# STUDIES ON DISTRIBUTION AND LOCATION OF PHYTASE IN DIFFERENT SEEDS AND CEREAL GRAINS

Ву

EFFAT MAHDY SAYED AHMED RIZK

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment

of

the requirement; for the degree of

#### MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

Agriculture

(Food Science & Technology)

Food Science Department

. 622

Faculty of Agriculture

Ain Shams University

Feern



#### Approval Sheet

#### STUDIES ON DISTRIBUTION AND LOCATION OF PHYTASE IN DIFFERENT SEEDS AND CEREAL GRAINS

Ву

#### EFFAT MAHDY SAYED AHMED RIZK

B.Sc. (Food Science & Technology) Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 1986

This thesis for M.Sc. degree has been approved by :

Prof. Dr. MOHAMED MAHMOD YOUSSEF
Prof. of Food Science and
Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Alex. University

M-M. Young

Prof. Dr. MAGDA H. ALLAM

Prof. of Food Science and
Technology, Facility of Agriculture, Ain Shams Univ.

Mayda H cillan

Prof. Dr. MOHAMED A. ABD ALLAH
Prof. of Food Science and
Technology, Caculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams Univ.

M- fores

Date of examination: 12/1/1992.



# STUDIES ON DISTRIBUTION AND LOCATION OF PHYTASE IN DIFFERENT SEEDS AND CEREAL GRAINS

#### BY

#### EFFAT MAHDY SAYED AHMED RIZK

B.Sc. (Food Science & Technology) Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 1986

Under the Supervision of: Prof. Dr. Mohamed A. Abd Allah Professor of Food Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

> Dr. Magdy Gomaha El Shemy Associate Prof., Food Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

#### ABSTRACT

Phytase was extracted from whole wheat and its fractions (bran, germ, flour, semolina): barley, triticale, chickpea, lentil, cowpea, whole sunflower seeds and their cotyledons and hulls using 2% CaCl2 and partially purified by ammonium sulfate followed by dialysis in distilled water. The enzyme showed an optimum pH of 5.2 which extracted from whole wheat grain, flour, barley, chickpea, cowpea and whole sunflower seeds, 5.3 for enzyme extracted from germ, semolina and sunflower cotyledon , 5.1 for sunflower hulls, 5.4 for triticale, 5.5 for lentil and 5.0 for wheat bran. A temperature of 55 C was the optimum temperature required for the activity of the phytase extracted from whole wheat grain. germ, flour, semolina, lentil and whole sunflower seeds,  $60^{\circ}c$ for sunflower cotyledon and 50 C for wheat bran, triticale, barley, chickpea, cowpea and snnflower hulls. The phytase w was partially inhibited at high substrate concentration, with an optimum substrate concentration at 2.0 mM for (whole wheat grain), 2.5 mM for (wheat bran) 3.0 mM for sunflower cotyledon), 3.5 mM for (germ), 0.5 mM for semclina, barley, cowpea and lentil, 1.5 mM for whole sunflower seeds and sunflower hulls 0.75 mM for wheat flour, 1.0 mM for triticale, and 0.25 mM for chickpea. The corresponding Km values were 0.35.0.62, 0.87, 0.16, 0.16, 0.28, 0.25, 0.15, 0.32, 0.26, 0.64, 0.69 and 0.32 mM, while Vmax were 0.25, 0.29, 0.26, 0.29, 0.34, 0.48, 0.31, 0.33, 0.42, 0.38, 0.28, 0.16 and 0.28, umole/Pi liberated/min. The activation energy required for inhibiting one

mole of the phytase enzyme was calculated to be: 36312,26308, 30945, 29488, 31773, 30866, 27325, 41484, 48098, 35419,39099, 60428, and 28622 cal/mole in the following samples whole wheat grain, bran, germ, flour, semolina, barley, triticale, chickpea, lentil, cowpea, whole sunflower seeds, sunflower cotyledon and sunflower hulls respectively. The corresponding values of activation energy required for the hydrolysis of phytic acid were 10968, 14167, 13253, 9597, 12796, 10968, 12339, 12110, 10054, 15081, 8683, 6855, 12202 cal/mole.

Addition of wheat bran phytase and lentil phytase extracts with different volumes ranging from (5-30 ml) to dough made from 72% and 87.5% flour extraction reduced or minimized the phytic acid content of the dough at the end of its fermentation process.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

My gratitude is due to Prof. Dr. MOHAMED AMIN

ABD ALIAH, Professor of Food Science and Technology, Food

Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his valuable criticism through the years of study, supervision and discussion of the thesis.

The problem at hand was suggested by Prof. AHMED
Y. GIBRIL and Prof. Dr. RAMADAN M. MAHMOD Food Sci. Dept.,
Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams University. I highly acknowledged all the efforts they offered to me.

Deep thanks to Dr. MAGDY G. EL SHEMY. Associate Prof., Food Science and Technology. Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for the continuous encouragment through the supervision of the thesis as well as for all the effective advices he offered.

# CONTENTS

**	LIST OF TABLES LIST OF FIGURES LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	Page
**	INTRODUCTION	<b>±</b>
**	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
	<ol> <li>Phytase and phytic acid contents of cereal and cereal products</li> </ol>	ئِـ
	a- <b>W</b> heat	4
	b- Barley	Ē
	c- Triticale	=
	d- Corn	9
	e- Rice	3
	f- Miscellanous	9
	2. Phytase and phytic acid content of legumes	13
	3. Hydrolysis of phytic acid by phytase enzyme	17
**	MATERIALS AND METHODS	7.3
	1. Materials	ۇ ر
	2. Analytical methods	~ _2
	a- Phytase activity	31
	b- Determination of phytic acid	3.7
	d- Protein determination	3.3
	d- Moisture content	<b>†</b> ?
**	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	3.5
	PART I: Purification aspects of phytase extra-	
	oted from dereals legumes and sunflower	
	seeds	3.7

		Page
PART II:	Kinetic trends of phytase extracted	
	from cereal samples, legumes and sun-	46
	flower seeds	40
1 -	Relation between pH and phytase acti- vity of cereal samples, legumes and sunflower seeds	46
	<ul><li>a- Cereal samples</li><li>b- Selected legumes and sunflower seeds</li></ul>	4.5 51
2 -	Relation between temperature and phytase activity of cereal samples, legumes and sunflower seeds	56
	<ul><li>a- Cereal samples</li><li>b- 3elected legumes and sunflower seeds</li></ul>	56 60
3 –	Relation between substrate concentration and phytase activity of cereal samples, legumes and sunflower seeds	€5
	<ul><li>a- Cereal samples</li><li>b- Selected legumes and sunflower seeds</li></ul>	35 T0
4 -	Relation between enzyme concentration and phytase activity of cereal samples, legumes and sunflower seeds	<b>1</b> (1)
	a- Cereal samples	
	b- Selected legumes and sunflower seeds	= 1
5 -	Activation energy required for the phytase extracted from cereal samples, legumes and sunflower seeds	<del>8</del>
	<ul><li>a- Cereal samples</li><li>b- Selected legumes and sunflower</li></ul>	85 57
ć -	Thermal stability of phytase enzyme extracted from cereal samples legumes and sunflower seeds	20
	a- Cereal samples	
	b- Selected legumes and sunflower	J* 7

			Page
N.	7 -	Rate of denaturation of phytase extracted from cereal samples, legumes and sunflower seeds	99
		<ul><li>a- Cereals samples</li><li>b- Selected legumes and sunflower seeds</li></ul>	30 103
	8 -	Effect of increasing temperature of phytase extracted from cereal samples, legumes and sunflower seeds	113
		<ul><li>a- Cereal samples</li><li>b- Selected legumes and sunflower</li></ul>	113 116
PA		evel of phytate removal from dough prepa- ed from 87.5 and 72% flour.	121
##	SUMMARY		130
**	REFEREN	CES	13-
**	ARABIC :	SUMMARY	_

## LIST OF TABLES

No.	Title	Page
1	Optimum conditions for the activity of phytase enzyme within the tested samp-les.	30
2	Activity of phytase enzyme in whole wheat grain and selected wheat products	41
3	Activity of phytase enzyme in barley and triticale samples	47
4	Activity of phytase enzyme in selected legume samples	43
5	Activity of phytase enzyme in whole sun- flower seeds and their hulls and cotyle~ done.	44
6	Relation between substrate concentration and phytase activity of wheat fractions	66
	Relation between substrate concentration and phytase activity of barley and triticale.	67
8	Relation between substrate concentration and phytase activity of chickpea, lentil and cowpea.	72

No.	Title	Page
9	Relation between substrate concentration and phytase activity of whole sunflower seeds, and their cotyledons and sunflower hulls.	~5
10	Relation between enzyme concentration and phytase activity of wheat fractions, barley and triticale.	7g
11	Relation between enzyme concentration and phytase activity of chickpea, lentil and cowpea.	83
12	Relation between enzyme concentration and phytase activity of whole sunflower seeds sunflower cotyledon and sunflower hulls.	84
13	Rate of denaturation of phytase extracted from wheat fractions	102
24	Rate of denaturation of phytase extracted from barley and triticale	<b>1</b> 05
15	Rate of denaturation of phytase extracted from chickpea, lentil and cowpea	2 - 5
16	Rate of denaturation of phytase extracted from whole sunflower seeds, sunflower cotyledon and sunflower hulls	111
<u>.</u> ~	Phytic acid content and its percentage of a rolysis as a result of using wheat branish; take during fermentation of the suggested	;' <del>-</del>
	dough samples.	113

No.	Title	Page
18	Phytic acid content and its percentage of hydrolysis as a result of using lentil phytase during fermentation of the suggested dough samples.	125
19	Phytic acid content and its percentage of pydrolysis as a result of using wheat bran and lentil phytase during fermentation of the suggested dough samples	126

### LIST OF FIGURES

No.	Title	Page
1	Relation between pH and phytase activity of wheat fractions.	\$ Q.
2	Relation between pH and phytase activity of barley and a triticale samples.	50
3	Relation between pH and phytase activity of chickpea, lentil and cowpea samples.	52
4	Relation between pH and phytase activity of whole sunflower seeds, sunflower cotyledons and sunflower hulls.	54
5	Relation between temperature and phytase activity of wheat fractions	5
6	Relation between temperature and phytase activity of barley and triticale.	59
<b>?</b>	Relation between temperature and pyytase activity of chickpea, lentil and cowpea.	61
8	Relation between temperature and phytase activity of whole sunflower seeds, sunflower cotyledon and sunflower hulls.	€3
9	Line weaver-Burk plot for phytase extra-	63

No.	Title	Page
10	Lineweaver-Burk plot for phytase extracted from barley, and triticale.	71
11	Lineweaver Burk plot for phytase extracted from chickpea, lentil and cowpea.	74
12	Lineweaver Burk plot for phytase extracted from whole sunflower seeds, sunflower cotyledom, and sunflower hulls.	य र
13	Arrehenius plot for estimation of activation energy for the hydrolysis of phytic acid by phytase extracted from wheat fractions.	86
14	Arrhenius plot for estimating activation energy requires for the hydrolysts of phytic acid by phytase extracted from barley and triticale.	88
15	Arrhenius plot for estimation of activation energy remuired for the hyprolysts of onlytic acid by phytase extracted from chickpea, lentil and cowpea.	89
26	Arrhenius plot for estimation of activation energy required for the hydrolysis of phytic acid by phytase extracted from whole sunflower seeds, sunflower obtyledons and sunflower hulls.	91
1~	Thermal stability of phytase extracted from wheat fractions.	2.3

No.	Title	Page
18	Thermal stability of phytase extracted from barley and triticale.	94
19	Thermal stability of phytase extracted from chickpea, lentil and cowpea.	96
20	Thermal stability of phytase extracted from whole sunflower seeds, sunflower cotyledons and sunflower hulls.	97
21	Rate of denaturation of phytase extracted from wheat fractions.	191
22	Rate of denaturation of phytase extra- cted from barley and triticale.	104
23	Rate of denaturation of phytase extracted from chickpea, lentil and cowpea	107
24	Rate of denaturation of phytase extracted from whole sunflower seeds, sunflower cotyledons and sunflower hulls.	110
25	Effect of increasing temperature on the course of Pi Liberated from phytate by phytase extracted from wheat fractions	114
26	Effect of increasing temperature on the course of Pi Liberated from phytate by phytase extracted from barley and triticale.	115