# Multisystem Organ Failure MSOF

#### **Essay**

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree In General surgery

Ву

Yasser Ahmed Abdul-Aal M. B., B. Ch.



g 7 42

Supervised By

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Sameh Zaki

Professor of General surgery
Ain Shams University

**Assistant Supervisors** 

Dr. Nabil Sayed Saber

Ass. Prof. of General surgery Ain Shams University

Dr. Osama Ali El-Atrash

Lecturer of General surgery
Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

1994





### Acknowledgments

My deepest appreciation goes to Prof. Dr. Mohamed Sameh Zaki, Professor of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University. His attention to details, knowledge of the subject matter and interest in providing state-of-the-art information have produced this essay that is both physiologically based and clinically relevant.

I would like to extend my gratitude to Dr. Nabil Sayed Saber, Assistant Professor of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for sharing his expertise, valuable time and helpful suggestions to ensure the accuracy of this work.

Special thanks go to **Dr. Osama El-Atrash**, Lecturer of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his helpful suggestions, unending patience and invaluable assistance in the review of chapters.

I believe most of us can look back and identify at least one person that played a central role in our decision to choose surgery as a career, so, I would be remiss if I did not acknowledge that person. For me that person was my father Dr. Ahmed Abdul-Aal.

**Yasser A. Abdul-Aal**Resident of Surgery
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

70
My Parents,
My Wife
&
My Little Ahmed

\*\*\*

# List of Tables

	Page
Table (1):	Vasoactive mediators
Table (2):	Stage (1) of MSOF
Table (3):	Stage (2) of MSOF
Table (4):	Stage (3) of MSOF
Table (5):	Stage (4) of MSOF
Table (6):	Monoclonal antibody classes81
Table (7):	Adhesion molecules and ligands84
Table (8):	Septic severity score
Table (9):	APACHE II score
Table (10):	Circulation patterns in MSOF112
Table (11):	Ventilation and perfusion parameters 117
Table (12):	Ventilator strategies119
Table (13):	How to readjust the ventilator setting 120
Table (14):	Drugs that should be used with caution
	or not at all in liver disease patients125
Table (15):	Therapeutic approach to renal failure

# List of Figures

	Page
Figure (1):	Longitudinal view of the morphologic layers of the small intestine
Figure (2):	Cross-sectional view of the normal intestinal villus
Figure (3):	Detail of normal intestinal villus
Figure (4):	Impaired intestinal villus
Figure (5):	Free radical production by xanthine axidase pathway
Figure (6):	Failure of host defense homeostasis26
Figure (7):	Processes leading to mal-distribution of circulating volume
Figure (8):	Oxygen consumption and delivery 39
Figure (9):	Metabolic response in MSOF41
Figure (10):	The circle of multisystem organ failure47

# Contents

	Page
⇒ Introduction	1
⇒ Historical background	3
⇒ General concept	5
Neuroendocrine activation	5
Activation of inflammatory / immune response	6
Endothelial damage	8
⇒ Pathophysiology of MSOF	14
Mediators and effectors of MSOF	15
Mechanisms of MSOF	26
⇒ Clinical presentation	46
Hepatic dysfunction	47
Gastrointestinal system dysfunction	51
Adult respiratory distress syndrome	54
Acute renal failure	59
• The central nervous system dysfunction and	
exhaustion	62
Myocardial dysfunction	68
Pancreatitis	70
⇒ Prevention of MSOF	75
The early diagnosis and treatment of infectious	
complications	76
Aggressive metabolic support	86

•	The optimization of oxygen delivery	90
•	Prevention of post-ischemic reperfusion injury	92
$\Rightarrow$ M	Conitoring of patient with MSOF	96
•	Monitoring of the cardiovascular system	102
•	Monitory of the respiratory system	105
•	Monitoring of renal functions	106
•	Monitoring of liver functions	107
•	Temperature	108
•	Miscellaneous	108
⇒ Sı	upportive care of MSOF	110
•	Cardiovascular	110
•	Pulmonary	116
•	Gastrointestinal and hepatic	123
•	Renal	127
•	C.N.S	130
•	Pancreatitis	133
⇒ Sı	ummary	134
⇒ Re	eferences	136
⇒ Aı	rabic Summary	165

#### Introduction

The past two decades have witnessed the emergence of a new syndrome termed Multi-system Organ Failure (MSOF) which today represents the number 1 cause of death in surgical intensive care units. The basic pathophysiology of this syndrome remains to be fully elucidated (*Deitch*, 1990).

Thus, at a time when spectacular advances in the field of organ transplantation have revolutionized the therapy of patients with end-stage single organ failure, our inability to successfully treat patients with acutely failed organs remains the major unsolved problem in the critically ill post-operative or post-injury patient (*Fry. 1992*).

In many ways it is not surprising that, as our ability to support organ function and prolong survival in patients with highly lethal conditions has improved, we have uncovered new and unexpected clinical problems such as MSOF, for which no definitive therapeutic answers are initially available. Although there is no substitute for good surgical technique and mature clinical judgment in optimizing survival, until we understand the basic pathophysiology of MSOF, our ability to devise rational and effective therapeutic options will be severely constrained (John, 1992).

We have to remember that the role of the surgeon in the ICU has the potential to move in two different directions. Inattention or a lack of commitment by surgery which will lead to withdrawal from the critical care setting (Walt, 1985). This would be a significant loss for surgery as well as for future generations of surgeons. Surgery instead may commit to a greater interest and involvement in ICUs, which would help to continue the surgeons active role in all aspects of medical progress (Edmund, 1992).

### Historical Background

One characteristic of surgical progress has been the identification, investigation and subsequent conquest of new clinical problems. In world war I, the causal relationship between acute blood loss and irreversible "Wound shock" was not known, and consequently acute shock was a common cause of death. Based on studies performed in the post-world war I period establishing the role of acute blood loss in the development of shock, blood was used liberally during world war II to prevent and treat shock, thereby largely eliminating the previously common syndrome of irreversible wound shock (Deitch, 1990).

In spite of improved early survival, many of these patients went to die of acute renal failure. During the Korean war, acute renal failure remained the most common cause of delayed death in successfully resuscitated patients and therefore was a focus of intense investigations on the pathophysiology of post-traumatic renal insufficiency which eventually led to the realization that injury induced renal failure could be largely prevented by resuscitating these patients with sufficiently large amounts of crystalloids (to maintain renal perfusion) in addition to blood.

Thus based on this better understanding of the physiology of injury and the need for acute volume resuscitation, acute renal failure was largely prevented in the Vietnam conflict (Shires, 1972).

As more severely injured patients survived for longer periods, however, a new syndrome {Adult respiratory distress syndrome(ARDS)} emerged, and in the 1970s, the lungs became the organ system limiting survival (Ashbaugh, 1967).

Today, although the mortality rate of patients with ARDS remains high, improvements in the management of these patients have resulted in the cause of death shifting from impaired gas exchange to MSOF, and currently more than 75% of the patients dying with ARDS now die of MSOF and systemic hemodynamic instability rather than of hypoxia. Viewed in this light, MSOF clearly represents the next, but surely not the last, obstacle that must be passed to improve survival in the critically ill surgical patient (Montgomery, 1985).

Historical Background

### **General Concept**

MSOF is a nonspecific expression of critical illness involving progressive failure of two or more organ systems, which is driven by the presence of numerous circulating mediators (Carrico, 1986).

Many investigators believe the presence and activity of numerous mediators provide the common pathway to the development of MSOF, with or without septicemia and shock (Goris, 1985).

But from where do these mediators come and why are they released? Following bodily insult, specific primary events occur that trigger the release of mediators into the circulation from various sources as the body seeks to protect itself and recover from the insult. The primary events are:

- 1) Neuroendocrine activation.
- 2) Activation of inflammatory / immune response.
- 3) Endothelial damage (Nuytinck, 1988).

#### 1. Neuroendocrine activation:

Following an insult, activation of the neuroendocrine system stimulates the release of numerous substances into the circulation, including ACTH from the anterior pituitary,