IMPROVING THE PALATABILITY AND NUTRITIVE VALUE OF SOME RANGE PLANTS FOR GOAT FEEDING IN SINAI

By Kamal Mahmoud Ahmed Youssef

B. Sc. Agric. (Animal Production) Ain Shams University, 1979 M.Sc. (Animal Nutrition) Ain Shams University, 1995

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

IN
Agriculture Science
(Animal Nutrition)

57677

Animal Production Department Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

1999





APPROVAL SHEET

IMPROVING THE PALATABILITY AND NUTRITIVE VALUE OF SOME RANGE PLANTS FOR GOAT FEEDING IN SINAI"

BY

Kamal Mahmoud Ahmed Youssef

B.Sc. Agric. Sci (Animal production) Ain Shams Univ.,(1979) M.Sc. Agric. Sci (Animal nutrition) Ain Shams Univ., (1995)

This thesis for Ph.D. degree has been approved by:

Prof. Dr. Gamal El- Din Aly Abd El-Rahman

Professor of Animal nutrition, fac. 5. A. Ald Chrof Agric., Zagazig University. Rahman

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Abd El- Latif Zaki

Professor of Animal nutrition, fac, Alumn II bomy of Agric., Ain. Shams University.

Prof. Dr. Hamdy Mohamed Khattab

Professor of Animal nutrition, fac, Handy at 16 Labor of Agric., Ain. Shams University.

Date of examination: 11/8/1999



IMPROVING THE PALATABILITY AND NUTRITIVE VALUE OF SOME RANGE PLANTS FOR GOAT FEEDING IN SINAI

By Kamal Mahmoud Ahmed Youssef

B. Sc. Agric. (Animal Production) Ain Shams University, 1979 M.Sc. (Animal Nutrition) Ain Shams University, 1995

Under the supervision of:

Prof. Dr. H. M. Khattab

Prof. of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture
Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. H.M. Kandil

Prof. of Animal Nutrition, Desert Research Center

Dr. S.M. Abdelmawla

Teacher of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture
Ain Shams University



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my gratitude to Prof. Dr. H.M. Khattab, Professor of Animal Nutrition, Animal Production Dept. Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his direct supervision, advices, valuable guidance throughout this work and revision are also deeply appreciated.

Deep thanks and gratitude for Prof. Dr. H. M. Kandil, Desert Research Center for his continuous supervision helps and guidance through the whole course of this work.

The writer is grateful to Dr. S.M. Abdelmawla, animal Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his supervision, sincere encouragement and revision this work.

Sincere thanks to all the staff of Animal and Poultry Nutrition Department, Desert Research Center for their assistance in technical work.

My deepest thanks go for my parents and my wife for their sacrifies and help. My deepest thanks extend to my brother, my sisters my son and daughter.



CONTENTS

			Page
I	INT	RODUCTION	1
		TEW OF LITERATURE	3
	2.1	Natural range plants as feed resources in	
	2.1	Southern Sinai	3
	2.2.	Factors affecting the nutritive value of range	
	2.2.	plants	7
		2.2.1. Plant species and varieties	7
		2.2.2. Stage of growth	11
		2.2.3. Physical and chemical defenses	13
		2.2.4. Environmental factors	17
	2.3.	Palatability	21
	2.4.	Processing methods of unpalatable range	
		plants	23
	2.5.	Voluntary feed intake of range plants	27
	2.6.	Effect of feeding some range plants on rumen	
		metabolites	30
		2.6.1. Rumen ammonia nitrogen	30
		2.6.2. Rumen volatile fatty acids	31
	2.7		
		parameters	33
		2.7.1. Blood urea nitrogen (BUN)	33
		2.7.2. Blood creatinine concentrations	34
		2.7.3. Non estrified fatty acids (NEFA)	
	2.8	, ,	
	2.9.		
		2.9.1. Dressing percentage	
		2.9.2. Physical carcass composition	40
		2.9.3. Chemical composition of meat	41
		2.9.4. Physical characteristics	. 42

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	4.4
3.1. The first part of experiment	44
3.2 The second part of experiment	44
3.2.1 Plant making	45
3.2.1. Plant making	45
3.2.2. Palatability trial	46
3.2.3. Feeding and digestibility trials	46
3.3. The third part of experiment	47
3.3.1. Palatability and digestibility trials	48
3.3.2. Fattening trial	48
3.3.2.1. Animal and feeding	49
3.3.2.2. Carcass characteristics	50
3.4. Analytical methods	51
3.4.1. Fermentation analysis of silage	51
3.4.2. Feed, refusals and animal excreta analysis	51
3.4.3. Rumen liquor analysis	51
3.4.4. Blood analysis	5 1
3.5 Statistical analysis	51
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	52
4.1. First part of experiment	52 52
4.1.1. Survey of common shrubs	52 52
4.1.1.1. Palatability index	52 52
4.1.1.2. Chemical composition	
4.1.1.3. Fiber constituents	54
4.2. The second part of experiment	56
4.2.1. Palatability and feeding trial	58 50
4.2.1.1 Chemical composition	58 5.0
4.2.1.2. Voluntary intake during palatability.	58
trial	
trial	63
4.2.1.3. Digestibility trial	71
4.2.1.5. Nitrogen utilization	73
1.2.1.3. INITIOSCIL BUILISATION	77

	4.2.1.6. Rumen metabolites	80
	4.2.1.7. Blood metabolites	86
2	4.3. The third part of experiment	96
	4.3.1. Selectivity of plant species	96
	4.3.1.1. Palatability trial	96
	4.3.1.2. Chemical composition of basal diet.	99
	4.3.1.3. Feeding and digestibility trial	99
	4.3.1.4. Nutritive value	103
	4.3.1.5. Nitrogen utilization	106
	4.3.1.6. Rumen parameters	109
	4.3.1.7. Blood parameters	112
	4.3.2. Fattening trial	122
	4.3.2.1. Voluntary intake	122
	4.3.2.2 Live body weight changes	124
	4.3.2.3. Profit analysis and feed conversion	124
	4.3.2.4. Slaughter data and carcass	
	characteristics	127
	4.3.2.5. Carcass and non carcass components	130
	4.3.2.6. Physical characteristics	130
	4.3.2.7. Chemical composition of meat	132
5.	SUMMARY	135
6.	REFERENCES	142
7	ADARIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	
1	Common natural ranges and their palatability index for goats, sheep and camels in Southern	
	Sinai.	53
2	Chemical composition of common range plants	
	in Southern Sinai. (% on DM basis).	55
3	Fiber constituents of common range plants in	
	Southern Sinai.	57
4	Mean values of chemical composition of	
	Tamarix mannifera (% on DM basis).	59
5	Mean values of chemical composition of	
	Halocnemum strobilaceum (% on DM basis).	60
6	Mean values of chemical composition of	
_	Zygophyllum album (% on DM basis).	61
7	Body weight changes and voluntary intake of	
	goats fed Tamarix mannifera during the feeding	
_	trial.	64
8	Body weight changes and voluntary intake of	
	goats fed Halocnemum strobilaceum during the	
	feeding trial.	65
9	Body weight changes and voluntary intake of	
	goats fed Zygophyllum album during the	
1.0	feeding trial.	66
10	Feed and water intakes of goats fed rations	
	containing air dried Tamarix(T), Halocnemum	
1 1	(H) and Zgyophyllum (Z).	72
11	Apparent digestibility of rations containing air	
	dried Tamarix(T), Halocnemum (H) and	
1.0	Zgyophyllum (Z).	74
12	Nutritive values of goats fed rations containing	
	air dried Tamarix(T), Halocnemum (H) and	
	Zgyophyllum (Z).	75

Table	Title	
No.		
13	Nitrogen utilization of goats fed rations	
	containing air dried $Tamarix(T)$, $Halocnemum$ (H) and $Zgyophyllum$ (Z).	78
14	Rumen ammonia nitrogen (mg/100 ml) of goats fed rations containing air dried $Tamarix(T)$,	81
15	Halocnemum (H) and Zgyophyllum (Z). Rumen VFA's (m.equiv./100 ml) of goats fed rations containing air dried Tamarix(T),	
16	Halocnemum (H) and Zgyophyllum (Z). Blood urea (mg/100 ml) of goats fed rations	84
10	containing air dried Tamarix(T), Halocnemum	87
17	(H) and Zgyophyllum (Z). Blood creatinine (mg/100 ml) of goats fed	
	rations containing air dried Tamarix(T), Halocnemum (H) and Zgyophyllum (Z).	90
18	Blood NEFA (m.equiv./L) of goats fed rations containing air dried $Tamarix(T)$,	02
	Halocnemum (H) and Zgyophyllum (Z)	92
19	Selectivity and palatability of three plants (as air-dried).	97
20	Chemical composition and cell wall constituents of diet (on DM basis).	97
21	Feed intake of goats as affected by type of diets during the digestibility trial	100
22	Digestion coefficients of goats as affected by type of diets.	102
23	TDN and DCP intake as affected by type of diets	104
24	Nitrogen utilization of goats as affected by type of diets.	107
25	Rumen ammonia nitrogen (mg/100 ml) of goats as affected by type of diets.	110
26	Rumen VFA's (m.equiv./100 ml) as affected by	112
	type of diets.	113

Table No.	Title	
27	Blood urea nitrogen (mg/100 ml) of goats as affected by type of diets.	115
28	Blood creatinine (mg/100 ml) of goats as	
29	affected by type of diets Blood NEFA (m.equiv./L) of goats as affected	118
30	by type of diets.	120
31	Feed intake as affected by type of diets. Live body weight changes of goats as affected	123
32	by type of diets during fattening trial. Profit analysis and feed conversation of the fattening diets.	125
33	Dressing percentage of goats as affected by type of diets.	126
34	Lean, bone and fat percentage in carcass side and eye muscle area (cm ²) of goats as affected	128
35	by type of diets. Carcass and non carcass components weight	128
36	(kg) of goats as affected by type of diets. Physical characteristics of eye muscle as	131
	affected by type of diets.	133
37	Proximate analysis of eye muscle (% on dry	
	matter basis) as affected by type of diets.	133