Ain Shams University Faculty of Engineering

DEFORMATION ANALYSIS OF EARTH EMBANIMENTS

BY

AHMED MOSALEM SAMIEH MONAZA

A Thesis

Submitted in partial fulfillment for the requirements of the Degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering

Department of Structural Engineering

1 124.16 A.y

Supervised by

3-7-7

Dr. Fathalla El-Nahhas
Associate Prof. of Geotech. Eng.
Structural Eng. Depart.
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Farouk I. El-Kadi Prof. of Geotech. Eng. Structural Eng. Depart. Faculty of Engineering Aln Shams University

Cairo-1990

Examiners Committe

Name, Title & Affiliation

Signature

1- Prof. Dr. A. S. Bazaraa Prof. of Geotechnical Eng. Faculty of Engineering Cairo University.

A. Bazariaa

2- Prof. Dr. A. O. Hendy Prof. of Geotechnical Eng. Faculty of Engineering and Technology Helwan University.

Alue

3- Prof. Dr. F. I. El-Kadi Prof. of Geotechnical Eng. Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University. College

4. Dr. F. M. El-Nahhas Assoc. Prof. of Geotechnical Eng. Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University.

Date: 29 / 3 / 1990



STATEMENT

This dissertation is submitted to Ain Shams University for the degree of M.Sc. in Civil Engineering.

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author in the department of Structural Engineering ,Ain Shams University, from October 1986 to March 1990.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other university or institution.

Date : March, 1990

Signature .

Name : Ahmed Mosalem Samieh Monaza

Ain Shams University Faculty of Engineering

Dept. of : Structural Engineering

Abstract of M.Sc. Thesis Submitted by :

Ahmed Mosalem Samieh Monaza

Title of Thesis " DEFORMATION ANALYSIS OF EARTH EMBANKMENTS"

Supervisors: (1) Prof. Dr. Farouk I. El-kady

(2) Dr. Fathalla EL-Nahhas

Registration Date: 13/10/1986 Examination Date: March 1996 Abstract: Geotechnical design of earth embankments was conventionally based on the overall stability of the embankment and its foundation against failure. This traditional approach does not give any direct information about the magnitude and distribution of the deformation within the embankment and its foundation during or after construction. Review of previous studies indicated the great potential of numerical methods for predicting such deformation.

This thesis presents a parametric study, using the finite element method, to investigate the main factors controlling the deformation of the embankment and its yielding foundation. Different constitutive models linear, bilinear and nonlinear models, were employed. An algorithm for predicting nonlinear deformations is suggested and factors affecting the predicted deformation were parametrically investigated. Furthermore, results of the finite element analysis were compared with field measurements compiled during construction of an earth embankment resting on stratified foundation.

Keywords : Barth embankment, Yielding foundation, Finite Blement Method, Constitutive Models,

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research has been carried out at the Department of Structural Engineering, Faculty of Eng., Ain Shams University, under the supervision of Professor Dr. Farouk El-Kadi and Dr. Fathalla El-Nahhas.

I Wish to express my thanks and cordial gratitude to Prof. Dr. Farouk El-Kadi, Professor of Geotechnical Eng., Faculty of Eng., Ain Shams University for his stimulating supervision and guidance throughout this study that made the compeltion of this work possible.

I am greatly indebted to Dr. Fathalla El-Nahhas, Associate Professor of Geotechnical Eng., Faculty of Eng., Ain Shams University, for his continued encouragement and invaluable advices during every stage of this research. He willingly spent long hours in discussion and reviewing especially during preparation of the final draft of this thesis. It was privilege and pleasure to work under his supervision.

My utmost gratitude and deep acknowledgment to my parents for their patience and encouragement. Thanks are also extended to my whole family for encouragement and moral support during the entire time of the research.

Finally, I wish to present my thanks to my friend
Essam Amar for valuable discussions and his help during
final drafting.
Central Library - Ain Shams University

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Chap | ter | Page |
|------|--|------|
| 1. | INTRODUCTION | |
| | 1.1 General | 1 |
| | 2.1 Objective and Organization of The Thesis | 4 |
| 2. | REVIEW OF PREVIOUS STUDIES | |
| | 2.1 Introduction | 7 |
| | 2.2 Load-Deformation Behaviour of Soils | 8 |
| | 2.2.1 Linear elastic model | 12 |
| | 2.2.2 Bilinear model | 12 |
| | 2.2.3 Multilinear model | 14 |
| | 2.2.4 Mathematical function models | 18 |
| | 2.2.4.1 Hyperbolic function model (E, ν) | 18 |
| | 2.2 4.2 Hyperbolic function model (G,K) | 22 |
| | 2.2 4.3 Hyperbolic function model (E,K) | 24 |
| | 2.2 4.4 Spline function model | 25 |
| | 2.3 Summary and Discussion on Stress-Strain | |
| | Idealization of Soils | 26 |
| | 2.4 Deformation Prediction | 30 |
| | 2.5 Continuum Analysis Using Finite | |
| | Element Method | 33 |
| | 2.5.1 Finite element method in geotechnical | |
| | engineering | 36 |
| | 2.5.2 Construction modeling in analysis | 39 |
| | 2.5.2.1 Gravity-turn-on analysis | 39 |
| | 2.5.2.2 Sequential analysis | 40 |
| | 2.5.3 Deformation analysis of earth | |
| | embankments | 42 |

| 3. | NUMERICAL TECHNIQUES FOR ANALYSIS OF EARTH EMBANKMENT | 'S |
|----|---|-----|
| | 3.1 Introduction | 48 |
| | 3.2 Incremental Numerical Modeling | 48 |
| | 3.3 Stress-Strain Models | 52 |
| | 3.3.1 Linear analysis | 52 |
| | 3.3.2 Bilinear analysis | 52 |
| | 3.3.3 Nonlinear analysis | 56 |
| | 3.3.3.1 Manipulation of triaxial test | |
| | data | 56 |
| | 3.3.3.2 Deriviation of the moduli of | |
| | elasticity | 59 |
| | 3.3.3.3 Past and average stress | |
| | algorithms | 60 |
| | 3.3.3.4 Failure criteria | 63 |
| | 3.4 Computer Programs | 64 |
| 4. | DEFORMATION ANALYSIS OF EARTH EMBANKMENTS | |
| •• | 4.1 Introduction | 78 |
| | 4.2 Parametric Study | 79 |
| | | |
| | 4.3 Results and Discussion of the Parametric | 7, |
| | Study | |
| | 4.3.1 Effect of constitutive model | 84 |
| | 4.3.2 Effect of number of lifts | |
| | | 109 |
| | 4.3.4 Effect of K | 109 |
| | 4.4 Evaluation of the Effect of Nonlinear | |
| | • | 123 |
| | 4.4.1 Effect of modulus number (K) | 127 |
| | 4.4.2 Effect of failure ratio (R _f) | 144 |
| | | 152 |
| | 4.4.4 Effect of parameter (G) | 170 |
| | - | 18' |
| | ₄ GentraLl₊ibrary - Ain Shams University | 191 |

| | 4.4.7 | Summary of the effect of nonlinear | |
|----|----------|--------------------------------------|-----|
| | | paramaters on deformation prediction | 207 |
| | 4.5 Emb | ankment Case History | |
| | 4.5.1 | Embankment description | 216 |
| | 4.5.2 | Fininte element analysis | 219 |
| | 4.5.3 | Comparison between predicted and | |
| | | calculated behavior | 234 |
| 5. | SUMMARY, | CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR | |
| | FURTHER | STUDIES | |
| | 5.1 Int | roduction | 239 |
| | 5.2 Sum | mary | 239 |
| | 5.3 Con | clusions | 244 |
| | 5.4 Rec | commendatios for Further Studies | 244 |
| | REFERENC | CES | 245 |
| | Appendix | c: No-Tension Algorithm | 251 |

- iv -

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | No. | ?age |
|-------|---|------|
| 2.1 | Typical Values of Stress-Strain Parameters | 23 |
| 4.1 | Geotechnical Properties of the Model Embankment | 81 |
| 4.2 | Stress-Strain Parameters | 81 |
| 4.3 | Range Considered for Variation of Elastic | |
| 4.4 | Parameters | 126 |
| | Embankment | 220 |
| 4.5 | Measured and Predicted Displacements | 237 |

List Of Figures

| Figure | No. | age |
|--------|--|-----|
| 2.1 | Development of a Reliable Numerical Technique (after Desai, 1972) | 9 |
| 2.2 | Classification Of Load Deformation Behavior (after Morgenstern, 1975) | 10 |
| 2.3 | Bilinear Model for Nonlinear Material (after Desai and Christine, 1977) | 13 |
| 2.4 | Option in Choise of Bilinear Model (after Desai and Christine, 1977) | 13 |
| 2.5 | Multilinear Model for Nonlinear Material | 16 |
| 2.6 | Computation of Tangent Modulus, E _t According to Duncan and Chang (1970) (after Desai and Abel, 1972) | 20 |
| 2.7 | Computation of Tangent Poisson's ratio, $ u_{t}$ According to Kulhawy et al (1969) (after Desai and Abel, 1972) | 21 |
| 2.8. | Spline Approximation for Stress-Strain Relationship | 27 |
| 2.8.1 | b Spline Approximation for Tangent Modulus | 27 |
| 2.9 | Prediction Process (after Lambe, 1973) | 32 |
| 2.10 | Two Dimensional Region Represented as Assemblge of Triangular Elements | 35 |
| 2.11 | Analytical Simulation for Embankment Construction (after Desai and Abel, 1972) | |
| 2.12 | Single Lift and Incremental Vertical Displacement Relationship Central Library - Ain Shams University | 44 |

| 3.1 | Flow Chart for Incremental Analysis of Earth Embankments | 51 |
|------------|---|----------|
| 3.2 | Actual and Idealized Stress-Strain Relationships for Bilinear Model | 54 |
| 3.3 | Idealized Stress-strain Relationship for Bilinear Analysis | 54 |
| 3.4 | Triaxial Test Data Plotted in Conventional and Invariant Forms (after Krishnayya, 1973) | 58 |
| 3.5 | Flow Chart for Linear Analysis of Earth Embankment | 68 |
| 3.6 | Flow Chart for Bilinear Analysis of Earth Embankment | 72 |
| 3.7 4.1 | Flow Chart for Nonlinear Analysis of Earth Embankment | 77 80 |
| 4.2 | Finite Element Idealization of The Model Embankment | 82 |
| 4.3 | Lines Chosen for Presentation of Calculated Displacements Through The Embankment and Its Foundation | 83 |
| 4.4 | Effect of Constitutive Model on Vertical Displacement at Embankment Base | 85 |
| 4.5 | Effect of Constitutive Model on Vertical Displacement Through The Embankment | 86 |
| 4.6 | Effect of Constitutive Model on Horizontal Displacement at Embankment Base | 87 |
| 4.7 | Effect of Constitutive Model on Horizontal Displacement Through The Embankment | 88 |
| 4.8 | Incremental and Gravity-Turn-on Deformation Profides Attracenter Shime IdiNombinear Analysis) | 92 |

| 4.3 | Profiles at Center line (Linear analysis) 93 |
|------|---|
| 4.10 | Settlement Curves at Center Line With Advance of Construction |
| 4.11 | Effect of Number of Lifts on Vertical Displacement at Embankment Base |
| 4.12 | Effect of Number of Lifts on Vertical Displacement Through The Embankment |
| 4.13 | Effect of Number of Lifts on Vertical Displacement at Height 0.4 H |
| 4.14 | Effect of Number of Lifts on Horizontal Displacement at Embankment Base |
| 4.15 | Effect of Number of Lifts on Horizontal Displacement Through The Embankment 102 |
| 4.16 | Effect of Number of Lifts on Horizontal Displacement at Height 0.2 H |
| 4.17 | Effect of Number of Lifts on Embankment Deformation |
| 4.18 | Effect of Number of Lifts on Developed Maximum Principal Tensile Stress |
| 4.19 | Effect of Position of outer Boundary Condition on Vertical Displacement at Embankment Base 110 |
| 4.20 | Effect of Position of outer Boundary Condition on Vertical Displacement Through The Embankment . 111 |
| 4.21 | Effect of Position of outer Boundary Condition on Horizontal Displacement at Embankment Base 112 |
| 4.22 | Effect of Position of outer Boundary Condition on Horizontal Displacement Through The Embankment 113 |
| 4.23 | Effect of K on Vertical Displacement at EmiGaenknaehibramys-eAin Shams University |

| 4.24 | Effect of K on Vertical Displacement Through |
|------|---|
| | The Embankment |
| 4.25 | Effect of K on Vertical Displacement at 0.4 H. 117 |
| 4.26 | Effect of K on Horizontal Displacement at |
| | Embankment Base |
| 4.27 | Effect of K on Horizontal Displacement Through |
| | The Embankment |
| 4.28 | Effect of K on Horizontal Displacement at |
| | 0.2 H 120 |
| 4.29 | Effect of K on Embankment Deformation 121 |
| 4.30 | Effect of \hat{K} of The Foundation Layer on Vertical |
| | Displacement at Embankment Base 128 |
| 4.31 | Effect of K of The Foundation Layer on Vertical |
| | Displacement Through The Embankment 129 |
| 4.32 | Effect of K of The Foundation Layer on Vertical |
| | Displacement at 0.4 H |
| 4.33 | Effect of K of The Foundation Layer on Horizontal |
| | Displacement at Embankment Base |
| 4.34 | Effect of K of The Foundation Layer on Horizontal Displacement Through The Embankment |
| | * |
| 4.35 | Effect of K of The Foundation Layer on Horizontal Displacement at 0.2 H |
| 4.36 | Effect of K of The Foundation Layer on |
| 4.30 | Embankment Deformation |
| 4.37 | ^ |
| 4.57 | Displacement at Embankment Base |
| 4.38 | Effect of \hat{K} of The Fill Material on Vertical |
| | Displacement Through The Embankment 138 |
| 4.39 | Effect of K of The Fill Material on Vertical |
| | Central Library - Ain Shams University Displacement at 0.4 H |

| 4.40 | Effect of K of The Fill Material on Horizontal |
|------|--|
| | Displacement at Embankment Base 140 |
| 4.41 | Effect of \hat{K} of The Fill Material on Horizontal |
| | Displacement Through The Embankment 141 |
| 4.42 | Effect of \hat{K} of The Fill Material on Horizontal |
| | Displacement at 0.2 H |
| 4.43 | Effect of \hat{K} of The Fill Material on Embankment |
| | Deformation |
| 4.44 | Effect of R _f of The Foundation Layer on Vertical |
| | Displacement at Embankment Base |
| 4.45 | Effect of R _f of The Foundation Layer on Vertical |
| | Displacement Through The Embankment 146 |
| 4.46 | Effect of R _f of The Foundation Layer on Vertical |
| | Displacement at 0.4 H |
| 4.47 | Effect of R _f of The Foundation Layer on Horizontal |
| | Displacement at Embankment Base 148 |
| 4.48 | Effect of R _f of The Foundation Layer on Horizontal |
| | Displacement Through The Embankment 149 |
| 4.49 | Effect of R _f of The Foundation Layer on Horizontal |
| | Displacement at 0.2 H 150 |
| 4.50 | Effect of R _f of The Foundation Layer on |
| | Embankment Deformation |
| 4.51 | Effect of $R_{\mathfrak{f}}$ of The Fill Material on Vertical |
| | Displacement at Embankment Base 153 |
| 4.52 | Effect of R _f of The Fill Material on Vertical |
| | Displacement Through The Embankment 154 |
| 4.53 | Effect of R _f of The Fill Material on Horizontal |
| | Displacement at Embankment Base 155 |