

**THE INVESTIGATIONS OF SOME TOXIC
PARAMETERS OF ORGANIC SOLVENTS
INHALATION IN EXPERIMENTAL
ANIMALS**

Thesis
Submitted for partial fulfillment of
M.D. Degree in Clinical Toxicology

6 19. 93
H
f

Presented By
HANAN FATHY ABD EL-AZIZ ALI

53872

Supervised By

PROF. DR. MOSTAFA ABD EL-LATIF KAMEL
Professor of Forensic Medicine and Clinical Toxicology

Faculty of Medicine
Ain-Shams University

PROF. NIBAL IBRAHIM AHMED SWIDAN
Professor of Forensic Medicine and Clinical Toxicology

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University

PROF. DR. AHMED IBRAHIM EL-SIGUINI

Assistant Professor of Forensic Medicine

and Clinical Toxicology

Faculty of Medicine

Ain-Shams University

PROF. DR. NAHED SAMI KHAMIS

Assistant Professor of Pathology

Faculty of Medicine

Ain-Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain-Shams University


1997



201

81

201




110

110



CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION AND AIM OF THE WORK	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	2
Hydrocarbons and Petroleum Distillates	2
- General Considerations of Volatile Substances	2
- Major Classes of Hydrocarbons	4
- Physical Properties of Hydrocarbons	5
- Incidence of Exposure to Hydrocarbons	14
- Toxicodynamics of Hydrocarbons	19
- Pathological Changes in Major Organs	22
- Toxicological Manifestations of Repeated Hydrocarbons Exposures	25
- Neurological Toxicity	33
a) Recognition of Criteria for Defining Neurotoxicity	33
b) Neurological Sequae of Chronic Hydrocarbons Exposure	36
- Organic Solvent Abuse in Occupational Settings	38
- Assessment of Hydrocarbons Toxicity	42
METHODOLOGY	46
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	68
CONCLUSION	126
RECOMMENDATIONS	128
SUMMARY	129
REFERENCES	133
ARABIC SUMMARY	164



LIST OF HISTOGRAMS

Histogram (1): Mean values of changes in the body weight (gms) in all experimental groups compared with the control group.....	77
Histogram (2): Comparison of the mean values of animals' body weight (gms) between group (II) and group (IV).....	78
Histogram (3): Comparison of the mean values of animals' body weight (gms) between group (III) and group (V).....	78
Histogram (4): Comparison of the mean values of animals' body weight (gms) between group (VI) and group (VII).....	79
Histogram (5): Mean values of tolerance to thermal pain (seconds) in all experimental groups compared with the control group.....	79
Histogram (6): Comparison of the mean values of tolerance to thermal pain between group (II) and group (IV).....	80
Histogram (7): Comparison of the mean values of tolerance to thermal pain between group (III) and group (V).....	80
Histogram (8): Comparison of the mean values of tolerance to thermal pain between group (VI) and group (VII).....	81
Histogram (9): Mean values of times of cutting light/minute in all experimental groups in comparison with the control group.....	81
Histogram (10): Comparison of the mean values of animals' activity (times of cutting light/minutes) between group (II) and group (IV).....	82

Histogram (11): Comparison of the mean values of animals' activity (times of cutting high/minutes) between group (III) and group (V).....	82
Histogram (12): Comparison of the mean values of animals' activity (times of cutting high/minutes) between group (VI) and group (VII).....	83
Histogram (13): Mean values of BUN in all experimental groups compared with the control group.....	98
Histogram (14): Mean values of serum creatinine level in (mg%) in all experimental groups compared with the control group.....	99
Histogram (15): Comparison of the mean values of serum creatinine level (mg%) between group (II) and group (IV).....	99
Histogram (16): Comparison of the mean values of BUN between group (II) and group (IV).....	100
Histogram (17): Comparison of the mean values of serum creatinine level (mg%) between group (III) and group (V).....	100
Histogram (18): Comparison of the mean values of BUN between group (III) and group (V).....	101
Histogram (19): Comparison of the mean values of serum creatinine (mg%) between group (VI) and group (VII).....	101
Histogram (20): Comparison of the mean values of BUN between group (VI) and group (VII).....	102
Histogram (21): Mean values of ALT (u/L) in all experimental groups compared with the control group.....	103

Histogram (22): Mean values of AST (u/L) in all experimental groups compared with the control group.....	103
Histogram (23): Comparison of the mean values of ALT level (u/L) between group (II) and group (IV).....	104
Histogram (24): Comparison of the mean values of AST level (u/L) between group (II) and group (IV).....	104
Histogram (25): Comparison of the mean values of ALT level (u/L) between group (III) and group (V).....	105
Histogram (26): Comparison of the mean values of AST level (u/L) between group (III) and group (V).....	105
Histogram (27): Comparison of the mean values of ALT level (u/L) between group (VI) and group (VII).....	106
Histogram (28): Comparison of the mean values of AST level (u/L) between group (VI) and group (VII).....	106

The first part of the paper discusses the importance of understanding the local context in which a project is implemented. This includes a thorough analysis of the social, cultural, and economic factors that may influence the success or failure of the intervention. It is essential to engage with the community from the outset, ensuring that their voices are heard and their needs are addressed.

The second part of the paper explores the challenges faced by researchers and practitioners in the field. These challenges often stem from limited resources, lack of access to data, and the complexity of the systems being studied. Despite these obstacles, it is crucial to maintain a commitment to rigorous research and ethical practices.

The third part of the paper presents a series of case studies that illustrate the application of the theoretical framework discussed in the first part. These examples demonstrate how a deep understanding of the local context can lead to more effective and sustainable interventions.

In conclusion, the paper emphasizes the need for a holistic and participatory approach to development work. By prioritizing community engagement and a thorough understanding of the local context, researchers and practitioners can increase the likelihood of successful outcomes.

LIST OF TABLES

Table (1): ANOVA one way statistical analysis of animal's activity, tolerance to thermal pain and animal's weight in all experimental groups compared with the control group	75
Table (2): t-Test for comparison of animal's weight/gm, tolerance to thermal pain/sec, and animal's activity between group II and group IV	76
Table (3): t-Test for comparison of animals' weight/gm, tolerance to thermal pain/sec, and animal's activity between group III and group V	76
Table (4): t-Test for comparison of animal's weight/gm, tolerance to thermal pain/sec, and animal's activity between group VI and group VII	77
Table (5): ANOVA one way statistical analysis of HB percentage, RBCs count, WBCs count, Platelet count between control group (I) and all experimental groups	86
Table (6): t-Test for comparison of HB percentage, WBCs count, RBCs count, and platelet count in group II and group IV	87
Table (7): t-Test for comparison of HB percentage, WBCs count, RBCs count, and platelet count in group III and group V	87
Table (8): t-Test for comparison of HB percentage, WBCs count, RBCs count, and platelet count in group III and group V	88

Table (9): ANOVA one way statistical analysis of serum creatinine mg/dl, blood urea nitrogen mg/dl, ALT u/L, AST/uL, between control group (I) and all experimental groups.	96
Table (10): t-Test for comparison of serum creatinine, BUN, ALT and AST between groups II and IV.	97
Table (11): t-Test for comparison of serum creatinine, BUN, ALT and AST between group III and group V.	97
Table (12): t-Test for comparison of serum creatinine, BUN, ALT and AST between group VI and group VII.	98
Table (13): Statistical analysis (X^2) of the toxicopathological changes in the lungs of Group II and Group IV.	117
Table (14): Statistical analysis (X^2) of the toxicopathological changes in the lungs of Group III and Group V.	117
Table (15): Statistical analysis (X^2) of the toxicopathological changes in the lungs of Group VI and Group VII.	118

LIST OF PLATES

Plate (1): Thickening in the alveolar wall due to dense inflammation.	118
Plate (2): Pneumatocele (P).	119
Plate (3): Alveolar space edema.	119
Plate (4): Intraalveolar hemorrhage as well as Hge in the alveolar wall.	120
Plate (5): Thick walled vessels in the lung and peribronchial and perivascular inflammation.	120
Plate (6): Thickening in the alveolar wall due to hemorrhage.	121
Plate (7): Inflammation around Bronchi (B).	121
Plate (8): Hydropic degeneration in brain cells and one cell shows hyaline degeneration.	122
Plate (9): Granuloma in brain tissue.	122
Plate (10): Granuloma in cortical brain tissue.	123
Plate (11): Capillary tuft congestion of the glomeruli.	123
Plate (12): Intestinal inflammation of the kidney.	124
Plate (13): Central vein congestion.	124
Plate (14): Inflammation in the portal tract.	125

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BUN	Blood Urea Nitrogen
GI	Gastrointestinal
LPG	Liquefied Petroleum Gas
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
PNN	Polymorph Nuclear Neutrophil
POS	Psychomotoric Syndrome
SSU	Standard Saybold Universal
