DIAGNOSTIC PERITONEAL LAVAGE VERSUS OTHER DIAGNOSTIC MODALIFIES IN BLUNT ABDOMINAL TRAUMA

THESIS

Submitted for partial fulfillment of the M. D. Degree of

GENERAL SURGERY

BY

Tarek Abd El-Hakim Salem M.B., B.Ch., M.Sc. (General Surgery)

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. AHMED SEDKY

Professor of General Surgery Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. AHMED EL-DORRY

Professor of Radiodiagnosis Ain Shams University

Dr. ABD EL-WAHAB EZZAT

Assist. Professor of General Surgery Ain Shams University.

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 1999



Dedicated 70

The Soul Of a real human;

"Mohammed Saafan "(*)

(*) Lecturer of Surgery, Ain Shams University



Acknowledgement

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Sedky, Professor of Surgery, Ain Shams University is the hallmark behind this work without his support, this work wouldn't have seen light.

I am also indebted to **Prof. Dr. Ahmed El-Dorry,** Professor of Radiognosis, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Abd El-Wahab Ezzat, Assistant Professor of Surgery Ain Shams University is the one to whom I can not express my feelings of thanks and delightness for his extreme patience and help to me in every stage until this work has been completed.



CONTENTS

	Subjects	Page
•	Introduction and Aim of The Work	1
•	Review of Literature	
	* Evaluation of Blunt Abdominal Trauma	6
	* Computed tomogrpahy "CT"	22
	* Ultrasonography "U/S"	38
	* Diagnostic Peritoneal Lavage "DPL"	44
•	Patients & Methods	56
•	Results	63
•	Discussion	73
•	Summary & Conclusion	80
•	Appendix	82
•	References	83
•	Arabic Summary.	



LIST OF TABLES

Table	Subjects	Dage
No.		Page
1	Provides grading system for splenic	
	injuries	11
2	Grading system for hepatic injuries	14
3	Comparison between the characteristic	
	features of DPL and U/S in blunt	41
	abdominal trauma	
4	Positive criteria of DPL	51
5	Patients sex	56
6	Patients ages	56
7	Mechanism of injury in 100 patients with	50
	blunt abdominal trauma	57
8	Associated injuries	57
9	Presenting symptoms and signs	58
10	Results of U/S	63
11	Patients with false negative U/S results	64
12	Computed Tomography results	65
13	False negative CT results	65
14	Patient data	66
15	Open and semiopen lavage results	67
16	Results of DPL in 100 patients (open and	07
	semiopen) methods	68
17	False negative DPL results	69
18	Injuries	69
19	Associated injuries in 12 of 22 patients	0)
	with splenic trauma	70
20	Etiology of Splenic trauma in 22 patients	70
21	Types of splenic injury	71



LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. No.	Subjects	Page
1	Contrast enhanced abdominal CT: Free	
	fluid	25
2	Contrast-enhanced CT: Free fluid in	0
	Douglas pouch	26
3	Contrast enhanced CT scan through lower	
	pelvis. Minimal fluid in pouch of Douglas	26
4	Contrast-enhanced CT scan through lower	
	pelvis. Marked amount of fluid in pouch of	
	Douglas	27
5	Contrast enhanced CT showing splenic	
	injury due to motor vehicle accident	28
	(Arrow)	
6	Contrast enhanced CT showing liver	
	laceration sustained during a fall (Arrow)	29
7	CT scan of abdomen demonstrates large	
	amount of free air (arrow) anterior to the	
	liver	31
8	CT scan through midabdomen shows a	
	large amount of fluid in Morrison's pouch	
	(arrow)	32
9	Contrast-enhanced CT scan through lower	
	pelvis shows moderate amount of	
	intraperitoneal fluid in pouch of Douglas	
	(arrow)	33
10 a, b	DPL, open technique	48
11 a,b	DPL, semiopen technique	40



INTRODUCTION AND AIM OF THE WORK