

EVALUATION OF SOME FERTILIZERS FOR
INCREASING NITROGEN EFFICIENCY
UNDER EGYPTIAN CONDITIONS

By

YEHIA GALAL MOHAMED GALAL

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of
the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

671134
Y. G. Agricultural Science
(Soil Science)

Department of Soil
Faculty of Agriculture
Ain Shams University

1993



APPROVAL SHEET

EVALUATION OF SOME FERTILIZERS FOR INCREASING NITROGEN EFFICIENCY UNDER EGYPTIAN CONDITIONS

By

YEHIA GALAL MOHAMED GALAL

B. Sc. in Soil Sci, Fac. of Agric., Al-Azhar Univ., 1977
M. Sc. in Soil Sci, Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ., 1987

This thesis for Ph. D. degree has been approved by:

Prof. Dr. M.A. El-Kadi *M.A. El-Kadi*
Prof. of Soil Sci., Desert Research Center

Prof. Dr. Y.Z. Ishac *Y.Z. Ishac*
Prof. of Microbiology, Ain Shams Univ.

Prof. Dr. S.M. El-Sherif *S.M. El-Sherif* (Supervisor)
Prof. of Soil Sci., Ain Shams Univ.

Date of Examination 9 / 1 / 1993



EVALUATION OF SOME FERTILIZERS FOR
INCREASING NITROGEN EFFICIENCY
UNDER EGYPTIAN CONDITIONS

By

YEHIA GALAL MOHAMED GALAL

B. Sc. in Soil Sci, Fac. of Agric., Al-Azhar Univ., 1977

M. Sc. in Soil Sci, Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ., 1987

Under the Supervision of:

Prof. Dr. S.M. El-Sherif

Professor of Soil Sci., Ain Shams Univ.

Prof. Dr. F.M. Abdou

Professor of Soil Sci., Ain Shams Univ.

Prof. Dr. A.F. El-Kholi

Professor of Soil Sci., Atomic Energy Authority.

ABSTRACT

Pot-experiments were carried out in green-house, to evaluate the effect of inoculation with either bacteria or incorporation of azolla, on growth parameters and N_2 -fixed by legumes (soybean) or cereals (wheat or rice). ^{15}N -enriched urea or ammonium sulfate had been also used as chemical fertilizers.

The obtained results, indicated that either inoculation or N-fertilization had increased the dry matter yields and nitrogen uptaken by the tested crops as compared with the control treatments. Generally, the application of chemical fertilizers in combination with inoculants, reflected that inoculation had increased the fertilizer use efficiency (FUE %). Using N-15 enriched nitrogen fertilizers revealed that, about 40-60%, 7-25% and 63% of the uptaken nitrogen was compensated by N_2 -fixation, via inoculation of soybean, wheat and rice plants, respectively.

Dual inoculation with *Rhizobium* plus *Azospirillum*, *Azotobacter*, and dry *Azolla* in case of soybean, wheat and rice, respectively was superior over the other inoculation treatments.

The obtained results showed that about 50-60% of the chemical fertilizers could be saved and substituted by biofertilizers. Economical return, as well as, the environmental impact had been also discussed.

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
2.1. Non-symbiotic N ₂ -fixation	4
2.1.1. <i>Azotobacter</i>	5
2.1.2. <i>Azospirillum</i>	8
2.2. Symbiotic N ₂ -fixation	15
2.2.1. Soybean- <i>Rhizobium</i> symbiosis	15
2.2.2. Effect of nitrogen fertilization on nitrogen fixation of soybean	17
2.3. Biofertilization in crop production	19
2.3.1. Single biofertilization	19
2.3.2. Azolla as a single biofertilizer	19
2.3.3. Dual-biofertilization	26
2.3.4. Multi-biofertilization	29
2.4. Assessment of biological nitrogen fixation	30
2.4.1. Using N ¹⁵ techniques for measuring N ₂ -fixation..	31
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	33
3.1. Soil samples	33
3.2. Soil analyses	35
3.2.1. Physical determinations	35
3.2.2. Chemical determinations	35
3.3. Sterilization methods	36
a). Soil	36
b). Seeds and kernels	36
3.4. Microbial inoculants	37
3.4.1. Associative N ₂ -fixing inocula	37
a). <i>Azotobacter chroococcum</i>	37
b). <i>Azospirillum brasilense</i>	37
3.4.2. Symbiotic N ₂ -fixing inoculum	37
a). <i>Rhizobia</i>	37
3.4.3. <i>Azolla pinnata</i>	38
3.5. Experimental layout	38
3.5.1. Wheat experiment	39
3.5.2. Soybean experiment	42
3.5.3. Rice experiment	44
3.6. Plant analysis	46
3.6.1. Total nitrogen	46
3.6.2. Isotopic ratio analysis	46
3.6.3. Calculated values	48

Cont.:	Page
3.7. Statistical analysis	48
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	49
4.1. Evaluation of fertilizer nitrogen sources	49
4.1.1. Effect of urea and ammonium sulfate on the tested crops	49
4.1.1.1. Dry matter yield and nitrogen uptake by plants	49
4.1.1.2. Fractions of nitrogen uptaken by plants in case of urea application	56
4.1.1.3. Fractions of nitrogen uptaken by plants in case of ammonium sulfate application	61
4.2. Evaluation of biofertilizers	63
4.2.1. Effect of <i>Rhizobium</i> alone (single biofertilizer) or mixed with <i>Azospirillum</i> (dual biofertilizer) on soybean plants	63
4.2.1.1. Dry matter, nitrogen percent and total nitrogen uptake by soybean plants	63
4.2.1.2. Nitrogen derived from atmosphere (N_2 -fixed)... ..	67
4.2.2. Effect of single biofertilization with <i>Azotobacter</i> or <i>Azospirillum</i> on wheat plants	69
4.2.2.1. Dry matter, nitrogen percent and total nitrogen uptake by wheat plants	69
4.2.2.2. Nitrogen derived from atmosphere (N_2 -fixed) due to bacterial inoculation of wheat plants	71
4.2.3. Use of <i>Azolla</i> as single biofertilizer on rice plants	72
4.2.3.1. Effect of <i>Azolla</i> incorporation on dry matter yield, nitrogen percent and nitrogen uptake by rice plants	72
4.2.3.2. Nitrogen provided by <i>Azolla</i>	74
4.3. Effect of bacterial inoculation in combination with chemical fertilizers on the tested crops	75
4.3.1. Soybean	75
4.3.1.1. Dry matter yield, nitrogen percent and nitrogen uptake	75
4.3.1.2. Portions of nitrogen uptaken by soybean plants	79
4.3.2. Wheat	83
4.3.2.1. Dry matter yield, nitrogen percent and nitrogen uptake	83
4.3.2.2. Sources of nitrogen uptaken by wheat plants...	85

Cont.:	Page
4.3.3. Rice	86
4.3.3.1. Dry matter yield, nitrogen percent and nitrogen uptake	86
4.3.3.2. Fractions of nitrogen uptaken by rice plants as influenced by combination of Azolla and N-15 labelled urea	88
4.4. General discussion	90
5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	104
6. REFERENCES	110
7. ARABIC SUMMARY.	

LIST OF TABLES

No.	Page
1. Physical properties of the experimental soil	34
2. Some chemical properties of the experimental soil ..	34
3. Experimental layout	40
4. Dry matter yield and nitrogen uptake by soybean, wheat and rice plants as affected by labelled urea or ammonium sulfate fertilizers	50
5. Fractions of nitrogen uptaken by soybean, wheat and rice plants treated with labelled urea or ammonium sulfate	57
6. Effect of inoculation with <i>Rhizobium</i> , <i>Azotobacter</i> , <i>Azospirillum</i> or <i>Azolla</i> on dry matter yield, N-content, N-uptake and Ndfa of soybean, wheat and rice plants.	64
7. Effect of nitrogen fertilization in combination with inoculation on dry matter yield, N-percent and N-uptake by tested crops	76
8. Nitrogen derived from fertilizer, soil, air and fertilizer use efficiency by soybean, wheat and rice crops as affected by combination of chemical fertilizers and bacterial inoculants	80
9. Nitrogen uptake by soybean plants as affected by chemical fertilizers and (or) bacterial inoculation under unsterilized conditions	92

Cont.:

No.	Page
10. Nitrogen uptake by wheat plants as affected by chemical fertilizers and (or) bacterial inoculation under unsterilized conditions	94
11. Nitrogen uptake by rice plants as affected by chemical fertilizers and (or) Azolla incorporation under unsterilized conditions	97
12. Economical impact of the use of biofertilizers in area cultivated by soybean, wheat and rice	102

LIST OF FIGURES

No.		Page
1.	Methods of sample preparation for N-15 analysis	47
2.	Effect of nitrogen fertilizer (labelled urea or ammonium sulfate) on dry matter yield and nitrogen percent of soybean, wheat and rice plants	51
3.	Nitrogen uptake and fractions derived from fertilizer or soil as affected by nitrogen fertilization	52
4.	Effect of inoculation with <i>Rhizobium</i> , <i>Azotobacter</i> , <i>Azospirillum</i> and <i>Azolla</i> on dry matter yield and N percent of soybean, wheat and rice plants	65
5.	Nitrogen uptake and nitrogen derived from air (Nd _{fa}) by soybean, wheat and rice plants as affected by inoculation with <i>Rhizobium</i> , <i>Azotobacter</i> , <i>Azospirillum</i> and <i>Azolla</i>	68
6.	Effect of nitrogen fertilization in combination with inoculation on dry matter yield and nitrogen uptake by tested crops	77
7.	Nitrogen derived from fertilizer (Nd _{ff}), soil (Nd _{fs}) or air (Nd _{fa}) by tested crops, as affected by nitrogen fertilization in combination with different bacterial inoculants	81
8.	Fertilizer use efficiency of N-15 labelled urea or ammonium sulfate alone or in combination with bio-fertilizers under different crops	82

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANI	: Added nitrogen interaction.
ARA	: Aceytelne reduction activity.
ARC	: Agriculture Research Center.
Azot	: <i>Azotobacter</i> .
BNF	: Biological Nitrogen Fixation.
DAZ	: Dry <i>Azolla</i> .
FAZ	: Fresh <i>Azolla</i> .
FUE	: Fertilizer use efficiency.
IRRI	: International Rice Research Institue.
LAS	: Labelled ammonium sulfate.
LU	: Labelled urea.
^{15}N % a.e.	: Percent N-15 atom excess.
N_2 -ase	: Nitrogenase.
Ndfa	: Nitrogen derived from air.
Ndff	: Nitrogen derived from fertilizer.
Ndfs	: Nitrogen derived from soil.
Rh	: <i>Rhizobium</i> .
SP	: <i>Azospirillum</i> .
TPNinc	: Total plant nitrogen of inoculated plant.
TPNcon	: Total plant nitrogen of uninoculated plant.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author has the pleasure to express his great appreciation and gratitude to Prof. Dr. Saad El-Sherif; Prof. Dr. Fayez Madi Abdou and Prof. Dr. Ahmed Fouad El-Kholi, Professors of Soil Sciences, Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ. and Atomic Energy Authority, respectively, for their suggestions, supervision, criticism, continuous advise and encouragement throughout the course of the fulfillment of this dissertation.

Appreciation is also extended to Prof. Dr. F.A. Mohamed, Head of the Dept. of Soil and Water Res., Atomic Energy Authority for support and providing the required facilities.

Thanks are also due to my colleagues in the Dept. of Soil and Water Res., Atomic Energy Authority, for their help and cooperation.

INTRODUCTION

Nitrogen is essential and is considered as a limiting factor for crop production in Egypt. Chemical nitrogen fertilizers inputs had been increased during the last decades. According to FAO (1990), the Egyptian growers had consumed more than 37% of the African's consumption of nitrogen fertilizers. This luxury use of nitrogen fertilizers was accounted to about 4.983×10^6 tons annually, according to the annual statistical book, A.R.E. (1990).

The efficient use of nitrogen fertilizers was low due to the high values of pH, high temperature and in some cases, the high content of calcium carbonate, as well as the misuse of irrigation water. These conditions are considered the most factors which are responsible for the great losses of nitrogen fertilizers through denitrification and/or NH_3 -volatilization. Under logging conditions, NO_3 leaching is the main source of nitrogen losses. Therefore, the losses from nitrogen fertilizers are within the range of 50-60% from the total applied nitrogen. Moreover, the lost nitrogen may act as pollutant for soil, water and air, and become harmful for life of human being on earth.

Recently, great attention has been focused on the use of alternative sources or renewable agents for increasing soil fertility, crop production and maximizing nitrogen use efficiency. These alternative sources are called

"Biofertilizers". and could be recognized as useful technology for reducing the input of nitrogen fertilizers in agriculture and controlling soil and water pollution. In recent years, biofertilization technology had been applied on a large scale in the world. but it is still at the primary stages in Egypt. As far as the literature had been widely reviewed concerning studies of biofertilization on crop production under Egyptian conditions. yet the obtained results should suffice to emphasize the importance of further investigations in this direction. In order to perform a contribution on biofertilization. series of experiments must be conducted in order not only to shed light on its beneficial effects for higher crop production. but also for practical reasons to solve the problem of maximizing nitrogen use efficiency and consequently a parallel acceleration in minimizing environmental pollution.

In essence then. the current study is an endeavour to expose the effects of:

1. Single biofertilization with non-symbiotic nitrogen fixing bacteria as bacterial inoculation with *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* on wheat plants.
2. Single biofertilization with symbiotic nitrogen fixing bacteria as bacterial inoculation with *Rhizobium* on soybean plants.
3. Single biofertilization with symbiotic nitrogen aquatic fern either as green *Azolla* intercropped with rice or