# MANAGEMENT OF CRANIOPHARYNGIOMAS

#### **Thesis**

Submitted for Partial Fulfilment of Master Degree in General Surgery

By Khaled M. Abd Al-Aziz 36.3. a of

#### Supervisors

#### Prof. Dr. Hasanein Alsharif

Professor of Neurosugaery Laculty of Medicine All Stand University

#### Prof. Dr. Adel Hussein Al-Hakim

Francescom of Digitalisaty, my Granding of Medicine Grand Stanta University

#### Dr. Magdy M. El-Kalliny

Assu Prof. of Represurgery y nowing of Medicine Tra Shams Oniverses

Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 1993 سُبُحَانكَ لاَ علْمَ لَنَا إلا ما عَلَمْتَنَا إِنَّكَ أَنتَ العَليمُ الحكيمُ (سورة البقرة : آية ٣٢)



# To My PARENTS

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

My sincere gratitude should be submitted first to ALLAH, who always helps me and cares for me.

I would like to express my sincere thanks and highest abbreviation to my Prof. Dr. Hassanien Alsharif, Prof. of Neurosurgery. Ain Shams University for his valuable supervision. No words can express my feeling and respect to his kind care. Fatherly attitude continuous encouragement and constructive criticism given to me at every stage of this work.

It's a great pleasure for me to express may grateful appreciation to my Prof. Dr. Adel Hussein El-Hakim, Prof. of Keurosurgery. Ain Shams University for his enthusiastic guidance and goverous supervision. All this and more leaves me greatly indebted.

I am deeply indepted to Dr. Magdy El-Kalliny, Assistant Prof. of Neurosurgery. Ain Shams University for his adequate and beneficial supervision guidance and revision of this work to put it in this way. I am gratefull to all my professors, senior staff and colleagues in Department of Neurosurgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for their generous advice and cooperation.

Lastly, I would like to extend my overlasting gratitude to all who offered me any kind help especially my patients on whom and for them this study has been done.

Khaled Abd Al-Aziz 1993

# **CONTENTS**

	Page
Introduction and Aim of The Work	l
Review of Literature :	
Incidence	3
Sex distribution	3
Embryology	4
Microsurgical anatomy	9
Third ventricle	9
Sellar region	l4
Parasellar anatomy	19
Hypothalamus	28
$Neuroendocrinolog_{\mathcal{V}}$	$\frac{37}{37}$
Surgical pathology	42
Clinical picture	5l
Investigations	56
Neuroophthalmological evaluation	56
Endocrinal assessment	6l
Radiological assessment	62
Modalities and options of treatment	68 68
History	68
Properative evaluation	69
Management of hydrocephalus	71
Surgical management	72
Surgical approaches	78
Postoperative complications and management	103
Vision	103
Diabetes insipidus	104
Other endocrinopathies	110
Hypothalamic and neurobehavioral syndromes	112
Postoperative follow up	ll3
Radiotherapy	116
Conventional external radiotherapy	116

Role of stereotactic techniques in management	118
Chemotherapy	l24
Recurrent craniopharyngiomas	l25
Clinical Materials and Methods	128
Illustrative cases	<i>l</i> 37
Results	151
Discussion	189
Summary	212
Conclusion	216
References	218
Arabic Summary	

# INTRODUCTION AND AIM OF THE WORK

### INTRODUCTION AND AIM OF THE WORK

Craniopharyngiomas, which are primarily tumors of childhood, are the most common intracranial tumor of nonglial origin in children. Their treatment has always presented a challenge to the neurosurgeon.

Although they are histologically benign, their poximity to the hypothalamus, optic nerves, and internal carotid arteries and their key branches poses an extremely difficult problem even for the experienced and determined neurosurgeon.

Different strategies exist for the primary treatment of craniopharvngiomas.

Proponents of radical removal. conservative surgery. radiation therapy, or a combination of these modalities vigorously espouse their points of view.

The problem is compounded by several factors. First, the growth characteristics of craniopharyngiomas vary considerably. Some patients may lead virtually symptom free lives despite untreated tumor, while others will have tumor that

grow in an uncontrolled manner despite radical surgery and radiation therapy. Second, there may be a difference in behavior of these tumors in the pediatric and adult populations. Finally it is difficult to assess earlier surgical series before the routine use of the operating microscope; it is likely that the morbidity of surgery for this tumor will be much lower with careful microsurgical techniques. combined with advances in preoperative and postoperative endocrine management.

#### Aim of the work:

The aim of our work is to review embryology, regional anatomy, surgical pathology, clinical picture and investigations for craniopharyngiomas. We also discuss preoperative assessment, evaluate different ways of management, and postoperative complications.

# REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Craniopharyngiomas are relatively rare tumors, constitute between 1.2-3% of all intracranial tumors (Zulch K, 1986) with 0.5-2 new cases million population occurring each year (Sorva and Heisknen, 1986).

They are much more common among children, forming 9%of Matson's series of childhood brain tumors, and making up 54% of neoplasms in the sella-chiasmal region in children Matson DD, 1969. However, one-half of the total cases are found in adults (Sorva and Heisknen, 1986; Zulch K. 1986).

There is bimodal age distribution with the first peak at 5-10 years (Carmel PW, 1985) and second peak between 55-65 years (Banna M. et al., 1973), but the tumour may be symptomatic at any age.

#### Sex distribution

Craniopharyngiomas might show male predominance (Carmel PW, 1982). However, some series showed equal sex distribution (HJ Hoffman, 1977: Dachling Pang, 1993).

# **EMBRYOLOGY**

The term "craniopharyngioma" was first used in 1931 by Frazier and Alpers and by Cushing in 1932.

The first detailed autopsy acount of such tumor was gived in 1857 by Zenker, further histological evidence for the tumor was given in 1860 by Luscka, and in 1904 Erdheim carefully detailed the histological features of craniopharyngiomas and postulated that they roginated from embryonic squamous cell rests of an incompletely involuted hypophyseal-pharyngeal duct.

# Embryologic origin: (Dachling Pang, 1993)

For several decades, the origin of craniopharyngioma was thought to be related to the embryogenesis of anterior pituitary lobe.

At the end of third gestational week, the stomodeal ectoderm invaginates toward the diencephalon and eventually meets the downwardly projecting infundibular bud. As the sphenoid bone forms ventral of this complex, the stomodeal cleft is pinched off from the pharyngeal epithelium and the cleft becomes a pouch (of Rathke) whose wall later thickens to form the various parts of the anterior pituitary lobe. Ectoblastic cell rests have been found in the pars distalis and tuberalis, and

along the dorsal migration path of the stomodeal cleft, known as the hypophyseopharyngeal duct (craniopharyngeal duct). The frequent occurrence of craniopharyngiomas around infundibular stalk, their occasional presence along the ventral hypophyseopharyngeal duct (e.g. within the sphenoid bone), and the striking histologic similarities between craniopharyngiomas and tumors of known ectoblastic origin, such as adamantinomas, led Erdheim 1904 and others (Tiberin, 1958; Goldberg, 1960 and Partuiset, 1975), to propose that craniopharyngiomas are all drived from ectoblastic reminants.

During 1950s, the embryonic origin of craniopharyngioma was challenged when it was discovered that the pituitary squamous cell rests were rarely present in children under 10 years, but were found with increasing frequency in each succeeding decade, even though the peak incidence of craniopharyngiomas are from age 5-10 years (Luse SA et al., 1955). It was postulated that these squamous cell rests, from which craniopharyngiomas originate are products of metaplasia of the mature cells of the anterior pituitary, and not embryonic remnants.

There is evidence to suggest that craniopharyngiomas may indeed have dual origins. The so-called childhood type, which