# PHYSIOLOGICAL STUDIES CONCERNING FOLIAR NUTRITION AND FLOWER BUD INDUCTION IN ALMONDS

17

By

# SANAD EL SAYED MOHAMED HABIB

B. Sc. Agric., (Cairo University), 1964 M. Sc. Hort., (Cairo University), 1969



### DISSERTATION

Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of

485

# DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

### HORTICULTURE

Department of Horticulture

Faculty of Agriculture

Ain - Shams University



1975

The Thesis of
Sanad El Sayed Fohamed Habib
is Approved

By :

A. E. Bont Level

S. E. Maxime.

Committe in charge

Date: / - / 1975



#### A CK NO WLEDGEMENT

The writer wishes to express his deepest gratitude to Professor Dr. S. Maximos in the Horticulture Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain-Shams University, for his supervision, encouragement, kind help, and useful criticism during this work and the preparation of this manuscript.

Deep thanks are also due to Professor Dr. S. T. Boulos in the Plant Production Department, Desert Institute, Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation for his supervision, encouragement, kind valuable advice and useful help during carrying out this work.

Sincere thanks are also due to Dr. H. Tawfik Professor of general Botany in the Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain-Shams University, for his valuable help.

#### o o w t w w t s

|  |            | Pag |
|--|------------|-----|
| IFTRODUCTION                               | ~ ♦ *      | 1   |
| REVIEW OF LITERATURE                       | • • •      | 3   |
| VATERIALS AND METHODS                      | • • •      | 11  |
| RECULTS                                    | • • •      | SI  |
| I. Foliar absorption of urea sprays        | • • •      | 21  |
| II. Effect of urea foliar fertilization on | the almond | ì   |
| tree                                       | • • •      | 24  |
| 1. The effect of urea foliar fertilizat:   | lon on     |     |
| flowering, fruiting and fruit quality      | 7          | 24  |
| a. Flower bud induction                    | •••        | 24  |
| b. Flower bud differentiation              | • • •      | 30  |
| c. Fruit set                               | • • •      | 51  |
| d. The yield                               | • • •      | 54  |
| e. The fruit characteristics               | • • •      | 56  |
| 2. The effect of urea foliar fertilization | ion on     |     |
| the vigour of almond trees                 | • • •      | 61  |
| a. Tree vigour                             | • • •      | 61  |
| b. Leaf structure                          | •••        | 66  |
| i. The effect on the palisade tissue       | • • •      | 71  |
| ii. The effect on the shongy tissue        |            | 72  |

|  | Face |
|--|------|
| to The effect of urea foliar fertilization on some |      |
| najor contents                                     | 73   |
| a. Total carbohydrate reserves in the shoots       | 73   |
| b. Total nitrogen percentage in the leaves         | 79   |
| DISCUSSION   | 84   |
| SUBTEARY AND CONCLUSION                            | 91   |
| REFERENCES   | 96   |
| Arabic Summary                                     |      |

\*\*\*\*

## LIST OF TABLES

| Table |   | Page  |
|-------|---|-------|
| 1     | The total amount of rainfall (mms) during the       |       |
|       | years of study                                      | . 13  |
| 2     | Total mitrogen in almond leaves sprayed with urea   |       |
|       | solution  | . 22  |
| 3     | Flower buds opening in the spring as percentage of  |       |
|       | total sprouting buds on girdled and defoliated      |       |
|       | branches  | . 25  |
| 4     | Effect of urea foliar fertilization on percentage   |       |
|       | induced flower buds at the time of bud opening      | , 28  |
| 5     | Distribution of different stages of flower bud      |       |
|       | differentiation of Non parcil variety as affected   |       |
|       | by different rates of urea fertilization            | . 46  |
| 6     | Distribution of different stages of flower bud      |       |
|       | differentiation of Drake variety as affected by     |       |
|       | different rates of urea fertilization               | . "#0 |
| 7)    | Fruit set as affected by urea foliar fertilization. | . 52  |
| 8     | The yield of almond trees as affected by urea       |       |
|       | foliar fertilization                                | . 55  |
| 8     | Fruit and kernal characteristics of Nen pareil      |       |
|       | almends as affected by urea foliar fertilization    | . 57  |

| Fa ol e | Pas.   |
|---------|--|
| 13      | Fruit and kernel characteristics of Drake almonds  |
|         | as affected by urea foliar fertilization 58        |
| 11      | The almond tree vigour as affected by urea foliar  |
|         | fertilization 62                                   |
| 12      | Almond leaf properties as affected by urea         |
|         | foliar fertilization 67                            |
| 13      | Seasonal changes in total carbohydrates in         |
|         | Non pareil shoot as affected by urea foliar        |
|         | fertilization (1971 season) 74                     |
| 14      | Seasonal changes in total carbohydrates in         |
|         | Non pareil shoot as affected by urea foliar        |
|         | fertilization (1972 season) 75                     |
| 15      | Seasonal changes in total carbohydrates in         |
|         | Drake shoot as affected by urea foliar             |
|         | fertilization (1971 season) 76                     |
| 16      | Seasonal changes in total carbohy/rates in         |
|         | Drake shoot as affected by urea foliar             |
|         | fertilization (1978 season) ??                     |
| 17      | Seasonal changes in total mitroben of Mon pareil   |
|         | leaves as affected by urea foliar fertilization 80 |
| 18      | Seasonal changes in total nitrogen of Drake        |
|         | leaves as affected by urea foliar fertilization 8  |

### LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure     |  | Page   |
|------------|--|--------|
| 1          | Total nitrogen percentage in almond leaves sampled   |        |
|            | at different intervals before and after application  |        |
|            | of urea solution to the foliage                      | 23     |
| 2          | Flowering buds as rereentage of total sprouting buds |        |
|            | in the spring on branches ringed and defeliated      |        |
|            | at various dates as affected by urea foliar          |        |
|            | fertilization  | 26     |
| 3          | Percentage of flowering buds as affected by urea     |        |
|            | foliar fertilization                                 | 29     |
| 4          | Fruit set percentage as affected by urea foliar      |        |
|            | fertilization  | 53     |
| 5          | The yield of almond trees as affected by urea        |        |
|            | foliar fertilization                                 | 53     |
| $\epsilon$ | The weight of the kernel as affected by urea         |        |
|            | folior fertilization                                 | 59     |
| 7          | The weight of the fruit as affected by urea          |        |
|            | foliar fertilization                                 | 60     |
| 8          | Oil content in almonds kernel as affected by         |        |
|            | urea foliar fertilization                            | r' ( ) |

| Figure |   | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 9      | Shoot length as affacted by urea foliar fertilization | 63   |
| 10     | Number of leaves per shoot as affected by urea        |      |
|        | foliar fertilization                                  | 64   |
| 11     | Leaf area as affected by urea foliar fertilization    | 65   |
| 12     | Seasonal changes of total carbohydrates (as gns       |      |
|        | glucose) in Imond shoot as affected by urea           |      |
|        | foliar fertilization                                  | 78   |
| 13     | Seasonal changes of total nitrogen in Non pareil      |      |
|        | leaves as affected by urea foliar fertilization       | 82   |
| 14     | Seasonal changes of total nitrogen in Drake           |      |
|        | leaves as affected by urea foliar fertilization       | 83   |
|        |   |      |

# LIST OF PLATES

| Plate |   | Tip ::: 6: |
|-------|---|------------|
| 1     | Vegetative (v) and generative (g) buds shown more     | Page       |
|       | than one bud at the loof                              |            |
| 0     |   | 31         |
| 2     | I.S. in dormant bud (stage 1)                         | 32         |
| 3.    | L.S. in the bud at the doom shape (stage 2)           | 34         |
| 4.    | L.S. in the buds at stage (3)                         | 35         |
| 5     | L.S. in the buds at stage $(4)$                       | 36         |
| 6     | L.S. in the buds at stage (5)                         | 37         |
| 7     | I.S. in the flower bud at stage (6)                   | 38         |
| 8     | L.S. in the flower bud at stage (7)                   |            |
| 9     |   | 40         |
|       | T.S. at the basal part of the flower bud at stage (7) | 41         |
| 10    | I.S. in the flower bud at stage (8)                   | 42         |
| 11    | I.S. in the flower bud at stage (a)                   |            |
| 12    | I.S. in the flower bud at stage (10).                 | 43         |
| 13    | T S in M  | 44         |
| ~ J   | T.S. in Non pareil leaf showing the effect of         |            |
|       | different rates of urea foliar fertilization on       |            |
|       | the leaf structure                                    |            |
| 14    |   | 58         |
|       | T.S. in Drake leaf showing the effect of              |            |
|       | different rates of urea foliar fertilization on       |            |
|       | the leaf structure                                    |            |
|       | 6   | 9          |
|       | alle alle alle alle                                   |            |

#### I B T R O D O C T 1 O N

Mosaseae" family. The almond has been collivated from time immemorial. It is thought to be native to the Medeterranean basin (5). There are many references to almond in the Scriptures for it occurred freely in Palestine in a more or less wild state. Almonds were among the presents taken down to Egypt by the sons of Jacob. Nawadays almond is experted mainly from Spain, Italy, France, Fortugal, Moracco, the Canary Islands and Persia (23).

Almond trees can thrive on almost all types of soils providing adequate draingage. It can grow satisfactory in slightly saline soils and under conditions of low soil moisture (21). On the other hand, some varieties of almond are more sensitive to salinity than others, e.g. Texas variety is more sensitive than Non pareil (8).

In Egypt, alread is grown with a fair degree of success on the Northern coast from Alexandria Westward in addition to the coastal strip of Spinai and Arish Valley. Most almond cultivation are located in arid regions where many other fruit trees fail to grow. Yet, maximum yield could not be

covained in their regions unless we surviy the true with  $m_{\rm col} r$  sufficient requirements of water and musclents.

The the present investigation the major aim was to study the ability of supplying the almond trees with usea nitrogen as foliar sprays since soil application could not be adapted where the rains which are the main source of water supply, are scarce and only limited within a certain period (from October to April). Another major aim in this investigation was to study the effect of usea foliar fertilization on flower bud induction and differentiation as well as fruitting of the tree.

#### REVIEW OF LITERATURE

## The absorption of urea sprays

Mowadays, urea foliar fertilization has became a popular method of applying nitrogen to some horticulture crops.

Hamilton et al. (22) in 1943 mentioned that in many areas in the United States, nitrogen fertilization of apple trees by spraying them with urea solution has become an established practice. They added that this method of application gave more rapid response than soil application of other fertilizers. Furthermore, Beynton (6) and Fisher (16,17 and 18) found similar results from their field experiments.

As for the ability of stone fruit trees to absorb and and benefit from urea foliar sprays, Proebsting (33) mentioned that these trees have generally absorbed only a little urea and abowed negligible response.

Data concerning the ability of almond leaves to absorb and utilize urea foliar nitrogen are scarce in the available literature. Norton and Childers (29) working on Mission almond seedlings grown in sand culture in crocks.covered with vinclite caps to prevent contamination by urea spray dust or rain water, showed that urea sprays applied at 5 lb and 10 lb per 100 gallens caused less leaf drop late in the

prowth. They idded that almond trees proved to be more sensitive than peach and were dispet defoliated by urea at 1.0% which was the maximum tolerated without foliar burning by peach. Walker and Fisher (40) reported that the biuret impurity in the urea products was associated with the injury since there was no apparent injury with the crystal urea spray.

### Flower bud inducttion and differentiation

Angiola (3) mentioned that the first external change of future almond flower buds was observed in mid-May. A change of the apical meristem occurred at the end of July. The beginning of the formation of the individual flower parts was marked by a sudden stop of the apical formation of leaf initials. The last of which ceased growth and lost their meristenatic character remaining as small protuberances of the apex. The apex first flattened at the top and ceased to grow, assuming the form of a cup. From the edge of the cup to the hottom, different floral organs were differentiated in the following order: calvx, coralla, stamen and overy. In the later half of October, the flower was completely formed, then its further growth and histological differentiation took place. At the end of January pollen grains were