

Ain Shams University
Faculty of Girls for Art,
Science and Education
Physics Department

رسالة

STUDIES ON

NUCLEAR RESEARCH REACTORS

THESIS SUBMITTED

FOR THE Ph.D. DEGREE IN PHYSICS

BY

HANAA GALAL AHMED SALEH

539.75
H. Q

SUPERVISOR COMMITTEE

Prof. Dr. Aly Mohamed El-Naim
Prof. of Theoretical Nuclear Physics
Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Mourad Labib Michael
Prof. of Reactors Theory
Atomic Energy Authority

Dr. Fawzi Hakem Dimitri
Assis. Prof. Reactors Engineering
Atomic Energy Authority



1993

48187

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

وَقَدْ رَفَعْنَا

صَدَقَ اللَّهُ الْعَظِيمِ



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Girls for Art,
Science and Education

THESIS FOR Ph.D. DEGREE IN PHYSICS

SUBMITTED BY

HANAA GALAL AHMED SALEH

TITLE OF THESIS


STUDIES ON NUCLEAR RESEARCH REACTORS

SUPERVISOR COMMITTEE



Prof. Dr. Aly Mohamed El-Naim
Prof. of Theoretical Nuclear Physics
Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Mourad Labib Michaeli
Prof. of Reactors theory
Nuclear Research Center
Atomic Energy Authority



Dr. Fawzi Hakem Dimitri
Assis. Prof. of Reactors Engineering
Nuclear Research Center
Atomic Energy Authority



Date of Research:

Approval stamp

Date of Approval / /1993

**Approval of Faculty
Council**

**Approval of University
Council**

Name of student : HANAA GALAL AHMED SALEH
Title of thesis : STUDIES ON NUCLEAR RESEARCH REACTORS
Scientific Degree : M.Sc.
Department : PHYSICS
Name of Faculty : FACULTY OF GIRLS
University : AIN SHAMS
M.Sc. Graduation date: 1983
Ph.D. Graduation date:

Chapter (5)	
Studies on the Egyptian	
Second Reserach Reactor	
(cell and Core Parameters Calculations, fuel	
burn-up)	
5.1 Introduction	111
5.2 Results	116
5.3 Conclusion	118
General Conclusions	139
References	143
Arabic Summary	150

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Fig. (2.A) Diagram for the five points difference equations	24
Fig. (2.1) Fast and thermal Flux distribution For the case (Fuel-H ₂ O)	38
Fig. (2.2) Fast and thermal Flux distribution For the case (homogeneous (Fuel and C.R.)-H ₂ O)	39
Fig. (2.3) Fast and thermal Flux distribution For the case (H ₂ O - Fuel - H ₂ O)	40
Fig. (2.4) Fast and thermal Flux distribution For the case (H ₂ O - F - C.R. - F- H ₂ O)	41
Fig. (2.5) Fast and thermal flux distribution for the case (Fuel - homogeneous (H ₂ O+C.R.))	42
Fig. (2.6) Fast and thermal flux distribution for the case (H ₂ O - F - H ₂ O -C.R. -H ₂ O)	43
Fig. (2.7) Fast and thermal Flux distribution For the case (H ₂ O - F- homogeneous (H ₂ O+C.R.))	44
Fig. (2.8) Fast and thermal Flux distribution For the case (H ₂ O homogeneous (Fuel and C.R.)-H ₂ O)	45
Fig. (2.9) Fast and thermal Flux distribution For the case (Fuel - Gr.)	46
Fig. (2.10) Fast and thermal Flux distribution For the case (F - C.R. - F- Gr.)	47
Fig. (2.11) Fast and thermal flux distribution for the case (H ₂ O - homogeneous (Fuel+C.R.)-Gr.)	48
Fig. (2.12) Fast and thermal flux distribution for the case (H ₂ O - F-C.R.- Gr.)	49

	Page
Fig. (2.13) Fast and thermal flux distribution for the case (F-homogeneous (Gr.+C.R.)H ₂ O)	50
Fig. (2.14) Fast and thermal flux distribution for the case (H ₂ O - F- homogeneous (Gr+C.R.)-H ₂ O)	51
Fig. (2.15) Fast and thermal Flux distribution For the case (H ₂ O - F- Gr. - C.R.-Gr.)	52
Fig. (2.16) Fast and thermal Flux distribution For the case (Fuel - Be.)	53
Fig. (2.17) Fast and thermal Flux distribution For the case (homogeneous (F+C.R.) - Be.)	54
Fig. (2.18) Fast and thermal Flux distribution For the case (H ₂ O - F - Be.)	55
Fig. (2.19) Fast and thermal flux distribution for the case (H ₂ O - homogeneous (F.+C.R.)+Be.)	56
Fig. (2.20) Fast and thermal flux distribution for the case (F-homogeneous (Be+C.R.))	57
Fig. (2.21) Fast and thermal flux distribution for the case (H ₂ O -F-homogeneous (Be.+ C.R.))	58
Fig. (2.22) Fast and thermal flux distribution for the case (H ₂ O - F- C.R. - F - Be.)	59
Fig. (2.23) Fast and thermal flux distribution for the case (H ₂ O -F- Be.- C.R. - Be)	60
Fig. (2.24) The proposed core configuration.	61
Fig. (3.1) The concentration variation of some fission products ($\lambda > 10^{-10}$) (Tc-99, I-129, Cs-135) with time of operation and cooling, year by year.	85

	Page
Fig. (3.2) The concentration variation of some fission products ($\lambda \approx 10^{-9}, 10^{-10}$) (Kr-85, Sr-90, Cs-137, Sm-151, Pm - 147) with time of operation and cooling, year by year.	86
Fig. (3.3) The concentration variation of some fission products ($\lambda \approx 10^{-9}$) (Sb-125, Eu - 155, Eu - 154) with time of operation and cooling, year by year.	87
Fig. (3.4) The concentration variation of some fission products ($\lambda \approx 10^{-7}, 10^{-8}$) (Ru-106, Sn-123, Sb-126, Nd-135) with time of operation and cooling, year by year.	88
Fig. (3.5) The concentration variation of some fission products ($\lambda \approx 10^{-7}, 10^{-8}$) (Ce-141, Pr-143, Ce-144) with time of operation and cooling, year by year.	89
Fig. (3.6) The concentration variation of some fission products ($\lambda \approx 10^{-5}, 10^{-6}$) (I-132, Mo-99, Cd-115, Xe-135) with time of operation and cooling, year by year.	90
Fig. (3.7) The concentration variation of some fission products ($\lambda \approx 10^{-3}, 10^{-4}$) (Te-129, Ba-137, Pr-144, Rb-88) with time of operation and cooling, year by year.	91
Fig. (3.8) The change of total Activity with flux for the square shape basket.	92
Fig. (4.1) cross section of IRT-2M assembly.	99
Fig. (4.2) Working core configuration with central neutron trap 100 mm diameter.	100
Fig. (4.3) Fast and thermal flux distribution for the case no control rods.	102

	Page
Fig. (4.4) Fast and thermal flux distribution for the case where one control rod is inserted	103
Fig. (4.5) Fast and thermal flux distribution for the case where two control rods are inserted.	104
Fig. (4.6) Fast and thermal flux distribution for the case where four control rods are inserted.	105
Fig. (4.7) variation of thermal flux distribution for the case where eight control rods are inserted.	106
Fig. (4.8) Fast and thermal flux distribution with distance (for different number of control rods).	107
Fig. (4.9) Variation of Fast neutron flux distribution with distance (for different number of control rods).	108
Fig.(4.10) The variation of the worth with the number of control rods.	109
Fig.(4.11) The variation of K_{eff} with the numbr C.R. Inserted in fuel.	110
Fig. (5.A) Diagram of the ETR-R2	125
Fig. (5.1) Variation of the infinite multiplication factor K_{∞} with time of operation.	126
Fig. (5.2) The depletion of U-235 with time of operation.	127
Fig. (5.3) The depletion of U-238 with time of operation.	128
Fig. (5.4) The formation of PU-239 with time of operation.	129
Fig. (5.5) The formation of PU-240 and Pu-241 with time of operation.	130

	Page
Fig. (5.6) The formation of Sm-149 with time of operation.	131
Fig. (5.7) The activity variation of fission products Kr-85, Sn-126, Sb-125, Eu-155 with time.	132
Fig. (5.9) The activity variation of fission products Rb-88, Sr-92, Nb-97, Pr-144, Kr-88, Te-131 with time.	133
Fig. (5.8) The activity variation of fission products Sr-89, Zr-95, Y-91, Cs-134, Ru-103, with time.	134
Fig.(5.10) Radial thermal neutron flux distribution	135
Fig.(5.11) Radial fast neutron flux distribution.	136
Fig.(5.12) Axial thermal neutron flux distribution.	137
Fig.(5.13) Axial fast neutron flux distribution.	138

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table (2.1) Macroscopic Cross-sections for different reactor materials	32
Table (2.2) Critical parameters for different cases (H ₂ O reflector)	34
Table (2.3) Critical parameters for different cases (Beryllium reflector).	35
Table (2.4) Critical parameters for different cases (Graphite reflector).	36
Table (3.A) The nuclear data used for radioactive Fission products.	74
Table (3.1) Burn up and activities for different fuel baskets.	78
Table (3.2) Burn up and activity year by year for a square basket.	82
Table (3.3) Burn up and activity year by year for a two cut- corners basket.	83
Table (3.4) Burn up and activity year by year for a three cut-corners basket.	84
Table (4.1) Main parameters of IRT-2M fuel assembly.	96
Table (4.2) Macroscopic data for the TT code.	97
Table (4.3) Comparison between the presented and the Russian results.	98
Table (5.1) Variation of cell parameters of the ETRR-2 reactor with time.	119

	Page
Table (5.2) Variation of the fuel concentration and plutonium isotopes formation with time of operation.	120
Table (5.3) Variation of concentration of some fission products of the ETRR-2 fuel with time	121

ABSTRACT

This thesis deals with the study of some problems in nuclear reactor design, namely:

- The nuclear design of a zero power reactor;
- Burn up and radioactive calculations of ETR-R1;
- Study of raising the ETR-R1 reactor power; and
- Study on ETR-R2 reactor.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my gratitude to those who supervised my work.

**1- Prof. Dr. Aly Mohamed El-Naim
Prof. of Theoretical Nuclear Physics
Faculty of Girls for Art, Science and Education.
Ein Shams Univeristy.**

**2- Prof. Dr. Mourad Labib Michael
Prof. of Reactors Theory
Reactors Dept.,
Nuclear Research Center
Atomic Energy Authority**

**3- Dr. F.H. Dimitri
Assis.Prof. of Reactors Engineering.
Reactors Dept;
Nuclear Research Center
Atomic Energy Authority**

I am also grateful to those who supported me in this research;

**1- Prof. Dr. Aida Badr El. Bialy
Head of physics Dept, Faculty of Girls for Art, Science and
Education, Ein Shams University**

**2- Dr. N.A. Ashoub
Lecturer of reactor physics
Reactor and Neutron phys. Dept.
Nuclear Research Center
Atomic Energy Authority**

**3- Computer group, Reactors Dept.
Atomic Energy Authority**

I would like to extend my gratitude to following authorities:-

1- Physics Dept. Faculty of Girls for Art, Science and Education.

2- Atomic Energy Authority.