

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

The Effect of Finishing Techniques and Time on Surface Roughness and Biocompatibility of Resin Modified Glass Ionomer Cements

Thesis submitted to the faculty of dentistry,
Ain Shams University in partial fulfillment of the requirements of
Doctor degree in operative dentistry.

By

Heba Mohammed Mohammed Abdelaal

B.D.S., Ain Shams University (2003)
M.Sc., Ain Shams University (2011)

Ain Shams University
2015

SUPERVISORS

DR. KHALED ALY NOUR

Assistant Prof. of Operative Dentistry
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University

DR. OMAIMA HASSAN GHALLAB

Assistant Prof. of Operative Dentistry
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University

DR. EHAB SAID ABD EL HAMID

Professor of oral pathology and vice dean for graduate
affairs and research
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

تأثير طرق ووقت الصقل على خشونة السطح و التوافق البيولوجي للاصق الأيونومر الزجاجي المعدل راتنجيا

رسالة مقدمة لكلية طب الاسنان بجامعة عين شمس توطئة للحصول على درجة
الدكتوراة في العلاج التحفظي للأسنان

مقدمه من

الطبيبة / هبه محمد محمد عبد العال

بكالوريوس طب الأسنان- جامعة عين شمس- ٢٠٠٣
ماجستير العلاج التحفظي- جامعة عين شمس- ٢٠١١

٢٠١٥

المشرفون

أ.م.د/ خالد على نور

أستاذ مساعد بقسم العلاج التحفظي

كلية طب الأسنان

جامعة عين شمس

أ.م.د/ أميمة حسن غلاب

أستاذ مساعد بقسم العلاج التحفظي

كلية طب الأسنان

جامعة عين شمس

أ.د/ إيهاب سعيد عبد الحميد

أستاذ باثولوجيا الفم ووكيل الكلية لشئون الدراسات العليا والبحوث

كلية طب الأسنان

جامعة عين شمس

I would like to express my deepest thanks and appreciation to **Dr. Khaled Aly Nour**, Assistant Professor of Operative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his help and valuable guidance throughout my research work.

I would also like to express my sincere thanks and deep gratitude to **Dr. Omaima Hassan Ghallab**, Assistant Professor of Operative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, who helped me immensely and gave her unending support and moral courage on daily basis.

I am greatly honored to express my gratitude and appreciation to **Dr. Ehab Said Abd el Hamid**, Professor of oral pathology and vice dean for graduate affairs and research, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his constant help and support. Without his help, it would not have been possible for me to carry out this task.

I would also like to thank **Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Abd ellah**, Researcher Vet surgeon, Medical research center, Ain Shams University hospitals and Medical school, for helping me in carrying out the surgical procedures.

My sincere appreciation to my colleague **Dr. MOHAMED NASSER**, Assistant lecturer of Operative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his assistance in carrying out the statistical analysis.

To my parents, my husband,
my sister, my brother, and my
children for their love and
support

.....

List of contents

List of Figures.....	I
List of Tables.....	XV
Introduction.....	1
Review of Literature.....	5
I. Glass ionomer cements.....	5
II. Surface roughness.....	27
III. Finishing techniques.....	38
IV. Time of finishing.....	60
V. Measurement of surface roughness.....	63
VI. Biocompatibility.....	68
Aim of The Study.....	85
Materials & Methods.....	86
Results.....	113
Discussion.....	223
Summary and Conclusions.....	252
References.....	256
Arabic Summary	

List of figures

Figure 1	Setting reaction of a conventional glass-ionomer cement.	13
Figure 2	The difference in set cement structure between CGIC and RMGIC.	25
Figure 3	The resin-modified glass ionomer cements used in the study	88
Figure 4	Jiffy abrasive, silicon-impregnated rubber polishers.	90
Figure 5	Sof-Lex Aluminum oxide Discs	90
Figure 6	Diamond coated abrasive bur(856EF)	91
Figure 7	Equia Nanofilled Self-Adhesive.	91
Figure 8	Specimen grouping for surface roughness evaluation.	92
Figure 9	The specially constructed split Teflon mold.	96
Figure 10	A 500gm static load applied onto the slide.	96
Figure 11	The tip of the LED placed against the Mylar strip.	97
Figure 12	The under surface of the specimens marked using a permanent marker pen.	99
Figure 13	Environmental Scanning Electron Microscope (ESEM)	100
Figure 14	Specimen grouping for biocompatibility evaluation.	103
Figure 15	Spectrolinker XL-1500 UV Crosslinker.	105
Figure 16	The five disinfected sites in the dorsal skins of the rat.	108
Figure 17	2cm incision.	108

List of figures

Figure 18	Implantation of RMGIC specimens in the subcutaneous tissue of rat.	109
Figure 19	Closure of the skin of the rat with 3/0 silk suture.	109
Figure 20	Steps of image analysis for calculation of the number of inflammatory cells.	111
Figure 21	Bar chart for the mean surface roughness as affected by resin modified glass ionomer type regardless to the finishing technique and time of finishing used.	116
Figure 22	Bar chart for the mean surface roughness as affected by the finishing technique regardless to the resin modified glass ionomer type and time of finishing used.	116
Figure 23	Bar chart for the mean surface roughness as affected by the time of finishing regardless to the resin modified glass ionomer type and the type of finishing used.	117
Figure 24	Bar chart for the effect of RMGIC type on surface roughness within each finishing technique after 24 hours.	121
Figure 25	Bar chart for the effect of RMGIC type on surface roughness within each finishing technique after 1 week.	121
Figure 26	Bar chart for the effect of finishing technique on surface roughness within each RMGIC type after 24 hours.	124

List of figures

Figure 27	Bar chart for the effect of finishing technique on surface roughness within each RMGIC type after 1 week.	124
Figure 28	Bar chart for the effect of time of finishing on surface roughness within each finishing technique for Fuji VIII RMGIC material.	127
Figure 29	Bar chart for the effect of time of finishing on surface roughness within each finishing technique for Fuji II LC RMGIC material.	127
Figure 30	Bar chart for the effect of time of finishing on surface roughness within each finishing technique for Ketac nano RMGIC material.	128
Figure 31	ESEM micrograph of RMGIC specimens finished with Mylar strip after 24 hours.	129
Figure 32	ESEM micrograph of RMGIC specimens finished with Mylar strip after 1 week.	130
Figure 33	ESEM micrograph of RMGIC specimens finished with Rubber tips after 24 hours.	131
Figure 34	ESEM micrograph of RMGIC specimens finished with Rubber tips after 1 week.	132
Figure 35	ESEM micrograph of RMGIC specimens finished with Soflex discs after 24 hours.	133
Figure 36	ESEM micrograph of RMGIC specimens finished with Soflex discs after 1 week.	134
Figure 37	ESEM micrograph of RMGIC specimens finished with Finishing abrasive after 24 hours.	135

List of figures

Figure 38	ESEM micrograph of RMGIC specimens finished with Finishing abrasive after 1 week.	136
Figure 39	ESEM micrograph of RMGIC specimens finished with Finishing abrasive followed by coat after 24 hours.	137
Figure 40	ESEM micrograph of RMGIC specimens finished with Finishing abrasive followed by coat after 1 week.	138
Figure 41	Photomicrograph of the negative control group after 1 month.	152
Figure 42	Photomicrograph of Fuji VIII RMGIC after 1 month finished with Mylar strip after 24 hours.	153
Figure 43	Photomicrograph of Fuji VIII RMGIC after 1 month finished with Mylar strip after 1 week.	153
Figure 44	Photomicrograph of Fuji VIII RMGIC after 1 month finished with Rubber tips after 24 hours.	154
Figure 45	Photomicrograph of Fuji VIII RMGIC after 1 month finished with Rubber tips after 1 week.	154
Figure 46	Photomicrograph of Fuji VIII RMGIC after 1 month finished with Soflex discs after 24 hours.	155
Figure 47	Photomicrograph of Fuji VIII RMGIC after 1 month finished with Soflex discs after 1 week.	155
Figure 48	Photomicrograph of Fuji VIII RMGIC after 1 month finished with finishing abrasive after 24 hours.	156

List of figures

Figure 49	Photomicrograph of Fuji VIII RMGIC after 1 month finished with finishing abrasive after 1 week.	156
Figure 50	Photomicrograph of Fuji VIII RMGIC after 1 month finished with finishing abrasive followed by Coat after 24 hours.	157
Figure 51	Photomicrograph of Fuji VIII RMGIC after 1 month finished with finishing abrasive followed by Coat after 1 week.	157
Figure 52	Photomicrograph of Fuji II LC RMGIC after 1 month finished with Mylar strip after 24 hours.	158
Figure 53	Photomicrograph of Fuji II LC RMGIC after 1 month finished with Mylar strip after 1 week.	158
Figure 54	Photomicrograph of Fuji II LC RMGIC after 1 month finished with Rubber tips after 24 hours.	159
Figure 55	Photomicrograph of Fuji II LC RMGIC after 1 month finished with Rubber tips after 1 week.	159
Figure 56	Photomicrograph of Fuji II LC RMGIC after 1 month finished with Soflex discs after 24 hours.	160
Figure 57	Photomicrograph of Fuji II LC RMGIC after 1 month finished with Soflex discs after 1 week.	160
Figure 58	Photomicrograph of Fuji II LC RMGIC after 1 month finished with Finishing abrasive after 24 hours.	161

List of figures

Figure 59	Photomicrograph of Fuji II LC RMGIC after 1 month finished with Finishing abrasive after 1 week.	161
Figure 60	Photomicrograph of Fuji II LC RMGIC after 1 month finished with Finishing abrasive followed by coat after 24 hours.	162
Figure 61	Photomicrograph of Fuji II LC RMGIC after 1 month finished with Finishing abrasive followed by coat after 1 week.	162
Figure 62	Photomicrograph of Ketac nano RMGIC after 1 month finished with Mylar strip after 24 hours.	163
Figure 63	Photomicrograph of Ketac nano RMGIC after 1 month finished with Mylar strip after 1 week.	163
Figure 64	Photomicrograph of Ketac nano RMGIC after 1 month finished with Rubber tips after 24 hours.	164
Figure 65	Photomicrograph of Ketac nano RMGIC after 1 month finished with Rubber tips after 1 week.	164
Figure 66	Photomicrograph of Ketac nano RMGIC after 1 month finished with Soflex discs after 24 hours.	165
Figure 67	Photomicrograph of Ketac nano RMGIC after 1 month finished with Soflex discs after 1 week.	165