


ROLE OF FREE RADICAL SCAVENGERS IN TREATMENT OF DRUG INDUCED GASTRITIS

Thesis

Submitted for the Partial Fulfilment of
The Master Degree In Internal Medicine

BY



MAGED SAMIR ABD EL-WAHED
(M.B., B.CH.)

616-333
M.S

Supervised By

PROF. DR. MOHAMED AWAED-ALLAH SALLAM
Chairman of Internal Medicine
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

PROF. DR. MOHSEN MAHER
Prof. of Internal Medicine
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

DR, EMAD AHMED AWAED
Lecturer in Internal Medicine
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University



Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
1996

Handwritten signatures and notes in Arabic script.

1. The first part of the document is a list of references. It includes the following information:

1. The first part of the document is a list of references. It includes the following information:



بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

اقْرَأْ بِاسْمِ رَبِّكَ الَّذِي خَلَقَ

خَلَقَ الْإِنْسَانَ مِنْ عَلَقٍ

اقْرَأْ وَرَبُّكَ الْأَكْبَرُ الَّذِي عَلَّمَ بِالْقَلَمِ

عَلَّمَ الْإِنْسَانَ مَا لَمْ يَعْلَمْ

المستقر ١٠٠

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to express my sincere thanks and deepest gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Awaed-Allah Sallam**, Professor of Internal Medicine and Chairman of Gastrointestinal Unit, Ain Shams University, who offered me the fatherly encouragement. The generous support, his precious guidance and continued supervision which were kindly given are beyond acknowledgment.

I wish to express my deepest gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mohsen Maher**, Professor of Internal Medicine, Ain Shams University, who offered much of his time and experience for providing me with a helpful advice, suggestions and planning of this work and for kind supervision.

I am deeply grateful to **Dr. Emad Ahmed Awaed**, lecturer in Internal Medicine, Ain Shams University for his cardinal support, many useful criticism, suggestion throughout this study and his true assistance in fulfilling the practical endoscopic part of this work.

Many thanks to **Prof. Dr. Shadia Mabrook**, Professor of Pathology, Ain Shams University, who offered a great deal of help to the work.

A word of thanks is little for the great help I got from members of laboratory Department of Ain Shams, Faculty of Medicine.

My deep gratitude to all staff members of
Department of Internal Medicine, Ain Shams
University, and to all colleagues who did help in
the preparation of this work.

LIST OF TABLES

Table (1): Clinical profile of patients included in group A	(77)
Table (2): Clinical profile of patients included in group B	(78)
Table (3): Clinical profile of patients included in group C	(79)
Table (4): Shows descriptive data of group A	(80)
Table (5): Shows descriptive data of group B	(80)
Table (6): Shows descriptive data of group C	(81)
Table (7): Comparison between group A and Group B regarding the age	(81)
Table (8): Comparison between group A and group C regarding the age	(82)
Table (9): Comparison between group B and Group C regarding the age	(82)
Table (10): Represents the difference between group A and group B regarding the endoscopic score before treatment	(83)

Table (11):	Represents the difference between group A and Group C regarding the endoscopic score before treatment	(83)
Table (12):	Represents the difference between group B and group C regarding the endoscopic score before treatment	(84)
Table (13):	Comparison between group A and group B regarding duration of drug intake	(84)
Table (14):	Comparison between group A and group C regarding duration of drug intake	(85)
Table (15):	Comparison between group B and group C regarding duration of drug intake	(85)
Table (16):	Shows correlation between the duration of drug intake and improvement in score in group A	(86)
Table (17):	Shows correlation between the duration of drug intake and improvement in score in group B	(86)
Table (18):	Shows correlation between the duration of drug intake and improvement in score in group C	(87)
Table (19):	Represents group A and the endoscopic score before and one month after treatment	(87)

Table (20):	Represents group B and the endoscopic score before and one month after treatment	(88)
Table (21):	Represents group C and the endoscopic score before and one month after treatment	(88)
Table (22):	Comparison between upper GIT symptoms at first presentation, after 2 weeks and after 4 weeks of treatment in group A	(89)
Table (23):	Comparison between upper GIT symptoms at first presentation, after 2 weeks and after 4 weeks of treatment in group B	(89)
Table (24):	Comparison between upper GIT symptoms at first presentation, after 2 weeks and after 4 weeks of treatment in group C	(90)
Table (25):	Represents the difference between group A and group B regarding the endoscopic score after one month of treatment	(90)
Table (26):	Represents the difference between group A group C regarding the endoscopic score after one month of treatment	(91)

Table (27): Represents the difference between group B and group C regarding the endoscopic score after one month of treatment(91)

Table (28): Comparison between group A and group B regarding improvement in the score(92)

Table (29): Comparison between group A and group C regarding improvement in the score(92)

Table (30): Comparison between group B and group C regarding improvement in the score(93)

LIST OF FIGURES

List of Graphs:

- Graph 1:-** The endoscopic scores before and 1 month of treatment in group A(94)
- Graph 2:-** The endoscopic scores before and 1 month of treatment in group B(95)
- Graph 3:-** The endoscopic scores before and 1 month of treatment in group C(96)
- Graph 4:-** Comparison between Group A, Group B and Group C regarding the duration of drug intake(97)
- Graph 5:-** Correlation between duration of drug intake and improvement in the endoscopic score in Group A(98)
- Graph 6:-** Correlation between duration of drug intake and improvement in the endoscopic score in Group B(99)
- Graph 7:-** Correlation between duration of drug intake and improvement in the endoscopic score in Group C(100)

Graph 8:- Comparison between Group A, Group B and Group C regarding the improvement in the upper GIT symptoms after 2 weeks of treatment(101)

Graph 9:- Comparison between Group A, Group B and Group C regarding the mean level of improvement in the endoscopic score(102)

List of Figures:

Figure 1:- Shows multiple antral erosions of a male patient with history of NSAIDs for 2 years(103)

Figure 2:- Shows multiple erosions with patch of hyperaemia of a female patients with history of NSAIDs of 1.5 years(104)

Figure 3:- Shows congestive gastropathy of a female patient with history of steroids of 11 months(105)

Figure 4:- Was taken after one month of medical treatment (ranitidine with allopurinol) showing complete healing with normal gastric mucosa(106)

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION AND AIM OF THE WORK	(1)
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	
- Anatomy of stomach	(3)
- Physiology of gastric acid secretion	(4)
A) Gastritis:	
1- Classification	(7)
2- Histological consideration	(15)
3- Protective mechanism of gastric mucosa	(18)
4- Factors leading to gastritis	(27)
B) Drug induced gastritis:	
1- Non steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs	(33)
2- Steroids	(40)
3- Others	(43)
C) Free radicals:	
1- Production of free radicals	(44)
2- Sources of free radicals	(48)
3- Measurement of free radicals	(52)
4- Effects of free radicals	(55)
5- Scavenger of free radicals	(56)
D) Histamine receptor antagonist drugs:	
(H ₂ receptor blockers)	(65)
PATIENTS AND METHODS	(68)
RESULTS	(72)
DISCUSSION	(107)
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	(113)
REFERENCES	(115)
ARABIC SUMMARY.	

