

79

Handwritten signature or initials, possibly "El Adawy", written in a cursive style.

PHYSIOLOGICAL AND YIELD RESPONSE  
OF TOBACCO TO POTASSIUM

By

EL ADAWY ABDOU EL KHOLANY

(B.Sc.)



Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment  
of requirement for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

1968

Plant Production Department,  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Ain Shams University

1968

This thesis for the M.Sc. degree  
has been approved by :

.....

.....

.....

Date :- .....



#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

It gives the author a great pleasure to express his appreciation and gratitude to Dr. M.A. Moursi, Professor of Agronomy and Dr. A.A. Abd El-Gawad, Assist. Professor in Plant Production Department, under whose supervision this work was carried out. Their fruitful assistance, criticism and precious advice were invaluable, especially during the preparation of this manuscript.

-----

## C O N T E N T S

	Page
I- INTRODUCTION	1
II- REVIEW OF LITERATURE	2
III- MATERIALS AND METHODS	12
IV- RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	18
A - Growth of tobacco plant	18
1- Effect of potassium levels on the growth of different parts of tobacco plant.	18
2- Effect of time of application of potassium on the growth of different parts of tobacco plant.	20
3- Effect of potassium carrier on the growth of different parts of tobacco plant.	22
4- Developmental growth of different parts of tobacco plant.	24
B - Chemical Composition	26
1- Effect of potassium level on the chemical contents of tobacco plant.	26
2- Effect of time of application of potassium on the chemical contents of tobacco plant.	28
3- Effect of potassium carrier on the chemical contents of tobacco plant.	30
4- Changes in the chemical contents of tobacco plant during growth period.	30
C - Yield	34
1- Effect of potassium level on the yield of tobacco leaves.	34
2- Effect of time of application of potassium on the yield of tobacco leaves.	37
3- Effect of potassium carrier on the yield of tobacco plant.	38

	Page
4. Effect of potassium level on the chemical contents of the leaves of tobacco plant.	39
5. Effect of time of application of potassium on the chemical contents of the leaves of tobacco plants.	40
6. Effect of potassium carrier on the chemical contents of the leaves of tobacco plants.	41
V-SUMMARY	43
VI-LITERATURE CITED	47
ARABIC SUMMARY	

## I. INTRODUCTION

Inspite of the ever-increasing importance of tobacco in the agricultural economy and the remarkable increase in recent years in processing and consumption of tobacco products, in the UAR, yet tobacco is not grown for commercial uses. Tobacco plants in UAR are grown in a very narrow scale for research purposes in recent years.

This work was designed to investigate the effect of potassium level, its carrier and its time of application on the growth, and yield of tobacco plants. Due consideration was given to the effect of these factors on the chemical contents of tobacco plants during their growth period.

## II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Much experiment work has been conducted in different places to investigate the effect of different amounts of potassium on yield and growth of tobacco plant. So far the author is aware, no much work in UAR was carried out regarding the relationship between potassium fertilizer and yield of tobacco plant.

### A- Growth

#### 1- Effect of level of potassium fertilizer on the growth of tobacco plant.

Results obtained by Staff members of Gowtaran Institute (1946) working on nutritional studies using Ghoras river sand and fertilized with a complete 4-8-8 mixture at 1200 lb./acre, plants showed that extra potassium did not greatly affect the amount of growth of tobacco plant.

Bowling and Brown (1947) found that potassium increased the weight of tobacco leaf.

Mishikawa and Ichichima (1952) reported that the weight of tobacco plant, the total number of useful leaves on the plant and the mean length of the stem internodes decreased as the amount of potassium suppl.

increased. They added that the fresh weight of middle and top leaves were reduced by excessive potassium. Potassium was supplied as sulphate, the levels of  $K_2O$  varying according from 0 to 8 gram per pot.

Shat (1957) on cotton soils of Andhra Pradesh found that in numerous experiments with flue-cured Virginia tobacco no responses to potassium were observed.

Schripfer (1959) demonstrated that potassium deficiency symptoms on tobacco yields in Austria were observed in spite of applications of  $K_2O$  as high as 250 kg. per hectare. He added that the height of plant, length and width but not number of leaves increased with amounts of  $K_2O$  applied.

#### Effect of potassium carrier on the growth of tobacco plant.

It was recommended by the Agronomy Tobacco Conference held in the Southern States of the U.S.A. (1946) working on flue-cured tobacco that a small amount of Cl increased the value of the crop but excess of Cl injured growth. The mixture should contain 2% or where the pH of soil is over 5.6 the maximum may be 3%.

Fowling and Brown (1947) working on Maryland tobacco found that sulphate increased the average height of plants and the length of internodes but did not affect the number of

leaves. They added that muriate produced more leaves and shorter internodes. than sulphate .

Wedin and Struckmeyer (1955) demonstrated that average length and weight of leaves decreased as  $SO_4$  levels were increased beyond 96 p.p.m. They added that plants grown at lower Cl exhibited no marked growth responses, but increased in average length and weight of leaf.

Chouteau (1961) using concentration of 0.5 to 1 m.eq./sulphate found that higher concentration did not improve growth of tobacco plant. He added that leaves became necrotic when the K :  $SO_4$  ratio was nearer to or below one.

Pal et al (1963) found that by using the levels of Cl (0 , 0.99, 1.98, 3.96, 5.94 gm. per plant) with a uniform supply of other nutrients in sand culture the growth of leaves was not influenced by Cl supply.

#### B- Chemical Composition

##### 1- Effect of potassium application on the chemical composition of tobacco plant.

Askew et al (1947), Wober and Peer (1957) and Chouteau (1964) found that increasing the proportion of potassium in fertilizer slightly increased the potassium content of tobacco plant.

Dela (1957) found that by increasing the amount of potassium applied up to 180 lb./acre was followed by an increase assimilable potassium and of potassium content of the plant.

Woltz et al (1948) indicated that by using 30-150 lb/acre of  $K_2O$  in combination of fertilizers the nicotine content was independent of potassium and Cl content of tobacco plant.

McEvoy (1951) in sand culture applied the fluecured tobacco at first with a complete nutrient solution of optimum concentration it was topped after eight weeks of growth. He found that a decrease of potassium res resulted in a reduction in potassium content in tissues.

Nishikawa and Ichihara (1952) demonstrated that there was no definite relationship between nitrogen and nicotine contents and amount of potassium supplied.

Kakehashi and Yoshida (1952) revealed that phosphorus content was not affected by increasing potassium concentration.

Schipfer (1959) found that lack of potassium caused low potassium contents of leaves and the phosphorus content of the leaves did not change significantly with changing potassium fertilizer.

*of potassium fertilizer*

McCants (1960) showed that at higher amounts (greater than 50 lb./acre) the potassium content of the cured leaves increased.

3- Effect of potassium carrier on the chemical composition of tobacco plant.

Askew et al (1947) revealed that by increasing muriate the chloride content of tobacco plant increased.

Wedin and Struckmeyer (1955) indicated that chloride percentage in leaf was increased to a greater extent by additional chloride ion.

Takahashi and Yoshida (1957) demonstrated that increasing cultural chloride concentrations increased chloride leaf contents. They added that chloride content was unaffected by sulphate supply.

Neas (1961), Elliot and Vickery (1961) and Copalechhari (1962) showed that chloride content of leaf increased by increasing chloride supply.

Atkinson et al (1962) reported that sulphate fertilizers tended to increase the chloride contents of leaves though chloride was low.

Copalechhari (1962) indicated that chloride did not influence the uptake of the other elements except phosphorus which increased by increasing chloride.

C - Yield

- Effect of potassium application on the yield of tobacco:  
1945.

Allen and McEvoy (1945) found on Cigar tobacco that potassium appears to have a great influence on quality than on yield, the effect of adequate potassium manuring on yield, being negligible. They added that 200 lb. of  $K_2O$  per acre brought additional increases of yield and quality.

The Agronomy Tobacco Conference for Blue-cured tobacco in the United States of A.S.A. (1946), indicated that potassium influenced the yield of blue-cured tobacco plant and the number of  $K_2O$  should be applied.

Higher doses of  $K_2O$  did not influence the quantity of tobacco leaves, La Ross and Legros (1950).

McEvoy (1951) by using sand culture, was at first supplied Blue-cured tobacco with a complete nutrient solution at optimum concentration and after eight weeks of growth the potassium content of the solution was reduced by 50% to zero, found that a decrease of potassium reduced the yield of tobacco plant.

By using Orinico tobacco, Turpin (1952) showed that by application of 240 pounds of available  $K_2O$  per (morgen) as chloride and sulphate forms gave the best yields of tobacco plant and above these levels both forms depressed yields.

Stinson (1953) at southern Rhodesia found that the leaf yield increased by applying 90 pounds of  $K_2O$  per acre.

Elliot and Vickery (1954) in field experiment using flue-cured tobacco grown on sand soil demonstrated that application of 180 pounds of  $K_2O$  per acre gave highest yield.

Walker (1955) indicated that additional application of potassium may be beneficial for some soils. There was no benefit derived from increased potassium under the condition of this experiment (irrigated flue-cured tobacco).

Berbec and Berezowski (1956) on the basis of the experiment conducted on a Vistula alluvial soil, ~~it could~~ ~~be~~ concluded that additional potassium fertilization highly influenced yields especially during years favourable for the growth and development of tobacco. They added that best results were obtained with the application of 72 Kgs. of  $K_2O$  per hectare.

Bhat (1957) showed in numerous experiments with flue-cured tobacco that no response to potassium was observed.

Increasing potash applications above 80 pounds of  $K_2O$  per acre gave no significant yield increase in either the two or the three year rotation as demonstrated by Laprade et al (1957).

Increasing  $K_2O$  application from 80 to 160 pounds per acre produced a significant increase in yield as revealed by McIlvaine et al (1957) but a further 80 pounds did not.

Wallace (1958)<sup>working</sup> on shade tobacco found that yield was influenced only slightly by  $K_2O$  at 100-200 pounds per acre and added that the basic treatment of 200 pounds of  $K_2O$  was as good as a high rate with 125 pounds of  $P_2O_5$  and 200 pounds of nitrogen.

Sajnani and Dhyani (1959) showed that by applying  $K_2O$  at 0, 50 and 100 pounds per acre to chewing tobacco, the potassium response did not reach significance in any year (5 seasons).

Dimitrijevic (1960) reported in experiments over 4 years on Nicotiana rustica in 3 localities that the highest yield was produced by application rates did not produce proportional increase in yields.