

THE EFFECT OF FEED BULKINESS AND FIBRE LEVEL
ON THE DIGESTIVE TRACT OF THE CHICK

By

AHMED HASAN DAADER

B.Sc. Agric. (Animal Production)

Ain-Shams University 1960

A THESIS

Submitted to the Faculty of Agriculture

Ain-Shams University in partial fulfilment of

the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

1972

APPROVAL SHEET

Title Of Thesis :

The Effect Of feed Bulkiness And Fiber Level
On The Digestive Tract Of The Chick.

Name Of Candidate :

Ahmed Hasan Daader

Approved by :

...*G. Shihata*.....

...*M. R. El-Hadi*.....

...*F. E. Abdel Salam*...

Committee in charge

Date: 30 / 3 / 1972.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The writer wishes to express his great indebtedness and sincere appreciation to Dr. O. SHEHATA, Professor of animal nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his patient supervision and invaluable advice.

Sincerest regards are due to Dr. M. AFIFI, Assistant Professor of poultry nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for suggesting the problem and his close supervision during the course of this study.

Sincerest gratitude is also due to Dr. G. KAMAR, Associate Professor of poultry physiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, for his supervision and invaluable help in performing this work.

C O N T E N T S

	<u>Page</u>
CHAPTER I- INTRODUCTION.....	1
CHAPTER II- REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	3
1. Effect of food volume on food consumption.....	3
2. Effect of food volume on chick performance.....	5
3. Effect of food volume on some morphological characteristics of the gastrointestinal tract.....	10
CHAPTER III- MATERIAL AND METHODS.....	13
1. Experimental birds	13
2. Management.....	14
3. Experimental rations.....	14
4. Plan and techniques.....	18
CHAPTER IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	20
I- Effect of food volume on food consumption.....	20
II- Effect of food volume on chick performance.....	24
1. Live weight.....	24
2. Live weight gain.....	26
3. Relative growth rate.....	30
4. Feed utilization.....	36
5. Eviscerated weight and dressing percentage.....	39
III- Effect of food volume on some morphological characteristics of the gastrointestinal tract....	42
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....	54
REFERENCES.....	58
APPENDIX.....	64
ARABIC SUMMARY.....	1 - 4

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table No.</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	The formula of the experimental rations.....	15
2	Values for feed ingredient volume.....	17
3	Average weekly food consumption of the birds at different ages.....	21
4	Average live body weights of different groups at different ages.....	24
5	Live body gains of different groups at different ages.....	27
6	Relative growth rates of different groups at different ages.....	31
7	Feed conversion data of different groups at different ages.....	37
8	Eviscerated weight and dressing percentage of male & female groups at 14-weeks of age.....	40
9	Weight and percentage of the digestive organs for males of different groups at 14-weeks of age.....	43
10	Weight and percentage of the digestive organs for females of different groups at 14-weeks of age.....	44

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure No.</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Weekly live body weights for birds of groups A, B and C at different ages...	25
2	Weekly body weight gains for birds of groups A, B and C at different ages...	28
3	Weekly relative growth rates for birds of groups A, B and C at different ages.	32

APPENDIX TABLES

<u>Table No.</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Analysis of variance for food consumption data of different groups at each 4 weeks period....	64
2	Duncan's test for food consumption data of different groups at different periods.....	65
3	Analysis of variance for live body weight data of different groups at each 4 weeks and 0-12 weeks.....	66
4	Duncan's test for live body weight data of different groups at different periods.....	67
5	Analysis of variance for body weight gain data of different groups at each 4 weeks and 0 - 12 weeks.....	68
6	Duncan's test for body weight gain data of different groups at different ages.....	69
7	Analysis of variance for data of relative growth rates of different groups at each 4 weeks and 0 - 12 weeks.....	70
8	Duncan's test for data of relative growth rate of different groups at different ages.....	71
9	Analysis of variance for feed conversion data of different groups at each 4 weeks and at 0 - 12 weeks.....	72
10	Duncan's test for feed conversion data of different groups at different ages.....	73
11	Analysis of variance for data of eviscerated carcass weight and dressing percentage of male and female groups at 14 weeks of age.....	74

<u>Table No.</u>		<u>Page</u>
12	Duncan's test for eviscerated carcass weight data of male and female groups at 14-weeks of age.....	75
13	Analysis of variance for data of weight and relative weight of the digestive organs of male groups at 14-weeks of age.....	76
14	Duncan's test for data of weight of some digestive organs of male groups at 14 weeks of age.....	77
15	Duncan's test for data of relative weight of some digestive organs of male groups at 14-weeks of age.....	78
16	Analysis of variance for data of weight and relative weight of the digestive organs of female groups at 14-weeks of age.....	79
17	Duncan's test for data of weight of some digestive organs of female groups at 14-weeks of age....	80
18	Duncan's test for data of relative weight of some digestive organs of female groups at 14-weeks of age.....	81
19	Analysis of variance for data of the length of digestive tract and ceca in male and female groups at 14-weeks of age.....	82
20	Duncan's test for data of length of the digestive tract and ceca of female groups at 14-weeks of age.....	83

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

In formulating chicken rations the poultrymen usually cared about the requirements of chicks for essential nutrients following the common standard allowances. They mainly try to cover the dietary requirements of protein, energy, vitamins and minerals. The volume of food (cc/gm) is not usually taken into consideration. Maintaining crude fiber content of the diet, which is considered as a diluent factor, at the proper level does not necessarily mean that the volume of the diet is proper.

Certain amount of bulk is considered important for the normal functioning of the digestive tract particularly in the elimination of feed residues since it has a laxative and stimulating effect on the peristaltic movement (Bolton 1961). Moreover, bulk in the food adds to the animal feeling of contentment and satisfies the bird's appetite, (Halnan and Garner, 1947). On the other hand, too much bulk lessens the consumption of digestible nutrients and causes retardation in growth of animals (Lenkeit, 1953). In other words, as have been pointed out by several investigators, nutrient densities decrease, and reduction in available

nutrients occurs at higher levels of bulk. Since the birds are unable to consume sufficient volumes of diluted feeds to compensate for their reduced nutrient concentration, growth, accordingly, decreases. Such results led Mraz et al. (1957) to suggest that feeds may be better evaluated by energy: volume ratio than energy: weight ratio.

Under the local conditions, formulating chicken rations differs from time to time according to the availability of feedstuffs. Generally, rice polish and wheat bran, which are considered bulky feedstuffs, are widely used in poultry nutrition, while concentrates such as corn and wheat are widely used in human foods. Moreover, these concentrates, from the economical point of view, are rather costly when used in poultry rations.

This work was conducted to study the effect of food volume on :

- 1- Chick performance.
- 2- Some morphological characteristics of the gastrointestinal tract.

CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Food Volume And Bulk :

The term "dietary volume" refers to the volume in millilitres of specific quantity of a diet (Gleaves et al. (1963). Generally speaking, feeds which have big volumes are considered bulky. Bulky feedstuffs are in general those which are high in crude fiber content, the air spaces between the particles also contribute to the bulk, (Maynard and Loosli, 1962).

1-Effect Of Food Volume On Food Consumption :

It has been suggested that the regulation of food intake is, in some way, related to energy needs (Kleiber, 1961). Robertson, et al. (1948) concluded that crude fiber increased the bulk of the ration and thus decreased the energy content per unit of volume and in this way regulated the amount of food consumed. Hill and Dansky (1951) found that when oat hulls were increased up to a level of 20 % , an increase in food consumption occurred. The same authors (1954) used oat hulls up to a maximum of 50 %, increased food consumption was observed.

Couch and Isaacks (1957) was successful in restricting the protein and energy intake in growing pullets by substituting 18.2 % of oat hulls for an equivalent amount of milo. While the fibrous bulk was restricting the total nutrient intake of these pullets, the attendant reduction of dietary energy level which accompanied the substitution of oat hulls for milo was causing an increase in feed consumption. Similar results were reported by Gleaves et al. (1968) who found that increase of dietary volume caused a linear decrease in intakes of protein and energy. Gleaves et al. (1963) suggested that dietary volume could be used to regulate nutrient intake.

A linear correlation between feed volume and feed consumption has been indicated (Gleaves et al., 1968). Peterson et al. (1954) reported that at high levels of bulk, the volume of feed consumed was high. Food intake increased to satisfy the energy needs at high levels of crude fiber. The effect of dietary bulk upon feed consumption was demonstrated by Fisher and Weiss (1956); their work indicated that more feed was required when bulk increased. They concluded that feed intake was controlled by the bulk and not solely by energy intake. Cellulose and Kaolin have been also used as bulky materials (Sibbald et al., 1960); birds

receiving diets diluted with cellulose and kaolin attempted to compensate for the reduced nutrients concentration by increased feed intake.

More recent results by Gleaves et al., (1971) are in line with those previously discussed and support the conclusion that dietary weight and volume exert a significant influence upon feed consumption.

2-Effect Of Food Volume On Chick Performance :

In general, as the volume of food increased body gain decreased. Robertson et al., (1948) reported that an increase in volume of a diet from 0.64 to 1.10 liters per pound of diet through the use of wheat by-products and oats lowered chick weight about 20 % at 4 weeks of age. Dansky and Hill (1951) reported that growth rate could be decreased by the addition of 20 % collophane, 20 % sugar cane pulp, or 40 % cellulose to a boiler ration. The reduction in growth rate was inversely related to the density of the ration. This effect was explained by the inability of the chicks to consume sufficient nutrients to promote growth. The same authors (1954) found that growth decreased when oat hulls were added up to a maximum of 50 %.

Peterson et al., (1954), used diets containing varying dietary levels of bulky materials (Wood-pulp and cellulose) to study their effects on growth of chicks. At the higher levels of bulk, the volume of feed consumed was high but the growth rate decreased. This decrease in growth rate was attributed to an energy deficiency caused by the dilution of the diet. Rand et al., (1956) fed chicks purified diets of varying protein content each supplemented with zero, 10 and 19 % of added fiber. No differences in weight gains could be detected between the birds fed the control diet and plus 10 % of fiber. 19 % of added fiber reduced weight gains.

Mraz et al., (1956) found that the energy content of diets relatively constant in ration density exerted a greater influence on rate of growth than did fiber content. In further studies on the influence of the energy: volume ratio on the growth response of chickens, these same workers (1957) concluded that neither energy nor density alone proved to be satisfactory criterion for measuring the adequacy of a diet for supporting rapid growth. They found that single value representing the ratio between the energy content and the volume of the diet was a more satisfactory measurement for feed evaluation. Sibbald et al., (1960) found that replacement of 6 % of the basal diet by cellulose caused a small