

# AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

### Flexural Behavior Of Reinforced Light Weight Concrete Beams Provided With Tension Bar Splices

## BY El-sayed Mohamed Anter Abdallah

Structural Engineer

#### A Thesis

Submitted in partial fulfillment for the requirements of the Degree of Master of Science in civil engineering (Structural)

#### Supervised by

### Prof. Dr. Omar Ali Mousa EL-Nawawy

Emeritus Professor of R.C. Structures Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University

#### Dr. AMR H. ZAHER

Associate Professor, Structural Engineering Department, Ain Shams University

#### Dr. AMGAD A.TALAT

Assistant Professor, Structural Engineering Department, Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University Cairo-2014

**STATEMENT** 

This thesis is submitted to Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt, on march 2014

for the degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering (Structural).

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author in the

Department of Civil Engineering (Structural Division), Ain Shams University, from

September 2008 to March 2014.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or qualification at any

other University or Institute.

Date : 16 / 3/2014

Name: El-sayed Mohamed Anter

Signature: El-sayed

i



# AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

### **APPROVAL SHEET**

: El-sayed Mohamed Anter Abdallah

**Thesis** 

**Student Name** 

Date: 16/3/2014

: Master of Science in Civil Engineering (Structural)

Thesis Title: : Flexural Behavior Of Reinforced Light Weight Concrete Beams Provided With Tension Bar Splices				
<b>Examiners Committee:</b>	<b>Signature</b>			
<b>Prof. Dr. Wael Mohamed Khleil Eldegwy</b> Minister of Higher Education and Scientific Research Professor of R.C. Structures Faculy of Engineering - Cairo University				
Prof. Dr. Osama Hamdy Abdelwahed Head of Building Inspection, Ministry of Housing Professor of R.C. Structures Faculy of Engineering - Ain Shams University				
Prof. Dr. Omar Ali Mousa El Nawawy Emeritus Professor of R.C. Structures Ain Shams University (Supervisor)				
<b>Dr.</b> Amr Hussein Abd-elazim Zaher Associate Professor of R.C. Structures Ain Shams University (Supervisor)				

# INFORMATION ABOUT THE RESEARCHER

Name: El-sayed Mohamed Anter Abdallah

Date of Birth: October 7th, 1984

Place of Birth: Fayuom, Egypt

Qualifications: B. Sc. Degree in Civil Engineering (Structural Eng.) Faculty of

Engineering, 6 October University (2007).

Present Job: Structural Engineer

Signature: El-sayed

#### ACKNOWLEGMENTS

#### First of all, I would like to thank God for every gift bestowed on me...

Next, I would like to extend my warmest heartfelt gratitude to **all my family** who stood by me and supported me in every step of my life. I would like to deeply thank them and convey my sincere appreciation for their assistance, encouragement, support, understanding, and patience.

Moreover, I would like to express my sincerest appreciation to my advisors, **Prof. Dr.**Omar El-Nawawy and Dr. Amro.H.zaher and for their guidance, continuous, valuable guidance, and the investments, giving me the opportunity to be involved in such interesting research.

I would like to extend sincere thanks to my advisor, **Dr. Amgad** for providing the guidance necessary to complete this research and also for his constant encouragement, support, and friendship which was the motivating force that kept work on my thesis in force until completion. I would like to express my admiration and thanks for his loyalty and trustfulness.

I would like to thank the Concrete Research Laboratory, Ain Shames University for supporting me during my research. I would like to thank those who helped and improved the means of casting and testing the samples. I am also grateful to those unmentioned others for contributing in countless ways to my writing and being interested in my research. To all of those contributors, I am most grateful..

I believe that I have given my utmost effort in developing this research as accurately and truthfully as possible. Moreover, I am surely personally responsible for the conclusions and opinions expressed here.

Finally, I'd like to dedicate this work to my beloved **my wife** as a taken of appreciation... I would like to extend my warmest heartfelt gratitude to such an honored...I was really fortunate to receive the benefit of his spirit and intelligence.

El-sayed Mohamed Anter Elzoghbi

#### AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ENGINEERING STRUCTURAL DEPARTMENT

Abstract of the M.Sc. Thesis Submitted by

#### ENG / EL-SAYED MOHAMED ANTER

Title of the thesis

### Flexural Behavior Of Reinforced Light Weight Concrete Beams Provided With Tension Bar Splices

#### **ABSTRACT**

The flexural behavior of reinforced light-weight concrete beams was tested experimentally and theoretically evaluated in this study. The objectives of this research work could be summarized in the following points: Background for light weight concrete, the flexural behavior of reinforced light-weight concrete beams. LWRC was obtained through the use of polystyrene foam as a partial aggregate's replacement to reduce the concrete dry unit weight from 23.0 KN/m<sup>3</sup> to 18.0 KN/m<sup>3</sup>. Eight LWRC beams were prepared, casted and tested under four point loading up to failure. The main studied factors were; 1) the percentage of spliced reinforcement in the beams, (25%, 50 % and 100% of spliced reinforcement in maximum bending moment).; 2) the effect of vertical stirrups in splice zones (no stirrups, 5 Ø8/m and 10 Ø 8/m) and 3) finally the effect of changing diameter of steel reinforcement bars (12mm bar diameter and 16 mm bar diameter). Seven light weight reinforced beams and one normal weight reinforced beam. Tested Beams with clear span of 3.0m and with a cross-section 20x40cm were casted and tested at Reinforced Concrete laboratory, Ain Shames University, the behavior of tested beams during loading up to failure and the tests results including the cracks pattern, the load deflection relation, and the mode of failure are presented and discussed. The obtained results show that tested beams with increasing percentage of splices reinforcement caused decreasing the deflection, steel strain and concrete strain increasing the failure load. Increasing percentage of stirrups caused decreasing the deflection and concrete strain and

increasing the failure load and steel strain. Increasing diameter bar caused decreasing the deflection, concrete strain and failure load and increasing the steel strain

. The thesis include eight beams was tested theoretically by Ansys program eight beams same the experimentally beams and one light weight concrete beam no splice in main reinforcement and another normal beam no splice in main reinforcement this two beams as a control beams. The results show that good acceptance by experimentally and theoretically study.

# **CONTENTS**

ACKNO	OWLEGME	NTS	iv
ABSTR	ACT		v
CONTE	ENTS		vii
LIST O	F FIGURES	S	iii
LIST O	F TABLES.		XX
СНАРТ	ER 1: INTR	RODUCTION	
1.1	Backgrou	nd	2
1.2	Purpose o	f Investigation	4
1.3	Scope of	Work	5
СНАРТ	ER 2: LITE	CRATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Brief Hist	ory	7
2.2	Lightweig	tht Aggregates – Classification	8
	2.2.1	Natural Aggregate	8
	2.2.2	Artificial Mineral Aggregates	8
	2.2.3	Organic Aggregates	13
2.3	Light	weight – Aggregate Properties	13
	2.3.1	Particle Shape and Surface Texture	13
	2.3.2	Bulk Specific Gravity	14
	2.3.3	Unit Weight	14
	2.3.4	Maximum Size	14
	2.3.5	Strength Ceiling	14
	2.3.6	Moisture Content and Absorption	15
2.4	Mix Propo	ortioning Methods	16
2.5	Properties	of Structural LWC	16
	2.5.1	Compressive Strength	16
	2.5.2	Splitting Tensile Strength	16
	2.5.3	Modulus of Elasticty	17
	2.5.4	Poisson's Ratio	17
	2.5.5	Bond Strength.	17

	2.5.6	Creep and Shrinkage	18
	2.5.7	Ultimate Strain	18
	2.5.8	Water Absorption	19
	2.5.9	Permeability	19
	2.5.10	Thermal Behavior	19
	2.5.11	Acoustic Behaviour	22
2.6	Economy of St	ructural Lightweight Concrete	23
2.7	LWC in Codes	s	23
	2.7.1	American Codes	23
	2.7.2	British Codes	24
	2.7.3	European Codes	24
	2.7.4	Japanese Codes	24
	2.7.5	Norwegian Codes	25
	2.7.6	Australian Codes	25
2.8	Application of	LWC	26
2.9	Effect of Stir	rups on Concrete Behavior	30
2.10	Bond Stress	es	32
	2.10.1	Average Bond Stress in a Beam	32
	2.10.2	Actual Distribution of Flexural Bond Force	35
	2.10.3	Bond Strength and Development Length	37
	2.10.4	Mechanism of Bond Failure	40
2.11	Lap Splice		43
	2.11.1	tenstion lap splices	43
2.12	Factor Effection	ng Lap Splices	45
	2.12.1	Effect of Concrete Strength	45
	2.12.2	Effect of Steel Grade	46
	2.12.3	Effect of Bar Size	46
	2.12.3 I	Effect of Lap Splice Length	47
	2.12.3 I	Effect of Stirrups	47
2.13	Codes Predicti	ion For Tension Lap Splice	49
	2.13.1	Tension Lap Splice in Codes	49
	2.13.2	ACI 318-11 Code	49
	2.13.3	Egyptian Code (ECP 203-2007)	60

	2.13.4 EC-2 Code	61
2.14 F	inite Element Modeling	65
	2.14.1 Introducing FEM utilizing ansys-package	66
	2.14. 1.1 The Pre-processing Phase	69
	2.14.1.2 The Solution Phase.	69
	2.14.1.3 The Post-processing Phase	69
	2.14.2 Element Types	70
	2.14.2.1 Reinforced Concrete	70
	2.14.2.2 Steel Reinforcement.	74
	2.14.2.3 FRP Composites	74
	2.14.3 Material Prosperities	76
	2.14.3.1 Concrete	76
	2.14.3.1.1 Uniaxial behavior of concrete	77
	2.14.3.1.1.1 Uniaxial Compression	77
	2.14.3.1.1.2 Uniaxial Tension	79
	2.14.3.2 Biaxial Behavior of Concrete	80
	2.14.3.3 Multiaxial Behaviour of Concrete	81
	2.14.3.3.1 Yield Criterion	82
	2.14.3.3.2 The Hardening Rule	82
	2.14.3.3.3 Flow Rule	83
	2.14.3.4 Cracking and Crushing of Concrete	83
	2.14.3.4.1 Discrete Cracking Model	84
	2.14.3.4.2 Smeared Cracking Model	86
	2.14.3.5 Plasticity of Concrete.	86
	2.14.3.6 Steel Reinforcement	88
	2.14.3.6.1 Discrete Approach	89
	2.14.3.6.2 Distributed Approach	89
	2.14.3.6.3 Embedded Approach	89
CHAPTI	ER 3: EXPERIMENTAL WORK	
3.1	Introduction	91
3.2	Details of Test Specimens.	91
3.3	Characteristics of Used Materials	97
	3.3.1 Aggregates	97
	3.3.2 Cement	
	3.3.3 Water	97

	3.3.	4 Silica Fume	97
	3.3.	5 Foam	98
	3.3.6	Sika viscocrete® additional	98
	3.3.	7 Reinforcing Steel	99
3.4	Mix Pro	oportions	99
3.5	Prepara	tion of Specimens	100
3.6	Mixin	g and curing	106
3.7	Loadii	ng of specimens	112
3.8	Test P	rocedure	113
СНАРТЕ	ER 4: AN	ALYSIS OF TEST RESULTS	
4.1	Introdu	ction	117
4.2	Crackin	g Pattern	118
	4.2.1	Effect of Percentage of Splices Reinforced (Group1)	118
	4.2.2	Effect of STIRRUPS (GROUP2)	120
	4.2.3	Effect of DIAMETER BAR (GROUP3)	122
4.3	Load D	eflection Realeationship	123
	4.3.1	Effect of Percentage of Splices Reinforced (Group1)	125
	4.3. 2	Effect of Stirrups (Group2)	128
	4.3.3	Effect of Diameter Bar (Group3)	131
4.4	Beam Fa	ailure Modes	139
	4.5.1	Effect of Percentage Of Splices Reinforced (Group1)	139
	4.5.2	Effect of Stirrups (Group2)	139
	4.5.3	Effect of Diameter Bar (Group3)	139
4.5	The C	racking and the Ultimate Load	147
	4.5.1	Effect of Percentage Of Splices Reinforced (Group1)	147
	4.5.2	Effect of Stirrups (Group2)	148
	4.5.3	Effect of Diameter Bar (Group3)	148
4.6	Strain N	Measurement in steel Reinforcement	148
	4.6.1	Effect of Percentage of Splices Reinforced (Group1)	149
	4.6.2	Effect of Stirrups (Group2)	152
	4.6.3	Effect of Diameter Bar (Group3)	155

			n Concrete
		•	
CHAPTE	CR 5: THE	ORTICAL	ANALYSIS
E 1	To the desired	•	165
5.1			
5.2		_	eling L.W.C. Beam using ANSYS (9.0)165
	5.2.1	Element	s Types
		5.2.1.1	Concrete Element
		5.2.1.2	Steel Reinforcement Element166
	5.2.2	Real Co	nstants
		5.2.2.1	Concrete Element
		5.2.2.2	Steel Reinforcement Element
	5.2.3	Material	Properties
		5.2.2.1	Concrete Element
		5.2.2.2	Steel Reinforcement Element
	5.2.4	Modelin	g172
		5.2.4.1	solid65
		5.2.4.2	link8
	5.2.5	Number	ing Controls173
	5.2.6	Loads a	nd Boundary Conditions
	5.2.7	Analysis	s Type
	5.2.8	Analysis	s Process for the Finite Element Model175
	5.2.9	Load Ste	epping and Failure Definition for FE Models177
5	3 Mode	eling For Te	sted Beam177
		IEDICAL I	
			RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS
6.1			193
6.2	Cracking	Pattern	194
	6.2.1 H	Effect of Per	centage of Splices Reinforced (Group1)195
	6.2.2 H	Effect of ST	IRRUPS (GROUP2)198
	6.2.3	Effect of DI	AMETER BAR (GROUP3)200
6.3	Compari	sons betwee	en Groups (FEM)201
6.4	Comparis	ons between	n Experimental Results and Theoretical Results209

	6.4.1	Comparison between Analytical Models and Experimental Result	209
	6.4	4.1.1 The Ultimate Loads and Maximum Deflections Comparison in	
		Group1	209
	6.4	4.1.2 Load-Deflection Plots at Midspan	210
	6.4	4.1.3 Strain Measurement in Steel Reinforcement Comparison in Grou	ıp
		12	211
	6.4	4.1.4 Strain measurement in Concrete in Group 1	.213
	6.4	4.1.5 Failure mode, steel strain and deformed shape in group 12	213
	6.4	4.1.6 Summary and Conclusions for the Finite Element Models and	
		Experimental Results for Group 1	.220
6.5 C	ompari	ison between Analytical Models and Experimental Results for	
	(	Group2	220
	6.5.1	The Ultimate Loads and Maximum Deflections Comparison in	
		Group2	220
	6.5.2	Load-Deflection Plots at Midspan	.221
	6.5.3	Strain measurement in Steel Reinforcement Comparison in Group2	.222
<ul><li>6.6</li><li>6.7</li><li>Beam</li></ul>	Group 6.6.1 6.6.2 6.6.3	parison between Analytical Models and Experimental Results p3	.224 n in .224 .225 225 s for
7.	1 0	7: SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION  General  Conclusion	.239 240

### REFERENCES

# LIST OF FIGURES

# Chapter(2)

Figure (2-1) Thermal conductivity at different density	21
Figure (2-2) Stress strain curve for different concrete	32
Figure (2-3) Forced in reniforced concrete beam	33
Figure (2-4) Variation of bar force through beam lenght	. 34
Figure (2-5) position of maximum bond force	36
Figure (2-6) splitting of concrete through reinforcement	38
Figure (2-7) force transfer betweensteel bar and concrete	41
Figure (2-8) typical spilliting failure surface	42
Figure (2-9) tension lap splices	44
Figure (2-10) Reinforcement Requirements for Flexural Members of Special MorFrames.	
Figure (2-11) Splices and Hoop Reinforcement for Flexural Members of Special	<i>-</i> 1
Moment FramesFigure (2-12) Transverse Reinforcement for Flexural Members of Special and Intermediate Moment Frames	
Figure (2-13-a) Development of Positive and Negative Moment Reinforcement	57
Figure (2-13-b) Development of Positive and Negative Moment Reinforcement-c	. 58
Figure (2-14) Percentage of lapped bars in one lapped section	59
Figure (2-15) Adjacent laps	59
Figure (2-16) Flow chart of ANSYS procedures	68
Figure (2-17) Solid65 – 3-D reinforced concrete solid (ANSYS 1998) of B1	71
Figure (2-18) Cracking Plane in Solid65 (ANSYS 1998)	73
Figure (2-19) Geometry, node location, and coordinate system of 3-D spar element	nt75
Figure (2-20) Solution output associated with element (LINK8) (ANSYS 1998)	75
Figure (2-21) SHELL181 Finite Strain Shell (ANSYS 1998)	77
Figure (2-22) SHELL181 Stress Output (ANSYS 1998)	77
Figure (2-23) Typical uniaxial compressive and tensile stress-strain curve for con	
Figure (2-24) Strength envelope for biaxial stress state	
Figure (2-25) Schematic failure surface of concrete in three-dimensional stress sp	ace
Figure (2-26) Schematics of yield surface for kinematics-hardening material	84
Figure (2-27) Stress-strain behavior of each of the plasticity options in ANSYS	85

Figure (2-28) smeared crack	87
Figure (2-29) Nodal Separation using two or four coincident nodes	87
Figure (2-30) Multilinear kinematics hardening plasticity	88
Figure (2-31) Multilinear stress-strain behavior of "Besseling" model	89
Figure (2-32) Idealized stress-strain curve for steel reinforcement	90
Chapter(3)	
Figure (3-1) typical dimensions and reinforcement of BN1	93
Figure (3-2) typical dimensions and reinforcement of BL1	93
Figure (3-3) typical dimensions and reinforcement of BL2	94
Figure (3-4) typical dimensions and reinforcement of BL3	94
Figure (3-5) typical dimensions and reinforcement of BL4	95
Figure (3-6) typical dimensions and reinforcement of BL5	95
Figure (3-7) typical dimensions and reinforcement of BL6	96
Figure (3-8) typical dimensions and reinforcement of BL7	96
Figure (3-9) preparing of wooden form of the specimens	100
Figure (3-10) preparing of seel form of the specimens	101
Figure (3-11-1) preparing of seel to placing electrical strain guage	101
Figure (3-11-2) preparing of seel to placing electrical strain guage	102
Figure (3-11-3) preparing of seel to placing electrical strain guage	102
Figure (3-12) placing of seel mesh in wooden form	103
Figure (3-13) position of electrical strain gauges in beam BN1	103
Figure (3-14) position of electrical strain gauges in beam BL1	103
Figure (3-15) position of electrical strain gauges in beam BL2	104
Figure (3-16) position of electrical strain gauges in beam BL3	104
Figure (3-17) position of electrical strain gauges in beam BL4	104
Figure (3-18) position of electrical strain gauges in beam BL5	105
Figure (3-19) position of electrical strain gauges in beam BL6	105
Figure (3-20) position of electrical strain gauges in beam BL7	105
Figure (3-21) placing Crushed dolomite and sand in mixing	106
Figure (3-22) placing cement and foam in mixing	107
Figure (3-23) placing sikafum and viscocrete additional in mixing	107
Figure (3-24) placing water in mixing	108
Figure (3-25) take fresh concrete to placing in form	108
Figure (3-26) preparing cube to cast fresh concrete	108
Figure (3-27) cast fresh concrete in cube	109
Figure (3-28) cast fresh concrete in wooden form	109
Figure (3-29) vibrated fresh concrete by an electrical needle vibrator	110