (Agronomy), Ain Shams Univ., 1987

This thesis for Ph. D. has been approved by:

Prof. Dr. Gaber Abdel-Latif Sary .G.A.Sary....

Prof. of Agron., Fac. of Agric., Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ.

Prof. Dr. Olfat Hassan El-Bagoury .O.H.Bagoury....

Prof. of Agron., Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ.

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Shokry Reiad .M.Sh.Reiad..

Prof. of Agron., Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ.

Date : 23/1 / 1994



RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FERTILIZATION AND PLANT  
DISTRIBUTION WITH YIELD AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
FACTORS IN MAIZE FIELDS

By

Abo-Bakr Ahmed El-Sayed Amin

B. Sc. (Agriculture), Ain Shams Univ., 1978

M. Sc. (Agronomy), Ain Shams Univ., 1987

Under the supervision of:

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Shokry Reiad

Prof. of Agronomy, Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ.

Dr. Metwally A. Hamada

Ass. Prof. of Agronomy, Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ.

Prof. Dr. Sabry M.H. El-Naggar

Prof., Plant Dept., National Research Center

ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were conducted in the Agriculture Experimental Station of National Research Center at Shalakan (Kalubia Governorate) during 1989 and 1990 seasons to study the relationship between nitrogen, zinc sulphate, fertilization rates and plant distribution and density on growth, yield and its components of maize plants (*Zea mays* L.) as well as light penetration percentage, soil temperature and moisture. The results indicated that.

1. Increasing nitrogen rates from 70 to 140 kg N/fed. and zinc sulphate rates added foliarly from 0.0 to 2000 ppm caused a significant increase in maize plant height; number of leaves/plant; leaf area (LA); leaf area index (LAI); crop growth rate (CGR); dry weight of plant, leaves, stems, tassel and ears, Ear height; diameter, number of rows/ear, number of kernels/row, seed index; grain yield/plant; grain and stover yield/fed.; biological yield; shelling percentage; harvest index; number of two ears/plant; grain

content of total carbohydrate, crude protein and zinc, and soil temperature, while number of barren plants, lodged plants, soil moisture and rate of light penetration were decreased.

2. Increasing plant density from 20 to 30 thousand plant/fed/ and decreasing distance between hills from 60 to 30 cm induced significant increase in plant height, LAI, SLW, grain, stover yield and biological yield/fed., barren and lodged plants and soil temperature, while significant decrease were obtained in LA, RGR, CGR, NAR, dry weight of the whole plant, leaves, stems, tassel and ears; ear height, length, diameter, number of rows/ear, number of kernels/row, seed index, grain yield/plant, shelling %, harvest index, number of two ears/plant, grain content of carbohydrate, protein and zinc, light penetration and soil moisture content.
3. Highly significant and positive simple correlation was obtained between grain and stover yield and soil temperature and nitrogen, zinc rates, while it was negative with light penetration and soil moisture.
4. Multiple correlation between grain and stover yield and both of N, Zn rates, plant density and light penetration, soil moisture and moisture were highly significant.

---

Key Words: Plant density and distribution, Nitrogen fertilizer, Zinc sulphate, Light penetration, Soil moisture, Soil temperature, Growth, Yield, Total carbohydrate, crude protein, zinc content, ear characters, RGR, CGR, NAR, LA, LAI, SLW, Lodged plants, Barren plants, Grain yield, Stover yield, Maize, Corn, *Zea mays*.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I wish to express to deep sense of gratitude and thanks to Prof. Dr. Mohamed Shokry R. Hassanin, Professor of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his supervision, constant guidance, valuable discussion and suggestion throughout the whole period of this investigation.

My deep thanks are also due to Prof. Dr. A. A. Abd El-Gawad, Prof. of Agron., Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ., for his sharing in the suggestion of the investigation and for his encouragement.

Sincere thanks are extended to Dr. Metwally A. Hamada, Associate Professor of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for supervision, valuable guidance and continuous help during the course of investigation.

Sincere thanks and appreciation to Dr. Sabry M. H. El-Naggar, Professor of Plant Department, National Research Center, for his supervision, encouragement and help during the preparation of the manuscript.

I am also greatly indebted to the Head and Staff members of the Plant Department at the National Research Center, for all facilities given to me.

## CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE .....	3
1. Nitrogen fertilizer .....	3
A. Growth characters .....	3
B. Yield and its components .....	8
C. Chemical composition .....	15
2. Zinc sulphate .....	16
A. Growth characters .....	16
B. Yield and its components .....	19
C. Chemical composition .....	23
3. Plant distribution and density .....	24
A. Growth characters .....	24
B. Yield and its components .....	32
C. Chemical composition .....	43
4. Environmental factors .....	44
A. Growth characters .....	44
B. Yield and its components .....	49
III. MATERIAL AND METHODS .....	58
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	67
1. Effect of nitrogen fertilization rates on:	
A. Growth characters .....	67
B. Environmental factors.....	81
C. Yield and its components .....	88
D. Chemical composition .....	101

Cont.:

	Page
2. Effect of foliar application of zinc sulphate on:	
A. Growth characters .....	105
B. Environmental factors.....	116
C. Yield and its components .....	122
D. Chemical composition .....	135
3. Effect of plant distribution and density on:	
A. Growth characters .....	139
B. Environmental factors.....	152
C. Yield and its components .....	158
D. Chemical composition .....	172
4. Effect of interaction .....	176
5. Simple correlation coefficient .....	188
6. Multiple correlation .....	195
V. SUMMARY .....	197
VI. REFERENCES .....	203
ARABIC SUMMARY.	

## LIST OF TABLES

No.		Page
1.	The mechanical and chemical analysis of the experimental soils at Shalakan, Kalubia governorate .....	59
2.	Some meteorological records at Bahtim Agricultural Meteorological Station during growing seasons of 1989 and 1990 .....	60
3.	Effect of nitrogen fertilization levels on maize plant height (cm) at different stages of plant development during 1989 and 1990 seasons .....	69
4.	Effect of nitrogen fertilization levels on maize leaves characters at different stages of plant development during 1989 and 1990 seasons .....	72
5.	Effect of nitrogen fertilization levels on the dry weight of maize plants and its parts at different stages of plant development during 1989 and 1990 seasons.....	76
6.	Effect of nitrogen fertilization levels on the relative growth rate (RGR), crop growth rate (CGR) and net assimilation rate (NAR) at different stages of plant development during 1989 and 1990 seasons.....	79
7.	Effect of nitrogen fertilization levels on light penetration within maize plant canopy at different stage of growth during 1989 and 1990 seasons (calculated as percentage of the incident light density at the plant top .....	82
8.	Effect of nitrogen fertilization levels on soil temperature and soil moisture content in maize field at different growth stages during 1989 and 1990 seasons .....	85
9.	Effect of nitrogen fertilization levels on ear characters during 1989 and 1990 seasons .....	90
10.	Effect of nitrogen fertilization levels on percentage of two ears/plant, barren plants and lodged plants during 1989 and 1990 seasons .....	93
11.	Effect of nitrogen fertilization levels on yield and its components during 1989 and 1990 seasons .....	98
12.	Effect of nitrogen fertilization levels on total carbohydrate, crude protein and zinc content in maize grains during 1989 and 1990 seasons .....	104
13.	Effect of foliar application with zinc sulphate on the plant height (cm) at different stages of growth during 1989 and 1990 seasons .....	106

Cont.:

	Page
14. Effect of foliar application with zinc sulphate on the leaves characters at different stages of growth during 1989 and 1990 seasons .....	109
15. Effect of foliar application with zinc sulphate on the dry weight of maize plant and its parts at different growth stages during 1989 and 1990 seasons .....	112
16. Effect of foliar application with zinc sulphate on the relative growth rate (RGR), crop growth rate (CGR) and net assimilation rate (NAR) at different growth stages during 1989 and 1990 seasons .....	114
17. Effect of foliar application with zinc sulphate on light penetration within maize plant canopy at different stages of growth during 1989 and 1990 seasons (calculated as percentage of the incident light density at the plant top .....	117
18. Effect of foliar application with zinc sulphate on soil temperature and soil moisture content in maize field at different growth stages during 1989 and 1990 seasons.....	120
19. Effect of foliar application with zinc sulphate on ear maize characters during 1989 and 1990 seasons.....	123
20. Effect of foliar application with zinc sulphate on the percentage of two ears/plant, barren plants and lodged plants during 1989 and 1990 seasons.....	127
21. Effect of foliar application with zinc sulphate on yield and its components during 1989 and 1990 seasons....	131
22. Effect of foliar application with zinc sulphate on total carbohydrate, crude protein and zinc content in maize grains during 1989 and 1990 seasons.....	137
23. Effect of the different plant densities and distance between hills on the plant height (cm) at different stages of growth during 1989 and 1990 seasons.....	140
24. Effect of the different plant densities and distance between hills on the leaves characters at different stages of growth during 1989 and 1990 seasons.....	143
25. Effect of the different plant densities and distance between hills on the dry weight of maize plant and its parts at different stages of growth during 1989 and 1990 seasons.....	147

Cont.:

	Page
26. Effect of the different plant densities and distance between hills on the relative growth rate (RGR), crop growth rate (CGR) and net assimilation rate (NAR) at different stages of growth during 1989 and 1990 seasons.....	149
27. Effect of the different plant densities and distance between hills on light penetration percentage within maize plant canopy at different stage of growth during 1989 and 1990 seasons (calculated as percentage of the incident light density at the plant top.....	153
28. Effect of the different plant densities and distance between hills on soil temperature and soil moisture content in maize fields at different growth stages during 1989 and 1990 seasons.....	156
29. Effect of the different plant densities and distance between hills on ear characters during 1989 and 1990 seasons.....	160
30. Effect of the different plant densities and distance between hills on percentage of two ears/plant, barren plants and lodged plants during 1989 and 1990 seasons.....	164
31. Effect of the different plant densities and distance between hills on yield and its components during 1989 and 1990 seasons.....	169
32. Effect of the different plant densities and distance between hills on total carbohydrate, crude protein and zinc content in maize grains during 1989 and 1990 seasons.....	174
33. Effect of nitrogen fertilization rates under different plant densities of maize plants at different growth stages during 1989 and 1990 seasons.....	178
34. Effect of nitrogen fertilization rates under different plant densities on maize ear height and diameter during 1989 and 1990 seasons.....	180
35. Effect of nitrogen fertilization rates under different plant densities on relative growth rate (RGR) of maize plant during the period of 45 to 60 days after sowing (1990 season).....	182
36. Effect of nitrogen fertilization rates under the different plant densities on maize plant grain yield during 1990 season.....	184

Cont.:

	Page
37. Effect of zinc sulphate foliar application under the different plant densities on grain and stover yield during 1990 season .....	186
38. Simple correlation coefficient between nitrogen, zinc sulphate rates, plant density and some environmental measured at different growth stages during 1989 and 1990 seasons.....	190
39. Simple correlation coefficient between maize grain and stover yield with nitrogen and zinc sulphate and plant density rates and the measured environmental parameters during 1989 and 1990 seasons.....	193
40. Multiple correlation coefficient between maize yield with nitrogen, zinc sulphate, plant density rates and the measured environmental parameters during 1989 and 1990 seasons.....	196

## INTRODUCTION

Maize plant is considered as one of the most important cereal crops used in human consumption, animal feeding, starch industry and oil production, in the world as well as under Egyptian conditions. It represent the third cereal crops in importance and productivity and comes after wheat and rice, respectively.

Continuous attempts were occurred for increasing its productivity to face urgent demands of Egyptian people through the last period. This can obtained through both of breeding programs to produce highly productive and qualitative gene forms as well as adjusting the environmental conditions in respect to arrive to that strategy.

Nitrogen fertilizer application was shown as one of the reliable factors which this plant can respond to it under different circumstances and needs to get more attention in order to get more increase in both production and quality. Most of the previous studies indicates more response to this factor. Under Egyptian conditions, most of soils appears alkalinity reactions which affect greatly the availability of most of the micronutrient elements. Zinc is considered as one of these micronutrients since it start to decrease in the Nile water suspension after the construction of High Dam, and its deficiency effects start to appear on some of the cultivated crops under these conditions. Maize is

considered as one of the more susceptible species for zinc deficiency. It can respond much to the addition of that element but to what extent, it's needed to study.

Maize yield is considered as the resultant of all factors affect its growth and production. One of these is the optimum plant population which can occupied a unit area as well as the way of plant distribution in order to get more and highly photosynthetic system to change the received light energy to chemical one. This also needs more investigation to get more development in the maize productivity.

It well known that the plant growth is affected greatly with the surrounded environment which in turn, can affect in other way these environment. To what extent these responses, and how is the interactions between its affect the productivity of the plants, its needs more investigation.

The aim of this study is to investigate how is maize plant (*Zea mays* L.) yield and quality respond to the increasing or decreasing of plant population and distribution (20 and 30 thousand plant/fed.) under the addition of different rates of nitrogen fertilizer (i.e. 70, 105 and 140 kg N/fed.) and zinc sulphate added as foliar application (0, 0.1%, 0.2% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>). Also, the effect of these factors on the surrounded main environmental factors, mainly light intensity penetration, soil temperature and moisture and its reflection on yield was studied.

## II . REVIEW OF LITERATURE

### II.1. Effect of Nitrogen Application:

Nitrogen is one of the major elements essential to plants especially for cereal crops such as maize. It exerts a marked effect on growth, yield and its components of most of field crops.

#### II.1.A. Growth Characters:

Plant height of maize was shown to be increased with increasing nitrogen fertilizer rates as reported by El-Hattab *et al.* (1980); Adris (1981); Shafshak *et al.* (1981); Gouda (1982); Walburg *et al.* (1982); Moursi *et al.* (1983); Salem *et al.* (1983); Abdel-Lateef (1984); Gomaa (1985); Gheith *et al.* (1986); Matta (1986); Soliman (1986); Abdel-Aziz *et al.* (1987); Badr (1987); Ahmed (1989); El-Deeb (1990); Abdul-Galil *et al.* (1990) and El-Kholy (1993). On the other hand, nitrogen fertilization did not exert any significant effect on maize plant height as obtained by Abd El-Gawad *et al.* (1974); when applied as 25, 50 and 75 kg N/fed.; Nour El-Din *et al.* (1974) when applied 20, 40 and 60 kg N/fed.; Younis *et al.* (1990) when applied 90 and 120 kg N/fed. and Salwau *et al.* (1992) when applied 60, 120 and 150 kg N/fed.

The number of active leaves per plant was increased by increasing nitrogen fertilizer rates as reported by Mahgoub (1979); El-Hattab *et al.* (1980); Khalifa *et al.* (1983);