The effect of stem cell application on bone regenerate during rapid distraction osteogenesis (an experimental study)

Thesis

Submitted in partial fulfillment of requirements for the Master's Degree in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain-Shams University

By

Alaa El Deen Jamal Ben Talb

B.D.S. 2007

Tripoli University - Libya

Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Department
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain-Shams University
2015

Supervisors

Dr.Salah Abd El Fatah Ahmed

Assistant Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial surgery Faculty of Dentistry, Ain-Shams University

Dr.Khaled Abd El Meneim Abd El Kader

Assistant Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial surgery Faculty of Dentistry, Ain-Shams University

Dr.Said Bakry Ahmed

Assistant Professor Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University

Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Department
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain-Shams University
2015

Dedication

This work is dedicated to

My Dear parents
My brothers and sister

The light that leads my way

Acknowledgment

I would like to express my special thanks and gratitude to

Dr. Nahid Samy Khamis

Head of the Department of General Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Hany Kamal Kamel Mostafa

Ass. Lecturer in General Histology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Yasser Mohamed Nabil ELHadidi

For their effort and unlimited willingness for help and support.

My true friends and colleagues for their encouragement and cooperation

List of contents

List of tables	i -
List of figures	ii -
List of diagrams	v -
List of abbreviations	vi–
Introduction	1-
Review of literature	3 -
Aim of the study	17 -
Material and Methods	18 -
Results	44 -
Discussion	59 -
Summary	68 -
Conclusion	70 -
Recommendations	71 -
References	72 -
Arabic summary	

List of tables

Table number	Description	Page number
1	The Measurements of the study group in millimeters.	47
2	The Measurements of the control group in millimeters.	48
3	The Difference between study and control groups regarding 3D measurements statistical data.	49
4	The Difference of bone density by Hounsfield unit between study and control groups.	51
5	The Difference between study and control groups regarding bone density statistical data.	51
6	The Difference of outer cortical bone thickness in μm between study and control groups.	53
7	The Difference between study and control groups regarding outer cortical bone thickness statistical data.	53
8	The Difference of trabecular bone thickness in µm between study and control groups.	54
9	The Difference between study and control groups regarding trabecular bone thickness statistical data.	55
10	The Difference of area percentage of osteoid tissue between study and control groups.	56
11	The Difference between study and control groups regarding area percentage of osteoid tissue statistical data.	57

List of figures

Figure number	Description	Page number
1	Injection of Thiopenthone sodium intra jugular for induction of anesthesia	30
2	The surgical field shaved and scrubbed with Povidone – iodine 10% solution.	30
3	A. incision B. dissection of muscles C. bone exposure.	31
4	A. the osteotomy B. separation by chisel and mallet.	32
5	The modified distractor.	33
6	The distractor in position Arrow pointing out of distractor activation rod.	33
7	A. muscles sutures B. skin sutures.	34
8	Draping of The iliac crest prior to bone marrow aspiration.	35
9	Trochar administration for bone marrow aspiration.	35
10	Bone marrow aspiration.	36
11	Phosphate buffer saline solution and BIOCOLL separating solution.	36
12	Careful titration of bone marrow aspirate over Phosphate buffer saline.	37

List of figures

Figure number	Description	Page number
13	Titrated bone marrow over Phosphate buffer saline.	37
14	Centrifuging of bone marrow.	38
15	Centrifuge tube with mesenchymal stem cells rich buffy coat layer.	38
16	Aspiration of buffy coat layer.	39
17	Bovine serum and concentrated penicillin –streptomycine mix for culture.	39
18	The mesenchymal stem cell layer rich pellet in conical base of the tube after third centrifuge.	40
19	The incubated stem cells.	40
20	Planmeca Promax Proface.	41
21	Sample position in radiographic examination.	41
22	Planmeca Romexis viewer 3.5.1.R (evaluation of bone thickness, height, distance moved and density.	42
23	Histological preparation after nitric acid preservation.	42
24	Screen shoot from Leica Q win and Q go software.	43
25	Extra-oral photographic image showing: A. Predistraction image with no shift in midline, B. Post-distraction image with obvious shift in midline.	45

List of figures

Figure number	Description	Page number
26	Radiographic images showing dimension of distraction segments as demarked by screws recorded in millimeter for: A. Antroposterior distance in the study group, B. Antroposterior distance in the control group, C. Buccolingual width in the study group, D. Bucco-lingual width in the control group, E. Bone height in the study group, F. Bone height in the control group.	46
27	Bar chart showing difference between 3D measurements of the study and the control groups in millimeter.	47
28	Bar chart showing difference in bone density in Hounsfield unit between the study and the control groups.	50
39	Screen shot of Romexis viewer displaying radiographic image showing bone density in A. Study and B. Control groups by Hounsfield units.	50
30	Bar chart showing difference in outer cortical bone thickness in μm between study and control group.	52
31	Bar chart showing difference in trabecular bone thickness in µm between study and control group samples.	54
32	Bar chart showing difference in area percentage of osteoid between study and control group.	56

List of diagrams

Diagram number	Description	Page number
1	DO stages time line of study group (Group A)	24
2	DO stages time line of control group (Group B)	24

List of abbreviations

DO : Distraction osteogenesis

TMJ : Temporomandibular joint

PEMF: Pulsed electromagnetic field

MSCs: Mesenchymal stem cells

ASCs: Adult stem cells

ESCs: Embryonic stem cells

BMSCs: Bone marrow stromal cells

FACS: Fluorescence-activated cell-sorting

MACS: Magnetic-activated cell-sorting

BBM: Bovine bone mineral

CT : computed tomography

CBCT: Cone beam computed tomography

DEXA : (**DXA**) Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry

(Bone Densitometry)

cm : Centimeters

HU: Hounsfield unit

H&E: Hematoxylin and Eosin

SD : Standard deviation

List of abbreviations

Kv. : Kilo Volt.

mA. : Milliampere

mm : Millimeter

μm : Micrometer

Kg : Kilograms

Mg : Milligram

Ml : Milliliter

3D : Three dimensions

IAN : Inferior alveolar nerve

PDL: Periodontal ligament

Introduction

The craniofacial region is a complex tissue, consisting of bone, cartilage, soft tissue, nerves, and blood vessels. A number of conditions, such as cancer surgeries, trauma, congenital malformations, and progressively deforming skeletal diseases, can cause damage to these structures, leading to deformity.⁽¹⁾

The only solution for extensive injuries is a reconstructive procedure. The bones of the craniofacial region provide the support for other elements, their successful regeneration and reconstruction are of the greatest importance to restore normal function of the craniofacial unit. The optimal bone constructed for the repair should be able to replicate the lost structure and completely restores its function and easily replaceable through the body's physiologic processes, harmless for the patients and reliable in defects where the tissue is compromised because of infection, radiation, scarring, or extensive trauma. (2)

Although traditional orthognathic surgery and craniofacial reconstruction have gained a generalized acceptance and experienced widespread success, several limitations are associated with acute advancement of osteotomized bone segments. (3-5) One of the major limitations is the inability of the soft tissues to be acutely stretched. (6-9) Moreover, many of the congenital deformities require such large skeletal movements that the surrounding soft tissues cannot adapt to their new position, resulting in degenerative changes, relapse, and compromised function and aesthetics. (10-12)

In light of these limitations, alternative approaches have been developed to correct severe anteroposterior, transverse and vertical deformities of the craniofacial skeleton. One of these alternative approaches is the method of gradual bone distraction known as Distraction Osteogenesis (DO). Distraction osteogenesis is a biologic process that promotes bone formation between cut osseous surfaces that are gradually separated by incremental traction. (13) The

Introduction

technique of bone lengthening by DO was first described in 1905 by Codvila, (14) but it remained undeveloped until Ilizarov, (13,15,16) a Russian physician, further developed the technique in the 1950s in Kurgan in West Siberia. For more than 35 years he successfully applied the technique of DO to the endochondral bone of the upper and lower extremities. A unique feature of the distraction technique is that bone regeneration by DO is accompanied by simultaneous expansion of the functional soft tissue matrix, including blood vessels, nerves, muscles, skin, mucosa, fascia, ligaments, cartilage and periosteum. These adaptive changes of the surrounding soft tissues through the tension that is generated by the distraction forces applied on the bone, is called distraction histogenesis. (17)

Review of literature

Distraction osteogenesis begins with the development of a reparative callus between the edges of two bone segments divided by a low-energy osteotomy. After the callus has initially formed, a distraction force is applied to these bone segments and gradually pulls them apart. Gradual incremental separation of bone segments places the callus under tension, this aligns the inter-segmentary gap tissues parallel to the direction of distraction. After the desired amount of bone length is achieved, the distraction force is discontinued. The newly formed bone (distraction regenerate) then undergoes maturation and remodeling until it becomes undistinguishable from the residual host bone. (18)

Regardless of the surgical site, adherence to Ilizarov principles is the key to surgical success. ⁽¹⁵⁾ Ilizarov principles are first osteotomy of the bone site with minimal periosteal stripping, followed by latency period 3, 5, or 7 days depending on the surgical sit, then Distraction rate of 1.0 mm per day. Distraction rhythm continuous force application is best.

Last, consolidation until a cortical outline can be seen radiographically across the distraction gap, usually 6 weeks.

Osteotomy is the surgical separation of an intact bone into two segments. It results in a loss of continuity and mechanical integrity, which triggers the process of fracture healing. A reparative callus begins to form within and around the ends of the fractured bone segments. (15)

Latency phase is the time between performance of the osteotomy and activation of the device. This phase generally lasts 5 to 7 days and allows for the development of an adequate hematoma with granulation tissue plug within the distraction gap at the osteotomy site. The intervening granulation tissue exhibits activity of inflammatory cells, proliferation of fibroblasts that provide a collagen network, the development of vascular channels, and invasion of