

# Archaeo-Geophysical Prospecting In Luxor Area, Upper Egypt.

A Thesis

Submitted to the Geophysical Department,

Faculty of Science,

Ain Shams University

for Doctor Philosophy اللومان الجليب يُرْسَكِرُونِلِما أَمَّ المَّارِينِ الدُونِيُّ الْكِكُرُونِيلِمَّا

60904

h

El-Sayed Abdelazim Mohamed Issawy

(M. Sc. Geophysics)

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. A. M. Sabry

Professor Of Geophysics, Geophysics Dept., Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University. Prof. Dr. A. A. Tealeb

professor of Geophysics, National Research Institute of Astronomy and Geophysics, Helwan.

Dr. G. S. Hassan

Lecturer of Geophysics, National Research Institute of Astronomy and Geophysics, Helwan.

CAIRO, 1997





#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

In the first place, praise be to GOD and gratitude is due to almighty GOD who ided and guided me to bring forth this thesis to light. Thanks GOD.

My heartful gratitude to Prof. Dr. N. M. Abu-Ashour, Professor of Geophysics and Head of Geophysics Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, for his offering the facilities for this work.

I have great pleasure in expressing my deep gratitude to Prof. Dr. A. M. Sabry, Professor of Geophysics, Geophysics Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, for his joint supervision, and his continuous and effective moral assistance which encourage me to accomplish this work.

I would like to express my great indebtedness and deep gratefulness to Prof. Dr. A. A. Tealeb, Professor of Geophysics, National Research Institute of Astronomy and Geophysics, Helwan, Cairo, for kind supervision, invaluable guidance and for doing his best in solving all the problems which I'd faced during the long run of research work.

I can not sufficiently expressed my deepest thanks and gratitude to **Dr. Jan Mrlina**, Gravimetry department, Geophysical Institute, Prague, Czech Republic, for valuable discussions, comments, kind cooperation and great helping during all steps of the study.

The gratitude also extended to Prof. Dr. M. Abdelrahman, Professor of Geophysics, Geophysics Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, for his kind discussions at the beginning of the study.

Special thanks for my colleague **Dr. A. H. Radwan** for great helping and encouragement during the long run of the study.

Many thanks for Dr. G. S. Hassan for helping in the field work.

The author express his thanks and gratitude to the staff members of the Recent crustal movements studies depart, as well as **Dr. K. Sakr** and **Dr. S. Mahmoud** and others as well as **Mr. A. Ragab**, for helping in preparing the thesis.

Finally, from all my heart, I feels indebted to my family for their great help and permanent care.



## LIST OF CONTINENTS

	Page No.
LIST OF CONTINENTS	. I
LIST OF FIGURES	VI
LIST OF TABLES	XIII
ABSTRACT	
CHAPTER I	
INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Physiography	1
1.2 Geology	4
1.3 Pervious Geophysical Activities In The Theban	
Necropolis	4
1.3.1 Scope On The Report Of The Southwest Research	
Institute	8
1.3.1.1 Summary Of Earth Resistivity Survey	9
1.3.1.2 Summary Of Vhf Electromagnetic Tests	9
1.3.2 Scope On The Report Of The Weston Geophysical	
Corporation And Archaeological Imaging, Inc	10
1.3.2.1 Magnetic (Total Field) Measurements	11
1.3.2.2 Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR)	13
1.3.2.3 Seismic Refraction Survey	19
1.4 Microgravity In Cavity Detection	20

#### CHAPTER II GRAVITY DATA ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING 2.1 Instrumentation 23 2.1.1 The LaCoste And Romberg Gravity meters..... 23 2.1.2 Station Coordinates Instruments..... 27 2.2 Data Acquisition.... 28 2.2.1 Field Procedure 28 2.2.2 Geodetic Techniques For Determining The Stations Coordinates.... 33 2.3 Data Processing..... 35 2.3.1 Drift Corrections.... 35 2.3.2 Plate Height Corrections..... 36 2.3.3 Terrain Corrections..... 38 2.3.3.1 Hammer Topographic Corrections..... 40 2.3.3.2 Sloping Wedges Technique Of Terrain Corrections 41 2.3.4 Latitude Corrections..... 49 2.3.5 Precision Of The Measurements..... 51 2.4 Bouguer Anomaly Profiles..... 55 2.5 Comparison Between The Results From G And D L&R Gravimeters. 67 CHAPTER III THE GRAVITY EFFECT OF THE ENTRANCES OF THE KNOWN TOMBS

3.1 Introduction.....

3.1.1 The Infinitely-Long Horizontal Polygon.....

73

74

3.1.2 Finite-Length Horizontal Polygons	74
3.1.3 Vertical Polygons	74
3.1.4 Spheres	75
3.2 Gravity Of Polygonal Prisms	75
3.2.1 Derivations Of The Formulas For Gravity Calculations	77
3.3 Gravity Effect Of The Entrances Of The Studied Tombs	80
3.3.1 Entrance Of The Tomb Of Ramses III	81
3.3.2 Tomb Of Amenmesses	89
3.3.3 Tomb Of Ramses I	91
3.3.4 Tomb Of Seti I (1306-1290 B.C.) 19th Dynasty	92
3.3.5 The Engine Room (KV 18)	96
3.3.6 The Tombs Of KV 21, KV 27 And KV 28	103
3.4 Bouguer Anomaly Profiles After Entrances Corrections	105
3.4.1 Bouguer Anomaly Profile Of Line A	106
3.4.2 Bouguer Anomaly Profile Of Line B	108
3.4.3 Bouguer Anomaly Profile Of Line C	110
3.4.4 Bouguer Anomaly Profile Of Line P	112
3.4.5 Bouguer Anomaly Profile Of Line Q	113
3.4.6 Bouguer Anomaly Profile Of Line L	115
CHAPTER IV	
MODELING AND INTERPRETATION OF THE ANOMALIES	
FROM KNOWN TOMBS AND UNKNOWN ANOMALIES IN	
THE VALLEY OF THE KINGS	
4.1. Introduction	117
4.2 Modeling And Intermetation Of The Gravity Data	118

4.2.1 Finite-Length Horizontal Polygon	120
4.2.1.1 Introduction	120
4.2.1.2 Fundamental Integrals	122
4.2.1.3 Gravity End Corrections	125
4.2.1.4 Estimation Of The Strike Length Of A 21/2-D Body	128
4.2.2 Interpretation Of The Gravity Data Of The Known Tombs	129
4.2.2.1 Tomb Of Amenmesses	130
4.2.2.2 Tomb Of Ramses III	133
4.2.2.3 Tomb Of Ramses I	136
4.2.2.4 Seti I Tomb	141
4.2.2.5 The Engine Room	150
4.2.2.6 Tombs Of KV 21, KV 27 And KV 28	154
4.2.2.7 Interpretation Of The Gravity Data And The Anomalies	
Of The Known Tombs With Respect To Each Other.	157
4.2.3 Modeling And Interpretation Of The Gravity Data Along	
Lines Crossed An Unknown Features	160
4.2.3.1 Modeling And Interpretation Of Gravity Profile	
Along Line K	162
4.2.3.2 The Unknown Feature Of The Anomaly On The	
Gravity Profile A (A8)	169
4.2.3.3 The Unknown Anomal Features Along Line V	172
4.2.3.4 The Anomaly Of The New Discovering Of KV 5	173
CHAPTER V	
SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1 Summary And Conclusions	176

5.2 Recommendations	181
REFERENCES	182
ARARIC SUMMARY	

## LIST OF FIGURES

	Page No.
Fig. (1. 1) Location map of the Valley of the Kings area, western side, Luxor	3
Fig. (1. 2) Topographic map of the Valley of the Kings area	5
Fig. (1. 3) Aerial view of the Valley of the Kings	6
Fig. (1. 4) Magnetic profiles in the Valley of the Kings, in the area of KV 48	12
Fig. (1. 5) Magnetic profiles in the Valley of the Kings, in the area of KV 5	14
Fig. (1. 6) Block diagram of the GP-Radar system	16
Fig. (1. 7) Location of the GPR anomalies in the Valley of the Kings (West Valley)	17
Fig. (1. 8) GPR record of the location "C" in the West Valley	18
Fig. (2. 1) Schematic diagram of the construction of the LaCoste and Romber gravimeter	25
Fig. (2. 2) Distribution of the Gravity profiles on the topographic map of the Valley of the Kings area	29
Fig. (2. 3) Schematic diagram showing the location of base-station established in the Valley of the Kings	32
Fig. (2. 4) Time-Drift curve illustrate the drift correction	27

Fig. (2. 5) Schematic diagram of the terrain correction due to effect of the undulating terrain	39
Fig. (2. 6) Topographic model with flat-topped and conic prism	42
Fig. (2. 7) Illustration of the convergence of the two terrain correction models applied to an analytic relief, with a density of 2.67 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	45
Fig. (2. 8) The effect of terrain corrections along the gravity profile B	48
Fig. (2. 9) The effect of latitude correction along line L	50
Fig. (2.10) The precision of the measurements represented by the standard deviation of the data measured by D-188 and G-1043 of L&R Gravimeters	54
Fig. (2.11) The Bouguer anomaly profile (measured by D-188 L&R) and topography along line A with underlined tombs	56
Fig. (2.12) The Bouguer anomaly profile (measured by G- 1043 L&R) and topography along line B with underlined tombs	57
Fig. (2.13) The Bouguer anomaly profile (measured by G-1043 L&R) and topography along line C	58
Fig. (2.14) The Bouguer anomaly profile (measured by D- 188 L&R) and topography along line P with underlined tomb	59
Fig. (2.15) The Bouguer anomaly profile (measured by G-1043 L&R) and topography along line Q with underlined tomb	60
Fig. (2.16) The Bouguer anomaly profile (measured by G-1043 L&R) and topography along line L	61

Fig. (2.17)	The Bouguer anomaly profile (measured by D-188 L&R) and topography along line K	62
Fig. (2.18)	The Bouguer anomaly profile (measured by G-1043 L&R) and topography along line V	63
Fig. (2.19)	The Bouguer anomaly profile (measured by G-1043 L&R) and topography along line M	65
Fig. (2.20)	The Bouguer anomaly profile (measured by G-1043 L&R) and topography along line N	66
Fig. (2.21)	The Bouguer anomaly profile (measured by D-188 L&R) and topography along line O	67
Fig. (2.22)	The comparison between the Bouguer anomaly Profiles measured by the G&D models of the L&R Gravimeters along line A	69
Fig. (2.23)	The comparison between the Bouguer anomaly Profiles measured by the G&D models of the L&R Gravimeters along line B	70
Fig. (2.24)	The comparison between the Bouguer anomaly Profiles measured by the G&D models of the L&R Gravimeters along line P	71
Fig. (2.25)	The comparison between the Bouguer anomaly Profiles measured by the G&D models of the L&R Gravimeters along line Q	72
Fig. (3.1a)	Calculation of the gravity effect at spot locations along the gravity profiles	76
Fig. (3.1b)	Geometric elements involved in the surface calculation of gravity effect which done on a rectangular horizontal area	76

Fig. (3. 2) Geometric elements involved in the calculations of gravity and magnetic anomalies caused by a polygonal prism	78
Fig. (3. 3) Schematic diagram of the entrance of the tomb of Ramses III	82
Fig. (3. 4) Lateral and top view of the geometric coordinates of the divided modeled bodies of the entrance of tomb of Ramses III	83
Fig. (3. 5) Schematic diagram of the entrance of the tomb of KV 10 (tomb of Amenmesses)	90
Fig. (3. 6) Schematic diagram of the entrance of the tomb of Ramses I	93
Fig. (3. 7) Lateral and top view of the geometric coordinates of the divided modeled bodies of the entrance of the tomb of Ramses I	94
Fig. (3. 8) Schematic diagram of the entrance of the tomb of Seti I	97
Fig. (3. 9) Lateral and top view of the geometric coordinates of the divided modeled bodies of the entrance of tomb of Seti I	98
Fig. (3.10) Schematic diagram of the entrance of the Engine Room	100
Fig. (3.11) Lateral and top view of the geometric coordinates of the divided modeled bodies of the entrance of the Engine Room	101
Fig. (3.12) The gravity effect of the entrances of the tombs of Seti I and the Engine Room on the line A	108
Fig. (3.13) The gravity effect of the entrances of the tombs of Ramses I and Seti I on the line B	110

Fig. (3.14)	The gravity effect of the entrances of the tombs	
	of Ramses I, Seti I and the Engine Room on the line C	111
Fig. (3.15)	The gravity effect of the entrances of the tombs of Ramses III and Amenmesses (KV 10) on line P	113
Fig. (3.16)	The gravity effect of the entrances of the tombs of Amenmesses (KV 10) and Ramses III on line Q	114
Fig. (3.17)	The gravity effect of the entrance of the tombs of KV 21, KV 27 and KV 28 on line L	116
Fig. (4, 1)	2½-D body	121
Fig. (4. 2)	The constructed model of the corridor of the tomb of Amenmesses (KV 10)	131
Fig. (4. 3)	The different steps of correcting, processing and interpreting the data of the gravity profile along line Q	132
Fig. (4. 4)	The constructed model of the corridors of the tomb of Ramses III	134
	The different steps of correcting, processing and interpreting of the data of the gravity profile along the line P	135
Fig. (4. 6)	The constructed model of the tomb of Ramses I.	138
Fig. (4. 7)	The different steps of correcting, processing and interpretation of the data of the gravity profile along line B	141
Fig. (4. 8)	Schematic diagram showing the distribution of the room and corridors in the tombs of Seti I and Ramses I.	143