

# Role Of Interventional Radiology In Management Of Hepatocellular Carcinoma

*Thesis*

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of  
M.D. Degree in  
**RADIODIAGNOSIS**



By

**Osama Mohamed Abd El-Hamid Hetta**

*M.B., B.Ch., M.Sc., Radiodiagnosis  
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University*

Supervisors

**Prof. Dr. Ahmed Kamal El-Dorry**

*Prof. of Radiodiagnosis  
Faculty of Medicine  
Ain Shams University*

**Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Monib**

*Lecturer of Radiodiagnosis  
Faculty of Medicine  
Ain Shams University*

**Faculty of Medicine  
Ain Shams University**

1998

Handwritten signature and date 2/6/23





﴿اقرأ وربك الأكرم﴾ الذي علم بالقلم \*

علم الإنسان ما لم يعلم ﴿

صدق الله العظيم [العلق ٣ : ٥]



## **CONTENTS**

|                                       | <u>Page</u> |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|
| Introduction and aim of the work..... | 1           |
| Review of Literature.....             | 3           |
| Anatomy.....                          | 3           |
| Pathology.....                        | 18          |
| Materials and Methods .....           | 24          |
| Results.....                          | 36          |
| Illustrative cases.....               | 74          |
| Discussion.....                       | 139         |
| Summary and Conclusion .....          | 154         |
| References .....                      | 165         |
| Arabic Summary.....                   |             |



## LIST OF TABLES

| <u>Tab. No.</u>                                                                              | <u>Page</u> |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1 Segmental anatomy of the liver .....                                                       | 10          |
| 2 Hepatic artery variation .....                                                             | 15          |
| 3 Child's classification.....                                                                | 25          |
| 4 Detailed data for group I patients .....                                                   | 38          |
| 5 Detailed data for group II patients .....                                                  | 40          |
| 6 Descriptive statistics and difference of age<br>in both studied groups.....                | 42          |
| 7 Descriptive statistics and difference of size<br>of main tumor in both studied groups..... | 42          |
| 8 Sex difference in both studied groups.....                                                 | 43          |
| 9 Child's classification and difference in both<br>studied groups .....                      | 43          |
| 10 Tumor multiplicity and difference in both<br>studied groups .....                         | 44          |
| 11 Response to treatment in both studied<br>groups.....                                      | 45          |
| 12 Survival at the end of the 1 <sup>st</sup> year in both<br>studied groups .....           | 47          |
| 13 Survival at the end of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> year in both<br>studied groups .....           | 47          |
| 14 Survival at the end of the 3 <sup>rd</sup> year in both<br>studied groups .....           | 48          |

|    |                                                                                                                             |    |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 15 | Descriptive statistics of survival in both studied groups .....                                                             | 48 |
| 16 | Association between survival at the end of 1 <sup>st</sup> year and response in both studied groups .....                   | 58 |
| 17 | Association between survival at the end of 2 <sup>nd</sup> year and response in both studied groups .....                   | 59 |
| 18 | Association between survival at the end of 3 <sup>rd</sup> year and response in both studied groups .....                   | 60 |
| 19 | Association between survival at the end of 1 <sup>st</sup> year and Child's classification in both studied groups .....     | 61 |
| 20 | Association between survival at the end of 2 <sup>nd</sup> year and Child's classification in both studied groups .....     | 62 |
| 21 | Association between survival at the end of 3 <sup>rd</sup> year and the Child's classification in both studied groups ..... | 63 |
| 22 | Association between survival at the end of 1 <sup>st</sup> year and tumor size in both studied groups .....                 | 65 |
| 23 | Association between survival at the end of 2 <sup>nd</sup> year and tumor size in both studied groups .....                 | 66 |

|    |                                                                                                                           |    |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 24 | Association between survival at the end of<br>3 <sup>rd</sup> year and tumor size in both studied<br>groups .....         | 67 |
| 25 | Association between survival at the end of<br>1 <sup>st</sup> year and tumor multiplicity in both<br>studied groups ..... | 68 |
| 26 | Association between survival at the end of<br>2 <sup>nd</sup> year and tumor multiplicity in both<br>studied groups ..... | 69 |
| 27 | Association between survival at the end of<br>3 <sup>rd</sup> year and tumor multiplicity in both<br>studied groups ..... | 70 |



## LIST OF FIGURES

| <u>Fig. No.</u>                                                                              | <u>Page</u> |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1 The visceral surface of the liver .....                                                    | 5           |
| 2 Lobes of the liver .....                                                                   | 7           |
| 3&4 Hepatic segmentation .....                                                               | 11          |
| 5 Peritoneal attachments of the liver .....                                                  | 13          |
| 6 Response in both groups .....                                                              | 46          |
| 7 Survival at the end of 1 <sup>st</sup> year in both groups .....                           | 49          |
| 8 Survival at the end of 2 <sup>nd</sup> year in both groups .....                           | 50          |
| 9 Survival at the end of 3 <sup>rd</sup> year in both groups .....                           | 51          |
| 10 Survival in both groups .....                                                             | 52          |
| 11,12,13 The curves of estimated probability of survival in the two groups of patients ..... | 54          |
| 14 Child's classification in both groups .....                                               | 64          |



## **ABSTRACT**

This study was conducted on 74 patients with HCC, all the patients were cirrhotic, most of them were Child's B class and few number were Child's A and C class, some of them had single tumor, others had multiple tumors.

The patients were divided into two groups: The first group included 39 patients to whom only TACE was done.

The second group included 35 patients to whom combined TACE and PEI were done.

The above procedures were used in those patients with unresectable HCC as a good treatment alternative to surgery.

